

~~APPENDIX D~~
STATE OF MISSOURI
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

7-1-80 Binder

At a Session of the Public Service Commission held at its office in Jefferson City on the 3rd day of April, 1987.

CASE NO. EO-85-17

In the matter of the determination of in-service criteria for the Union Electric Company's Callaway Nuclear Plant and Callaway rate base and related issues.

CASE NO. ER-85-160

In the matter of Union Electric Company of St. Louis, Missouri, for authority to file tariffs increasing rates for electric service provided to customers in the Missouri service area of the company. (filing January 15, 1985).

CASE NO. AO-87-48

In the matter of the investigation of the revenue effects upon Missouri utilities of the Tax Reform Act of 1986.

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

On March 24, 1987, Union Electric Company filed its "Motion To Revise Rate Phase-In Plan, And To Allow Tariffs To Become Effective On Less Than 30 Days Notice". The Company proposes to reduce the scheduled rate changes in the rate phase-in plan adopted by this Commission in Case Nos. EO-85-17 and ER-85-160 to reflect lower tax rates approved in the Tax Reform Act of 1986. The revised phase-in plan provides for overall annual revenue increases from 1987 through 1990 of approximately 4.6 percent, compared to increases in those years of 7.3 percent in the original phase-in plan.

Attached to the Motion are tariff sheets which will implement the proposed adjustments to the 1987-1990 rate increases. Tariff sheets for the years 1991 and 1992 have not been compiled, but will be filed as soon as they are completed.

The Company also requests that the tariffs for the 1987 increase be allowed to go into effect on less than 30 days notice. The 1987 increase has a proposed effective date of April 9, 1987, the date on which the next phase-in increase is scheduled.

On March 26, 1987, the Commission issued its Order directing that any objections to the Company's Motion be filed on or before March 31, 1987.

On March 30, 1987, Monsanto, et al. and Anheuser-Busch, Inc., filed a motion to suspend, stating that they contest the rate design reflected in the proposed tariffs. However, the Motion states that Monsanto, et al. and Anheuser-Busch, Inc. do not seek to stay Union Electric's new tariffs provided that this does not effect any right they may have to seek a stay of rates in the appeal of Commission Case Nos. EO-85-17 and ER-85-160 now pending in the Cole County Circuit Court.

Staff has reviewed the tariffs and recommends approval. By recommending approval of the tariffs, Staff is not limiting its right to address the effect of the Tax Reform Act of 1986 in any future proceeding, nor is it agreeing that this reduction reflects the total effect of the TRA.

The Commission, having reviewed the Company's Motion, the Motion to suspend, and Staff's recommendation, concludes that the Motion filed by the Union Electric Company should be granted. In the Commission's opinion, the benefits to Union Electric customers associated with the rate reduction sought herein, constitutes good cause for allowing the tariffs for the 1987 phase-in increase to become effective on less than 30 days notice.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED: 1. That the Motion To Revise Rate Phase-In Plan, And To Allow Tariffs To Become Effective On Less Than 30 Days Notice filed herein by the Union Electric Company be, and it is, hereby granted.

ORDERED: 2. That the revised phase-in plan approved herein be, and it is, hereby approved to the same extent and under the same conditions as the phase-in plan approved by the Commission in Case Nos. EO-87-17 and ER-85-160.

ORDERED: 3. That the Union Electric Company is authorized to withdraw its phase-in tariffs for years three through eight which were filed pursuant to the Report and Order in Case Nos. EO-85-17 and ER-85-160 and to replace those tariffs with phase-in tariffs which reflect the rate changes set forth in the Company's Motion of March 24, 1987.

ORDERED: 4. That the following third year phase-in tariff sheets submitted on March 24, 1987, by Union Electric Company for the purpose of increasing rates for electric service provided to customers in its Missouri service area be, and they are, hereby approved and made effective for electric service rendered on and after April 9, 1987.

P.S.C. Mo. No. 5

27th Revised Sheet No. 28	cancelling	26th Revised Sheet No. 28
11th Revised Sheet No. 32	cancelling	10th Revised Sheet No. 32
14th Revised Sheet No. 34	cancelling	13th Revised Sheet No. 34
23rd Revised Sheet No. 37	cancelling	22nd Revised Sheet No. 37
18th Revised Sheet No. 39	cancelling	17th Revised Sheet No. 39
16th Revised Sheet No. 40	cancelling	15th Revised Sheet No. 40
22nd Revised Sheet No. 41	cancelling	21st Revised Sheet No. 41
9th Revised Sheet No. 44	cancelling	8th Revised Sheet No. 44
20th Revised Sheet No. 50	cancelling	19th Revised Sheet No. 50
13th Revised Sheet No. 55	cancelling	12th Revised Sheet No. 55
15th Revised Sheet No. 60	cancelling	14th Revised Sheet No. 60
12th Revised Sheet No. 63	cancelling	11th Revised Sheet No. 63
23rd Revised Sheet No. 67	cancelling	22nd Revised Sheet No. 67
11th Revised Sheet No. 99	cancelling	10th Revised Sheet No. 99

ORDERED: 5. That this Order shall become effective on the date hereof.

BY THE COMMISSION

Harvey G. Hubbs

Harvey G. Hubbs
Secretary

(S E A L)


Steinmeier, Chm., Musgrave, Hendren,
and Fischer, CC., Concur.
Mueller, C., Absent.

STATE OF MISSOURI

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City, Missouri, this 17th day of June, 1988.



Harvey G. Hubbs

Harvey G. Hubbs
Secretary