# STATE OF MISSERIA PUBLIC CARVICE COMMISSION

At a Session of the Public Service Commission held at its office in Jefferson City on the 27th day of December, 1982.

#### CASE NO. FR-81-43

In the matter of St. Joseph Light & Power Company, of St. Joseph, Missouri, for authority to file tariffs increasing rates for electric service provided to customers in the Missouri service area of the Company.

#### CASE NO. GR-81-44

In the matter of St. Joseph Light & Power Company, of St. Joseph, Missouri, for authority to fite tariffs increasing rates for gas service provided to customers in the Missouri service area of the Company.

### CASS NO. 11R-81-45

In the matter of St. Joseph Light & Power Company, of St. Joseph, Missouri, for authority to file tariffs increasing rates for steam service provided to customers in the Missouri service area of the Company.

### CASE NO. 0R-81-46

In the matter of St. Joseph Light & Power Company, of St. Joseph, Missouri, for authority to file tariffs increasing rates for transit service provided to customers in St. Joseph, Missouri.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RATE ORDER

By verified application filed with the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) on or about December 10, 1982, St. Joseph Light & Power Company (Company) requested a supplemental rate order be issued in the above-captioned docket, which would indicate that the cost of service determined therein was

predicated upon the uso of a normalization method of accounting which meets the normalization requirements of Section 168(e)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) and which would indicate that in future determinations of cost of service, Company would be authorized to use the accelerated cost recovery system (ACRS) as prescribed in the Economic Recovery Tax Act (FRTA) of 1981.

On August 13, 1981, ERTA become law. ERTA changes the tax law in several ways as it relates to public utilities and, hence, as it relates to Company. Among the changes, ERTA does the following: establishes ACRS which allows a faster write-off of certain assets without regard to salvage value; and establishes an expanded normalization requirement for the deductions available with respect to ACRS property.

Company indicates in its verified application that its present rates incorporate normalized accounting methods. However, Section 200(d) of FRTA provides that regulatory approval of a normalized method of accounting consistent with ERTA must be obtained in the first rate order subsequent to the date of the Act (i.e. August 13, 1981), but in no event later than January 1, 1983. Because the Report and Order issued in the above-captioned matter does not specifically address this issue and because of the distinct likelihood that Company will not receive another rate order from the Commission prior to January 1, 1983, Company requests that a supplemental rate order be issued in the above-captionel matter clearly indicating that the cost of service determined therein was predicated upon the use of a normalization method of accounting which meets the normalization requirements of Section 168(e)(3) of the IRC. Furthermore, Compan, requests the Commission to issue its supplemental rate order in the above-captioned matter clearly indicating that in future determinations of cost of service by this Commission, Company will be authorized to use ACRS for calculating depreciation for income tax purposes and to use a normalization method of accounting as defined and prescribed in ERTA of 1981, and as defined and prescribed in any rolings or regulations which might be promulgated tomether explain or define the provisions of thate.

Company asserts that the rate order which it requests is in its, as well or its customers', best interests because overall, the cost of service would increase an the event of loss of ACRS benefits by Coopeny if Company were ultimately determined to have failed to comply with the requirements of ARTA.

On December 15, 1982, the Staff of the Commission filed its response to Company's application indicating that in its opin on the request is reasonable and, if so authorized by the Commission, will not be cotrimental to the public interest.

The Commission has reviewed the verified application and Staff's response and concludes that a hearing is unnecessary, and that the supplemental rate order as requested by the Company is reasonable for the reasons previously counciated and, therefore, should be granted.

It is, therefore.

CRUIRID: 1. That the rates which were established as a result of the instant proceeding were based upon a cost of service utilizing a method of accounting which meets the normalization requirements of Section 168(c)(3) of the IRC.

ORDERED: 2. That Company be, and is, hereby authorized to use the accelerated cost recovery system for calculating depreciation for income tax purposes and to use a normalization method of accounting as defined and prescribed in the Economic Recovery Tax Act of 1981, and as defined and prescribed in any rulings or regulations which might be promulgated to further explain or define the provisions of that Act.

ORDERED: 3. That this Order shall become effective on the date hereof.

BY THE COUNTSSION

Hearing S. Klabler Harvey 6, Hubbs

Secretary

(SEAL)

McCartney, Dority, Shapleigh and Musgrave, CC., Concur. Fraas, Clam., Absent.