# STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION JEFFERSON CITY November 29, 1999

CASE NO: TO-2000-322

Office of the Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Paul G. Lane, Esq./Leo J. Bub/Anthony K. Conroy/Katherine C. Swaller
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company
One Bell Center, Room 3516
St. Louis, MO 63101

General Counsel
Missouri Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Mark P. Johnson/Lisa C. Creighton Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal 4520 Main Street, Suite 1100 · Kansas City, MO 64111

Enclosed find certified copy of an ORDER in the above-numbered case(s).

Sincerely,

Dale Hardy Roberts

Hole Hard Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Copy inadvertently not sent to Mark Johnson/Lisa Creighton on 11/29/99. Corrected service list and sent to Mark + Lisa on 12/8/99- nh

# STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION JEFFERSON CITY November 29, 1999

CASE NO: TO-2000-322

Office of the Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102 General Counsel
Missouri Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Paul G. Lane, Esq./Leo J. Bub/Anthony K. Conroy/Katherine C. Swaller
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company
One Bell Center, Room 3516
St. Louis, MO 63101

Enclosed find certified copy of an ORDER in the above-numbered case(s).

Sincerely,

Dale Hardy Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Petition of DIECA	)		
Communications Inc., d/b/a Covad Communications	)		
Company for Arbitration of Interconnection	)	Case No.	TO-2000-322
Rates, Terms, Conditions and Related Arrange-	)		
ments with Southwestern Bell Telephone Company.	)		

#### ORDER REGARDING ARBITRATION

On November 9, 1999, DIECA Communications Inc., d/b/a Covad Communications Company (Covad), filed its petition for arbitration with the Commission pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act) and Section 386.230 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri. The petition asks the Commission to arbitrate open issues related to Covad's request for an interconnection agreement with Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT).

#### Jurisdiction.

Covad's request to negotiate an agreement was presented to SWBT on June 23, 1999. Covad's petition is timely filed under the Act which allows a petition for arbitration to be filed during the period from the 135<sup>th</sup> to the 160<sup>th</sup> day after the date Covad's request was received by SWBT. In this case the window to request arbitration was the period from November 5 to November 30, 1999. The Commission will accept jurisdiction of the request for arbitration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>All references herein to the Revised Statutes of Missouri (RSMo), unless otherwise specified, are to the revision of 1994.

#### Certificate of Service.

Covad's petition was filed with a cover letter to the Commission's Secretary that showed copies to certain offices or individuals but did not include a certificate of service to show the parties or entities served. Covad will be directed to file a certificate of service to show service of the petition and its Motion for Protective Order to document the service of these papers on appropriate or interested persons and offices.

#### Response.

Under the Act SWBT has 25 days from the filing of the petition to respond to Covad's petition. This date would be December 4, 1999, a Saturday. SWBT will be directed to file its response by December 6, 1999. In particular, SWBT shall respond to the unresolved issues presented by Covad; note any additional issues that must be resolved for a successful arbitration; and provide its position on all the issues presented by Covad and SWBT.

#### Staff Made a Party.

So that the Commission may carry out its statutory duty to arbitrate this matter in a complete and expeditious manner and, in doing so, safeguard the interests and welfare of the people of the state of Missouri, the Commission finds that the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) is a necessary party herein. The Staff shall file testimony, present and examine witnesses at the hearing, and file a brief herein.

#### Motion for Protective Order.

Covad filed a separate motion for protective order with its petition and requested modifications to the Commission's standard protective order citing undo expense and delays if the standard protective order is issued without modification. SWBT filed its Suggestions in Opposition to Motion for Protective Order on November 24, 1999, urging the Commission to issue the standard protective order. The Commission is sensitive to protecting competitive interests cited by SWBT and will issue the standard protective order. The Commission cautions the parties that access to information that appears relevant to this arbitration will not be denied directly or indirectly due to access limitations or time constraints. The parties are encouraged to resolve their differences informally. If they cannot, any party may bring a particular discovery issue to the Commission for an expedited ruling, including waiver of any provision of the standard protective order with respect to particular information.

