

STATE OF MISSOURI
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

At a Session of the Public Service
Commission held at its office
in Jefferson City on the 7th
day of July, 1992.

In the matter of the application of Union Electric)
Company for an order authorizing the sale, transfer)
and assignment of certain assets, real estate,)
leased property, easements and contractual) CASE NO. EM-92-225
agreements to Iowa Electric Light & Power Company)
and, in connection therewith, certain other related)
transactions.)

In the matter of the application of Union Electric)
Company for an order authorizing the sale, transfer)
and assignment of certain assets, real estate,)
leased property, easements and contractual agree-) CASE NO. EM-92-253
ments to Central Illinois Public Service Company)
and in connection therewith, certain other related)
transactions.)

ORDER SETTING PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

On March 12, 1992, Union Electric Company (UE) filed an application seeking authority to sell, transfer, and assign certain of its Iowa properties to Iowa Electric Light & Power Company (IELP). That application was docketed as Case No. EM-92-225. On March 31, 1992, UE filed an application seeking authority to sell, transfer, and assign certain of its Illinois properties to Central Illinois Public Service Company (CIPSC). That application was docketed as Case No. EM-92-253. On April 29, 1992, the Commission consolidated the two cases into one proceeding.

On May 27, 1992, the Commission granted intervention to Anheuser-Busch, Inc.; Chrysler Corporation; Continental Cement Company; The Doe Run Company; Emerson Electric Company; Ford Motor Company; General Motors Corporation; Holnam, Inc.; MEMC Electronic Materials Co.; Mallinckrodt, Inc.; McDonnell Douglas Corporation; Monsanto Company; Nooter Corporation; and Pea

Ridge Iron Ore Company (collectively referenced as Intervenors). On June 24, 1992, the Staff of the Commission (Staff), UE, the Office of the Public Counsel (OPC), and the Intervenors participated in a prehearing conference.

On June 29, 1992, Staff and UE filed a joint motion for a procedural schedule. The motion stated that OPC and the Intervenors had indicated that they had no objections to the motion. The motion also requested that the hearing transcript be prepared on an expedited basis and that provision of workpapers and supporting or relied upon documents be required concurrent with the filing of testimony.

The Commission is of the opinion that a hearing should be scheduled at which time UE shall present its case in support of the proposed transactions. The Commission is also of the opinion that, in order to facilitate the hearing process, a procedural schedule should be established; all parties should be required to file prepared testimony; all parties should be required to file workpapers and supporting or relied upon documents concurrent with the filing of testimony; and Staff should prepare and file a hearing memorandum. Each party will be expected to provide Staff with its position on each unresolved issue in sufficient time for Staff to meet the established filing deadline. The Commission is further of the opinion that a second prehearing conference should be scheduled to allow the parties another opportunity to resolve substantive as well as procedural issues.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That Union Electric Company shall file fifteen (15) copies of its prepared direct testimony with the Executive Secretary of the Commission, and serve two (2) copies of same upon the Public Counsel and each intervenor, on or before July 14, 1992.

2. That Staff, Public Counsel and the intervenors shall each file fifteen (15) copies of their prepared rebuttal testimony with the Executive

Secretary of the Commission, and shall serve two (2) copies of same upon the other parties, on or before August 14, 1992.

3. That Union Electric Company shall file fifteen (15) copies of its prepared surrebuttal testimony with the Executive Secretary of the Commission, and shall serve two (2) copies of same upon the Public Counsel and each intervenor, on or before August 31, 1992.

4. That Staff, Public Counsel, and the intervenors shall each file fifteen (15) copies of their prepared cross-surrebuttal testimony with the Executive Secretary of the Commission, and serve two (2) copies of same upon the other parties, on or before August 31, 1992.

5. That the parties shall file workpapers and supporting or relied upon documents concurrent with the filing of their prepared testimony.

6. That a prehearing conference is hereby scheduled for September 8, 1992, commencing at 10:00 a.m., in the Commission's hearing room located on the fifth floor of the Harry S Truman State Office Building, 301 West High Street, Jefferson City, Missouri.

7. That the parties shall file a hearing memorandum setting out the issues to be heard and the witnesses to appear on each day of the hearing, definitions of terms used to describe those issues, and each party's position on those issues on or before September 21, 1992.

8. That a hearing in this matter is hereby scheduled for September 28, 1992, commencing at 10:00 a.m., and continuing through September 30, 1992, as is necessary, in the Commission's hearing room located on the fifth floor of the Harry S Truman State Office Building, 301 West High Street, Jefferson City, Missouri.

9. That this Order shall become effective on the date hereof.

BY THE COMMISSION

Brent Stewart

Brent Stewart
Executive Secretary

(S E A L)

McClure, Chm., Mueller, Rauch,
Perkins and Kincheloe, CC., Concur.