Exhibit No.:

Issues: Materials and Supplies,

Prepayments,
Customer Deposits,
Customer Advances,
Mo PSC Assessments,
Rate Case Expenses, and
Customer Deposit Interest

Witness: "Kofi" Agyenim Boateng

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Case No.: ER-2005-0436

Date Testimony Prepared: October 14, 2005

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

"KOFI" AGYENIM BOATENG

AQUILA, INC. d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS - MPS ELECTRIC

AND AQUILA NETWORKS - L&P ELECTRIC

CASE NO. ER-2005-0436

Jefferson City, Missouri October 2005

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Tariff Filing of Aquila, Inc., to Implement a General Rate Increase for Retail Electric Service Provided to Customers in Its MPS and L&P Missouri Service Areas.) Case No. ER-2005-0436) Tariff No. YE-2005-1045)
AFFIDAVIT OF "KOFI" AGY	YENIM BOATENG
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss. COUNTY OF COLE)	
"Kofi" Agyenim Boateng, being of lawful participated in the preparation of the following Diform, consisting of pages to be presented the following Direct Testimony were given by him set forth in such answers; and that such matters knowledge and belief.	rect Testimony in question and answer in the above case; that the answers in a; that he has knowledge of the matters
"Kofi	"Agyenim Boateng
Subscribed and sworn to before me this Atlanta	of October 2005.
Notar	enth Charton
CHARLY SEAL	TONI M. CHARLTON Notary Public - State of Missouri y Commission Expires December 28, 2008 Cole County Commission #04474301

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3	"KOFI" AGYENIM BOATENG
4	AQUILA, INC. d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS - MPS ELECTRIC
5	AND AQUILA NETWORKS – L&P ELECTRIC
6	CASE NO. ER-2005-0436
7	EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE
8	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
9	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
10	MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES/PREPAYMENTS
11	CUSTOMER DEPOSITS
12	CUSTOMER ADVANCES
13	CUSTOMER DEPOSIT INTEREST EXPENSE
14	RATE CASE EXPENSE
15	DSC ASSESSMENT

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY
2	OF
3	"KOFI" AGYENIM BOATENG
4	AQUILA, INC. d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS - MPS ELECTRIC
5	AND AQUILA NETWORKS – L&P ELECTRIC
6	CASE NO. ER-2005-0436
7	Q. Please state your name and business address.
8	A. "Kofi" Agyenim Boateng, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102.
9	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
10	A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission or
11	PSC) as a Regulatory Auditor.
12	EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE
13	Q. Please describe your educational background and experience.
14	A. I graduated from the Ho Polytechnic, Ho, Ghana. I received a Higher National
15	Diploma (HND) in Accountancy (September 2000) and a Master's of Business
16	Administration with emphasis in Accounting at Lincoln University, Jefferson City, Missouri
17	(May 2004). In September of 2004, I commenced employment with the Commission Staff
18	(Staff) in my current position of Utility Regulatory Auditor. Prior to employment with the
19	Commission, I held the position of Accountant with the Controller & Accountant General's
20	Dept., Ghana; Accountant with ACS-BPS (Ghana) Limited; Payroll Account Technician with
21	Scholastic Book Club, Inc., Jefferson City; and Account Officer II with the Missouri
22	Department of Revenue, Jefferson City.
23	Q. What has been the nature of your duties while employed by the Commission?

3

4

5

6

7 8

10

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

18

17

19 20

21

22 23

A. It is my responsibility to assist with audits and examinations of the books and records of utility companies operating within the state of Missouri.

Q. Have you worked on any other cases since your employment with the Commission?

- A. Yes. I have been assigned to a number of small informal rate cases.
- Q. What knowledge, skills, experience, training or education do you have in these areas of which you are testifying as an expert witness?
- A. I have extensively reviewed other utility rate cases related to the issues I am sponsoring to ensure that the consistency of the Staff's method and procedures are maintained. My prior academic education has also prepared me to successfully sponsor the ratemaking areas I have been assigned in this case. I have received certificates of training from the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners-sponsored seminars in water, gas and the electric utility cost of service and regulation. Further, I have attended inhouse training seminars at the Commission specifically designed for continuing education and training in the areas of regulatory issues. I have also worked closely with Senior Staff members familiar within my areas of responsibility.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Q. Please give a brief summary of your direct testimony pertaining to this case.
- A. The purpose of this direct testimony is to address the rate base additions of materials and supplies and prepayments, as well as rate base deductions of customer deposits and customer advances for Aquila's MPS and L&P divisions in rate Case No. ER-2005-0436. I also discuss the income statement adjustments of customer deposit interest expense, rate case expense and annualization of PSC assessment in this testimony.

The components classified as rate base additions represent funds expended by Aquila to maintain effective and efficient operations in the provision of reliable service to rate payers. In this instance, the Company is allowed a return on its investment. Conversely, the rate base deduction items represent funds contributed by ratepayers to a utility company, and in the case of customer deposits and customer advances, ratepayers are allowed to earn a return on their contributed funds. In this direct testimony, all computations were based on the test year and updated through June 30, 2005. The Staff used a 13-month average or the end of update period balance given the extent of fluctuations or trends that was recognized during the test year and/or the update period for the particular item.

The PSC assessment represents the most recent Commission assessment in effect for the fiscal year July 1, 2005. The rate case expense is the total amount of this expense actually incurred by Aquila through June 30, 2005, the end of the update period. The Commission has authorized a true-up in this case so any additional expenses associated with the processing of this rate filing by Aquila will be examined during the true-up period of October 31, 2005 to determine their appropriateness for inclusion in this case.

PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

- Q. With reference to Case No. ER-2005-0436, have you made an examination of the books and records of the Aquila Networks, Inc. (MPS and L&P)?
 - A. Yes, with the assistance of other members of the Commission Staff (Staff).
 - Q. Please describe your responsibilities in this case.
- A. I am responsible for the rate base components of materials and supplies, prepayments, customer advances and customer deposits. I am also responsible for the Income

and cable, conduit, meters, transformers and breakers, etc.

What are prepayments?

1

2

3

4

5

6 7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Q.

material and supplies inventory for electric utility operations are cable poles, electrical wire

4 5

6 7

8 9

10 11

13

12

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

A. Prepayments relate to items that the Company "prepaid" so that the services will be on-hand during the normal course of the utility's operations. These types of items include the prepayment of insurance, software licenses, etc. that are paid in advance of coverage. Staff Auditing witness Steve M. Traxler will address prepayments relating to pensions in his direct testimony.

- Q. Were any of the prepayments not calculated using a 13-month average?
- Yes. The corporate prepaid software costs that are allocated between MPS and A. L&P demonstrated a downward trend during the test year and update period. The ending account balances at June 30, 2005 were used instead of a 13-month average.
- Q. Why would an ending balance be more appropriate to use instead of a 13-month average?
- A. In some instances, depending on the circumstances, the inventory account balances show a discernable trend, either increasing or decreasing, where an end of period balance would be more appropriate than would an averaging method. Where account balances fluctuate from one period to another, showing no discernable trend, an averaging method is more appropriate to reflect as a rate base investment. The appropriate levels of inventories are included in rate base, allowing investors to earn a return on monies invested for material and supplies and prepayments.

CUSTOMER DEPOSITS

- Q. Please describe the customer deposits amount that is deducted from rate base.
- A. Customer deposits generally represent funds received from customers as security against potential loss arising from failure to pay for service. Customer deposits are required by companies to establish credit for customers. The deposit represents a liability to

repay the funds received after a specified period or upon satisfaction of certain requirements. Since customer deposits are, in effect, an interest-free loan to the Company, a representative level is included as an offset to the rate base investment. This treatment allows customers to receive a "return" on the customer deposit amounts maintained by the Company. The customer deposits represent the ending balance at June 30, 2005 for both Aquila's MPS and L&P divisions. A review of the customer deposits for the test year and up through to the update period demonstrated an upward trend for MPS and L&P. A 13-month average of historical data that was used in the previous case involving this Company would not be representative of balances on which to set future rates because the ending period levels are increasing upward developing a trend. If a 13-month average is used for increasing customer deposit balances, then these deposits would be understated.

CUSTOMER ADVANCES

- Q. Please describe this rate base reduction item.
- A. Like customer deposits, the customer advances are represented in rate base by the update period balance of June 30, 2005. Customer advances are funds provided by customers of the Company to assist in the costs of the provision of electric service. These funds, like customer deposits, represent interest-free money to the Company. Therefore, it is appropriate to include these funds as an offset to rate base. However, unlike customer deposits, no interest is paid to these customers for the use of the money.
- Q. Is the use of an ending balance amount consistent with the method used by Staff in the previous Aquila rate case?
- A. No. In the previous case involving Aquila, Staff used a 13-month average in its computation given the fluctuations that occurred during the test year. In this case, the

in this item during the test year and update period.

amount of customer deposits on hand for MPS and L&P was used because of an upward trend

deposits. Customer deposits are interest bearing, so the liability is deducted from rate base

with the associated interest included as a cost of service. To calculate this adjustment, a

7.25% interest rate (prime + 1%) (Source: H.15 Selected Interest Rate, Board of Governors of

the Federal Reserve System) was multiplied by the balance in customer deposits discussed

consistent with the Staff's approach in the last Aquila rate case. The prime rate is considered

to be the interest rate charged to a bank's most credit-worthy corporate customers for

short-term loans. In this case, the bank prime loan rate of 6.25% at June 30, 2005 was used.

Why did you use this interest rate (prime + 1%)?

Please explain income statement adjustment S-69.20 for MPS and adjustment

The Staff's adjustments annualize interest expense related to customer

The use of this method to determine the customer deposit interest rate is

3

CUSTOMER DEPOSIT INTEREST EXPENSE

4 5

S-67.20 for L&P.

A.

Q.

A.

Q.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

RATE CASE EXPENSE

A.

item of three years.

earlier in my direct testimony.

18

Q. Please describe adjustment MPS-S-86.1 and adjustment L&P-Electric S-85.2.

These adjustments normalize rate case expense over a three-year period. The

19

20 Staff selected three years to recognize the company's selected amortization period for this

21

22

Q. How was the rate case expense adjusted for Case No. ER-2005-0436?

A. The total amount of actual rate case expense incurred by MPS and L&P through June 30, 2005, the end of the update period is being allowed at this time. Any additional rate case expense that is reasonably incurred will be considered for inclusion later in the case. This will allow costs such as consulting fees, employee travel expenditures and legal representation, which are directly associated with the length of the case through the prehearing and hearing process to be properly included in this case. The Staff will work with the Company to establish an ongoing normalized level of rate case expense for inclusion in rates.

The Commission has authorized a true-up in this case so any additional expenses associated with the processing of this rate filing by Aquila will be examined during this true-up period of October 31, 2005 to determine their appropriateness for inclusion in this case.

PSC ASSESSMENT

- Q. Please discuss adjustments MPS—S-86.2, L&P—S-85.1 to annualize the PSC Assessment.
- A. These adjustments represent the difference between the Staff's annualized PSC Assessment and the test year recorded assessment expense. The most recent PSC Assessment, in effect for the fiscal year July 1, 2005 to June 30, 2006, was used in the Staff's annualization.
 - Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
 - A. Yes, it does.