#### Prehearing Conference and Filing of Procedural Schedule.

The setting of a prehearing conference and filing of a procedural schedule are appropriate to ensure the prompt resolution of this matter within the limited time period allowed under the Act. At the prehearing conference, the parties' representatives should be prepared to discuss the nature of any discovery each will conduct and the interval necessary for its completion; the number of witnesses each expects to call at hearing; the number and nature of any exhibits each expects to offer at

hearing; and the anticipated length of the hearing. Because there is already an indication that discovery issues may be presented in this matter, the parties should be prepared to discuss the areas of factual dispute that must be resolved in this arbitration and the sources and availability of information necessary to resolve those disputes. The Commission will promptly issue any necessary orders to so that appropriate information will be available to the parties and to the Commission. The parties' representatives should also be prepared to discuss the current status of settlement negotiations.

The parties shall jointly file a proposed procedural schedule prior to the prehearing conference. The proposed procedural schedule shall establish dates for the prefiling of direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony according to Commission rule, as well as dates for the filing of a joint statement of issues and the position of the parties with respect to each such issue. The proposed procedural schedule shall also establish dates for the hearing of this matter.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- 1. That the Commission, pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and Section 386.230 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri, accepts jurisdiction of the request for arbitration.
- 2. That DIECA Communications Inc., d/b/a Covad Communications Company, shall file its certificate of service as directed in this Order.
- 3. That Southwestern Bell Telephone Company is directed to file its response by December 6, 1999, in accordance with this Order and with the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

- 4. That the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission is made a party to this matter.
- 5. That the protective order attached hereto as Attachment A is adopted.
- 6. That a prehearing conference shall be held on December 22, 1999, beginning at 10:00 a.m. The prehearing conference shall be held at the Commission's offices on the fifth floor of the Harry S Truman State Office Building, 301 West High Street, Jefferson City, Missouri. Anyone wishing to attend who has special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days before the prehearing conference at: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211 or TDD Hotline 1-800-829-7541.
- 7. That the parties shall jointly prepare and file a proposed procedural schedule no later than December 20, 1999.

8. That this order shall become effective on November 29, 1999.

BY THE COMMISSION

Dale Hardy Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

(SEAL)

Keith Thornburg, Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.120(1), (November 30, 1995) and Section 386.240, RSMo 1994.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 29th day of November, 1999.

#### PROTECTIVE ORDER

A. The following definitions shall apply to information which a party claims should not be made public.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL: Information concerning (1) material or documents that contain information relating directly to specific customers; (2) employee-sensitive information; (3) marketing analyses or other market-specific information relating to services offered in competition with others; (4) reports, work papers or other documentation related to work produced by internal or external auditors or consultants; (5) strategies employed, to be employed, or under consideration in contract negotiations.

PROPRIETARY: Information concerning trade secrets, as well as confidential or private technical, financial and business information.

B. During the course of discovery a party may designate information as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY (hereinafter, "designated information") and shall make such designated information available to the party seeking discovery, if such information is not objectionable on any other ground, under the restrictions set out in paragraphs C and D. The party designating the information as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY shall provide to counsel for the requesting party, at the time the designation is made, the ground or grounds for the designation. The requesting party may then file a motion challenging the designation. The party

designating the information confidential shall have five (5) days after the filing of the challenge to file a response. No other filings are authorized.

- C. Materials or information designated as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL may at the option of the furnishing party, be made available only on the furnishing party's premises and may be reviewed only by attorneys or outside experts who have been retained for the purpose of this case, unless good cause can be shown for disclosure of the information off-premises and the designated information is delivered to the custody of the requesting party's attorney. Outside expert witnesses shall not be employees, officers or directors of any of the parties in this proceeding. No copies of such material or information shall be made and only limited notes may be taken, and such notes shall be treated as the HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL information from which notes were taken.
- D. Disclosure of PROPRIETARY information shall be made only to attorneys, and to such employees who are working as consultants to such attorney or intend to file testimony in these proceedings, or to persons designated by a party as outside experts. Employees to whom such disclosure is to be made must be identified to the other party by name, title and job classification prior to disclosure. Information designated as PROPRIETARY shall be served on the attorney(s) for the requesting party. On-premises inspection shall not be required for PROPRIETARY information, except in the case of voluminous documents (see paragraph K). Any employees of

the party who wish to review such PROPRIETARY materials shall first read this order and certify in writing that (s)he has reviewed same and consented to its terms. The acknowledgment so executed shall contain the signatory's full name, permanent address, title or position, date signed, and an affirmation that the signer is acting on behalf of his/her employer. Such acknowledgment shall be delivered to counsel for the party furnishing the information or documents before disclosure is made.

