BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI



In the Matter of the Petition of the North)	Missouri Public Service Commission
American Numbering Plan Administrator)	
on Behalf of the Missouri Telecommunications)	Case No. TO-2000-374
Industry, for Approval of NPA Relief Plan for)	
the 314 and 816 Area Code)	

RESPONSE OF SPRINT REGARDING COST ALLOCATION AND COST RECOVERY FOR STATE NUMBER POOLING TRIALS

COMES NOW Sprint Communications Company, L.P. and Sprint Missouri, Inc., d/b/a Sprint (herein collectively referred to as "Sprint") pursuant to the December 18, 2001 Order of the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri ("Commission") and hereby submit the following.

- 1. In its December 18, 2001 Order in this docket the Commission, among other matters, directed the industry to file proposal(s) reflecting recommended cost recovery procedures for carrier specific costs related to the Missouri state specific number pooling trials that have been mandated by the Commission for the 314 and 816 NPAs. In that order the Commission directed that the industry submit a proposal for recovery of carrier specific costs, including joint costs allocated to a specific carrier, by no later than March 22, 2002.
- 2. Subsequent to the Commission's December 18 Order, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) issued its *Third Report and Order and Second Order on Reconsideration* in CC Docket NO. 96-98 and CC Docket NO. 99-200 (FCC 01-362)¹. This FCC order addressed further refinements of numbering administration

¹ Third Report and Order and Second Order on Reconsideration in the Matter of Numbering Resource Optimization, CC Docket No. 99-200, 96-98, adopted December 12, 2001 and released December 28, 2001 FCC 01-362 (2001).



policies and procedures as well as provisions inherent in the Federal Cost Recovery Mechanism in Section 251(e)(2) of the Act, which requires that the cost of establishing telecommunications numbering administration arrangements and number portability will be borne by all telecommunications carriers on a competitively neutral basis. Included in the *Third Report and Order*, the FCC addressed federal cost recovery mechanisms for national number pooling and generally concluded that national cost recovery is appropriate when thousands-block number pooling is extended nationwide.

3. The FCC reiterated that states, such as Missouri, who have already implemented number pooling in advance of the national rollout, should proceed with implementation of competitively neutral cost recovery mechanisms.² The FCC provided additional guidance as to how the identification of both shared industry and carrier-specific costs incurred for the provision of thousands-block number pooling would occur. Specifically, for price cap carriers such as Sprint, the FCC's *Order* states that final determination of exactly what and how number pooling costs will be recoverable under those procedures will not be known until later this year.³ Sprint has already taken steps to file its national number pooling costs with the FCC and is currently reviewing the FCC procedures and may need to seek further clarification. However, in the interim, because these costs at the national level and the associated recovery mechanisms are not yet known, Sprint submits that the carrier specific state cost recovery procedures cannot be addressed with certainty at this time.

² *Id.* At ¶ 28.

³ Id. Beginning at ¶ 39, recovery mechanisms and procedures of costs for price cap carriers are addressed by the FCC and will occur via an exogenous increase in price cap ceilings that apply to interstate access charges. This process is currently in progress and final results are, as yet, unknown.

- 4. Given the current uncertainty at the FCC, Sprint respectfully requests that the Commission delay the date for the Missouri industry to submit a final proposal for recovery of carrier specific costs, including joint costs allocated to a specific carrier. Until such time as the FCC has finalized the cost allocation and recovery procedures at the national level, individual carriers are not in the position to address state specific, compatible cost recovery mechanisms. In the interim, Sprint recommends that carriers continue to identify and track their specific costs related to number pooling so that subsequent recovery may occur. In this way, no party will forgo recovery of legitimate state-specific pooling costs nor will state specific procedures implemented in Missouri potentially conflict with or duplicate the FCC's cost allocation or recovery procedures.
- 5. WHEREFORE Sprint respectfully requests the Commission delay the date for the industry to submit final proposals until the FCC has finalized the cost allocation and recovery procedures at the national level.

Respectfully submitted,

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P.

SPRINT MISSOURI, INC.

Lisa Creighton Hendricks, Mo. Bar # 42194

6450 Sprint Parkway

MS:KSOPHN0212-2A353

Overland Park, Kansas 66251

Voice: 913-315-9363 Fax: 913-315-0760

lisa.c.creightonhendricks@mail.sprint.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned does hereby certify that on this 22nd day of March, 2002, a copy of the above and foregoing was served by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid to each of the following:

General Counsel Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Office of the Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Mark W. Comley Newman, Comley & Ruth, P.C. P.O. Box 537 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

W. R. England, III Brydon, Swearengen & England P.O. Box 456 Jefferson City, Missouri 6510

Craig S. Johnson Andereck, Evans, Milne, Peace & Baumhoer P.O. Box 1438 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Wendy Deboer/Peter Mirakian Spencer, Fane, Britt & Browne, LLP 1000 Walnut Street, Suite 1400 Kansas City, Missouri 64106-2140

Carl J. Lumley
Leland B. Curtis
Curtis, Oetting, Heinz, Garrett
& Soule, P.C.
130 S. Bemiston, Suite 200
St. Louis, Missouri 63105

Rebecca B. DeCook 1875 Lawrence Street, Suite 1575 Denver, Colorado 80202 Rdecook@lga.att.com

Kevin Zarling At&T Communications 919 Congress, Suite. 900 Austin, Texas 78701

Lee S. Adams/Cheryl Twitt Kimberly Wheeler Morrison & Foerster LLP 2000 Pennsylvania Ave., Suite. 5500 Washington, D.C. 20006

Edward J. Cadieux Carol Keith Gabriel Communications, Inc. 16090 Swingley Ridge Rd., Suite 500 Chesterfield, Missouri 63006

James M. Fischer
Larry W. Dority
Fischer & Dority, P.C.
101 Madison Street, Suite 400
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

James F. Mauze, Esq. Thomas E. Pulliam, Esq. Ottsen, Mauze, Leggat & Belz, LC 112 S. Hanley Road St. Louis, Missouri 63105

James Rohfling Brasil & Rohfling 6390 Lindell Blvd. St. Louis, Missouri 63108 Martin C. Rothfelder The Rothfelder Law Offices 625 Central Avenue Westfield, New Jersey 07090

Anthony K. Conroy Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. One Bell Center, Room 3510 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 J. Steve Weber 101 W. McCarty, Suite 216 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 Jsweber@att.com

Lisa C. Creighton Hendricks