Exhibit No.:

Issues: Materials and Supplies,

Prepayments,

PSC Assessments, and Rate Case Expenses

Witness: "Kofi" Agyenim Boateng

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Case No.: HR-2005-0450

Date Testimony Prepared: October 14, 2005

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

"KOFI" AGYENIM BOATENG

AQUILA, INC. d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P STEAM

CASE NO. HR-2005-0450

Jefferson City, Missouri October 14, 2005

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Tariff Filing of Aquila, Inc., to Implement a General Rate Increase for Retail SteamHeat Service Provided to Customers in Its L&P Missouri Service Area.	Case No. HR-2005-0450 Tariff No. YH-2005-1066
AFFIDAVIT OF "KOFI" AGYENIM E	BOATENG
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss. COUNTY OF COLE)	
"Kofi" Agyenim Boateng, being of lawful age, on participated in the preparation of the following Direct Test form, consisting of	timony in question and answer bove case; that the answers in has knowledge of the matters
15.16	im Boateng
Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of Octob	ber 2005.
Som 1	'l Charteen
Notary My Commiss	ONI M. CHARLTON Public - State of Missouri ion Expires December 28, 2008 Cole County mmission #04474301

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3	"KOFI" AGYENIM. BOATENG
4	AQUILA, INC. d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P STEAM
5	CASE NO. HR-2005-0450
6	EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE 1
7	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
8	PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY
9	MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES/PREPAYMENTS
10	RATE CASE EXPENSE5
11	PSC ASSESSMENT6

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY
2	OF
3	"KOFI" AGYENIM BOATENG
4	AQUILA, INC. d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P STEAM
5	CASE NO. HR-2005-0450
6	Q. Please state your name and business address.
7	A. "Kofi" Agyenim Boateng, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102.
8	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
9	A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission or
10	PSC) as a Regulatory Auditor.
11	EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE
12	Q. Please describe your educational background and experience.
13	A. I graduated from the Ho Polytechnic, Ho, Ghana. I received a Higher National
14	Diploma (HND) in Accountancy (September 2000) and a Master's of Business
15	Administration with emphasis in Accounting at Lincoln University, Jefferson City, Missouri
16	(May 2004). In September of 2004, I commenced employment with the Commission Staff
17	(Staff) in my current position of Utility Regulatory Auditor. Prior to employment with the
18	Commission, I held the position of Accountant with the Controller & Accountant General's
19	Dept., Ghana; Accountant with ACS-BPS (Ghana) Limited; Payroll Account Technician with
20	Scholastic Book Club, Inc., Jefferson City; and Account Officer II with the Missouri
21	Department of Revenue, Jefferson City.

Q. What has been the nature of your duties while employed by the Commission?

3

4 5

6

8

7

10

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

18

17

20

19

21 22

A. It is my responsibility to assist with audits and examinations of the books and records of utility companies operating within the state of Missouri.

Q. Have you worked on any other cases since your employment with the Commission?

- A. Yes. I have been assigned to a number of small informal rate cases.
- Q. What knowledge, skills, experience, training or education do you have in these areas of which you are testifying as an expert witness?
- A. I have extensively reviewed other utility rate cases related to the issues I am sponsoring to ensure that the consistency of the Staff's method and procedures are maintained. My prior academic education has also prepared me to successfully sponsor the ratemaking areas I have been assigned in this case. I have received certificates of training from the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners-sponsored seminars in water, steam and the electric utility cost of service and regulation. Further, I have attended inhouse training seminars at the Commission specifically designed for continuing education and training in the areas of regulatory issues. I have also worked closely with Senior Staff members familiar within my areas of responsibility.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Q. Please give a brief summary of your direct testimony pertaining to this case.
- A. The purpose of this direct testimony is to address the rate base additions of materials and supplies and prepayments for Aquila's L&P (Steam) division in rate Case No. HR-2005-0450. I also discuss the income statement adjustments of rate case expense and annualization of PSC assessment in this testimony.

5

4

67

8

10

1112

13

15

14

1617

19

18

2021

22

23

The components classified as rate base additions represent funds expended by Aquila to maintain effective and efficient operations in the provision of reliable service to rate payers. In this instance, the Company is allowed a return on its investment. In this direct testimony, all computations were based on the test year and updated through June 30, 2005. The Staff used a 13-month average or the end of update period balance given the extent of fluctuations or trends that was recognized during the test year and/or the update period for the particular item.