- E. Attorneys, in-house experts or outside experts who have been provided access to material or information designated HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY shall be subject to the nondisclosure requirements set forth in paragraphs C or D, whichever is applicable, and S.
- F. If material or information to be disclosed in response to a data request contains material or information concerning another party which the other party has indicated is confidential, the furnishing party shall notify the other party of the intent to disclose the information. The other party may then choose to designate the material or information as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY under the provisions of this Protective Order.
- G. Any party may use material or information designated as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY in prefiled or oral testimony at hearing provided that the same level of confidentiality assigned by the furnishing party is maintained, unless otherwise classified by the Commission. In filing testimony all parties shall

designate as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY only those portions of their testimony which contain information so designated by the furnishing party. If any party plans to use information and testimony which has been obtained outside this proceeding, it must ascertain from the furnishing party if any of such information is claimed to be HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY prior to filing.

- A party may designate prefiled or live testimony, or portions Η. thereof, submitted in this case as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY (hereinafter, "designated testimony"). Prefiled testimony designated as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY shall be filed under seal and served upon all attorneys of record. those portions of the prefiled testimony designated as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY should be filed under seal, and should be marked in a manner which clearly indicates which materials are considered HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL and which are considered PROPRIETARY.
- I. Within five (5) days of the filing of designated testimony, the party asserting the claim shall file with the Commission the specific ground or grounds for each claim. Such filing shall show the nature of the information sought to be protected and specifically state the alleged harm of disclosure. Such filing shall be filed under seal only if it contains either PROPRIETARY or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL information and shall be served upon all attorneys of record.

- J. Attorneys upon whom prefiled testimony designated HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY has been served shall make such testimony available only to those persons authorized to review such testimony under the restrictions in Paragraphs C or D, whichever is applicable.
- K. If a response to a discovery request requires the duplication of voluminous material or material not easily copied because of its binding or size, the furnishing party may require the voluminous material be reviewed on its own premises. Voluminous material shall mean a single document, book or paper which consists of more than 150 pages.
- L. Attorneys of record in this case shall require that the in-house or outside expert read this Protective Order and certify in a written nondisclosure agreement that the person has reviewed the Protective Order and consented to be bound by its terms. The nondisclosure agreement shall contain the signatory's full name, permanent address, employer and the name of the party with whom the signatory is associated. Such agreement shall be filed with the Commission. Attached hereto as Appendix "A" and incorporated by reference herein is a form for use in complying with the terms of this paragraph.
- M. In the event a witness discloses the contents of designated prefiled testimony in his or her own prefiled testimony, such testimony shall also be designated in the same manner as the

designated prefiled testimony and handled in accordance with this order.

- N. Unless good cause is shown, challenges to the confidential nature of prefiled designated testimony shall be filed with the Commission no later than ten (10) days after the grounds supporting the designations are filed or at the hearing, whichever occurs first.

  The party making the designation shall have five (5) days to respond to the challenge or may respond at the hearing, whichever occurs first.
- O. The Commission or Regulatory Law Judge may rule on the challenge to the designations prior to the hearing, or at the hearings.
- P. In the event no party challenges prefiled designated testimony, or in the event the Commission or its Regulatory Law Judge rules that testimony was properly designated, then such testimony shall be received into evidence, subject to any other objections being made and ruled upon, and kept under seal.
- Q. In addition, all live testimony, including cross-examination and oral argument which reveals the content of prefiled designated testimony or which is otherwise held to be confidential, including any argument as to whether certain testimony is properly designated, shall be made only after the hearing room is cleared of all persons besides the Commission, its Regulatory Law Judges, court reporters, attorneys of record and witnesses to whom the designated information is available pursuant to the terms of this Protective Order. The transcript of such live testimony or oral

argument shall be kept under seal and copies shall only be provided to the Commission, its Regulatory Law Judges, and attorneys of record. Such attorneys shall not disclose the contents of such transcripts to anyone other than those who may have access to the designated information under the terms of this Protective Order. Persons who have access to the designated information under the terms of this Protective Order shall treat the contents of such transcript as any other designated information under the terms of this Protective Order.

- R. References to designated testimony, whether prefiled or live and transcribed, in any pleadings before the Commission, shall be by citation only and not by quotation. Subject to the jurisdiction of any reviewing court, references to designated testimony in pleadings or oral arguments made to such reviewing court shall also be by citation only.
- S. All persons who are afforded access to information under the terms of this Protective Order shall neither use nor disclose such information for purposes of business or competition or any other purpose other than the purpose of preparation for and conduct of this proceeding and then solely as contemplated herein, and shall keep the information secure and in accordance with the purposes and intent of this order.
- T. Subject to the jurisdiction of any reviewing court, designated testimony constituting part of the record before the Commission

shall be delivered to any reviewing court under seal upon service of the appropriate writ of review.

- U. The Commission may modify this order on motion of a party or on its own motion upon reasonable notice to the parties and opportunity for hearing.
- V. Within ninety (90) days after the completion of this proceeding, including judicial review thereof, all designated information, testimony, exhibits, transcripts or briefs in the possession of any party other than Staff or the Public Counsel shall be returned to the party claiming a confidential interest in such information and any notes pertaining to such information shall be destroyed.
- W. The provisions of paragraph C, D, J and L of this Protective Order do not apply to Staff or Public Counsel. Staff and Public Counsel are subject to the nondisclosure provisions of Section 386.480, RSMo 1994. Staff and Public Counsel shall provide a list of the names of their employees who will have access to the designated information.
- X. Outside experts of Staff or Public Counsel who have been contracted to be witnesses in this proceeding shall have access to designated information and testimony on the same basis as Staff and Public Counsel except the outside expert shall read this order and sign the nondisclosure agreement attached as Appendix "A" hereto.

- Y. Outside experts of Staff and Public Counsel who have not been contracted to be witnesses in this proceeding are subject to the provisions of this Protective Order.
- Z. Prefiled testimony and exhibits, whether filed or offered at the hearing, shall be prepared in the manner described in Appendix "B".

APPENDIX "A"

### STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### NONDISCLOSURE AGREEMENT

	I,					,
have bee	n presented a	copy of this	Protective	Order	issued in	ı Case
No	on the	day of			, 19	·
	I have requ	ested review	of the co	nfidenti	al infon	nation
produced	in Case No	on 1				
	I hereby ce	ertify that I	have read		above-men	 tioned
Protecti	ve Order and a	gree to abide l	oy its terms	and cor	nditions.	
	Dated this	da	y of			<i>'</i>
19						
		Signature and	Title			
		Employee	<del> </del>			
		Employer				
				:	•	
		Party				
		Address				
		Telephone				

- 1. If prefiled testimony contains parts which are classified as Proprietary or Highly Confidential, it shall be filed with the Commission's Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge's Office as follows:
  - A. An original plus eight (8) copies of prefiled testimony with the Proprietary or Highly Confidential portions obliterated or removed shall be filed.
  - B. One (1) copy of those pages which contain information which has been designated as Proprietary, with any Highly Confidential portions obliterated or removed, shall be filed in a separate envelope. The portions which are Proprietary shall be indicated as described in D, below.
  - C. One (1) copy of those pages which have been designated as Highly Confidential shall be filed in a separate envelope. The portions which are Highly Confidential shall be indicated as described in D, below.
  - D. Six (6) copies of the complete prefiled testimony to be filed under seal for the Regulatory Law Judge and Commissioners.

    The Proprietary pages shall be stamped "P" and the Proprietary information indicated by two asterisks before and after the information, \*\*Proprietary\*\*. The Highly Confidential pages shall be stamped "HC" with the Highly Confidential information indicated by two asterisks and underlining before

Attachment A
Page 11 of 12 pages

Appendix "B"
Page 2 of 2 pages

and after the Highly Confidential information, \*\*Highly Confidential \*\*.

Any deviations from this format must be approved by the Regulatory Law Judge.

2. Three (3) copies of exhibits, whether testimony or other, shall be filed at the hearing with the information separated as described in 1.A, 1.B and 1.C above with each copy of the Proprietary and Highly Confidential portions placed into separate envelopes to be marked as Exhibit \_\_, Exhibit \_\_P and Exhibit \_\_HC.

#### STATE OF MISSOURI OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City, Missouri, this <u>29th</u> day of November 1999.

Dale Hardy Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Hole Hard Roberts