The PSC assessment represents the most recent Commission assessment in effect for the fiscal year July 1, 2005. The rate case expense is the total amount of this expense actually incurred by Aquila through June 30, 2005, the end of the update period. The Commission has authorized a true-up in this case so any additional expenses associated with the processing of this rate filing by Aquila will be examined during the true-up period of October 31, 2005 to determine their appropriateness for inclusion in this case.

PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY

- Q. With reference to Case No. HR-2005-0450, have you made an examination of the books and records of the Aquila Networks, Inc. (L&P-Steam)?
 - A. Yes, with the assistance of other members of the Commission Staff (Staff).
 - Q. Please describe your responsibilities in this case.
- A. I am responsible for the rate base components of materials and supplies, and prepayments. I am also responsible for the Income Statement adjustments to rate case expense and the annualization of the PSC assessment.
 - Q. Please identify the Adjustments that you are sponsoring in this case.
 - A. I am sponsoring the following Income Statement adjustments:

1 Rate Case Expense: L&P-S-37.2 2 **PSC** Assessment: L&P-S-37.1 3 MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES/PREPAYMENTS Q. Please describe the Staff's treatment of materials and supplies, and 4 5 prepayments. A. Materials and supplies, and prepayments are represented in the Staff's rate 6 7 base by thirteen (13)-month averages for the period ending June 30, 2005, the end of the 8 update period in this case. Because there are fluctuations for these two items in the test year 9 and update period, 13-month averages are developed to smooth out seasonal variations. 10 Q. What are materials and supplies? 11 A. Materials and supplies are miscellaneous items that are stored by the Company 12 in inventory for use in day-to-day routine maintenance and operational projects. These items 13 are also stored in inventory for the Company's construction projects. Items typically found in 14 material and supplies inventory for steam utility operations are cable poles, electrical wire and 15 cable, conduit, meters, transformers and breakers, etc. 16 Q. What are prepayments? 17 Prepayments relate to items that the Company "prepaid" so that the services A. 18 will be on-hand during the normal course of the utility's operations. These types of items 19 include the prepayment of insurance, software licenses, etc. that are paid in advance of 20 coverage. Staff Auditing witness Steve M. Traxler will address prepayments relating to 21 pensions in his direct testimony.

Q. Were any of the prepayments not calculated using a 13-month average?

A. Yes. The corporate prepaid software costs that are allocated between L&P and other Aquila's divisions demonstrated a downward trend during the test year and update period. The ending account balances at June 30, 2005 were used instead of a 13-month average.

Q. Why would an ending balance be more appropriate to use instead of a 13-month average?

A. In some instances, depending on the circumstances, the inventory account balances show a discernable trend, either increasing or decreasing, where an end of period balance would be more appropriate than would an averaging method. Where account balances fluctuate from one period to another, showing no discernable trend, an averaging method is more appropriate to reflect as a rate base investment. The appropriate levels of inventories are included in rate base, allowing investors to earn a return on monies invested for material and supplies and prepayments.

RATE CASE EXPENSE

- Q. Please describe adjustment L&P-Steam S-37.2.
- A. These adjustments normalize rate case expense over a three-year period. The Staff selected three years to recognize the company's selected amortization period for this item.
 - Q. How was the rate case expense adjusted for Case No. HR-2005-0450?
- A. The total amount of actual rate case expense incurred by L&P-Steam through June 30, 2005, the end of the update period is being allowed at this time. Any additional rate case expense that is reasonably incurred will be considered for inclusion later in the case. This will allow costs such as consulting fees, employee travel expenditures and legal

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

PSC ASSESSMENT

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

representation, which are directly associated with the length of the case through the prehearing and hearing process to be properly included in this case. The Staff will work with the Company to establish an ongoing normalized level of rate case expense for inclusion in rates.

The Commission has authorized a true-up in this case so any additional expenses associated with the processing of this rate filing by Aquila will be examined during this trueup period of October 31, 2005 to determine their appropriateness for inclusion in this case.

- Q. Please discuss adjustment L&P-Steam—S-37.1 to annualize the PSC Assessment.
- A. These adjustments represent the difference between the Staff's annualized PSC Assessment and the test year recorded assessment expense. The most recent PSC Assessment, in effect for the fiscal year July 1, 2005 to June 30, 2006, was used in the Staff's annualization.
 - Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
 - A. Yes, it does.