



**MEMORANDUM**

**To:** Missouri Public Service Commission,  
File No. EW-2017-0245

**From:** James Owen, Executive Director  
Renew Missouri

**Subject:** Renew Missouri Comments on Distributed Energy Resource Issues

**Date:** October 20, 2017

1           Renew Missouri is pleased to submit the following comments in the Missouri Public  
2 Service Commission’s (“the Commission”) Workshop Docket EW-2017-0245, relating to the  
3 emerging issues in utility regulation, especially regarding distributed energy resources (DERs).  
4 Renew Missouri was supported in preparing these comments by the Pace Energy and Climate  
5 Center, a project of the Pace University Elisabeth Haub School of Law, White Plains, New York.  
6 In these comments, Renew Missouri provides responses to the questions posed by the  
7 Commission in its “Order Seeking Responses Regarding Distributed Energy Resource Issues,  
8 and Scheduling a Workshop Meeting” (“the Order”), issued on September 6, 2017 in this case.

9       **I.       ABOUT RENEW MISSOURI AND PACE ENERGY AND CLIMATE CENTER**

10           Renew Missouri is a not-for-profit organization 501(c)(3) organization committed to  
11 promoting renewable energy and energy efficiency in Missouri. Since 2006, Renew Missouri has  
12 represented these policy interests before the Missouri General Assembly, the Public Service  
13 Commission, and in the hallways of local government throughout the state. In this work, Renew  
14 Missouri works closely with businesses, residential consumer groups, and utility companies to  
15 develop practical solutions to these very real issues. Renew Missouri has successfully  
16 championed and advocated for laws including the creation of renewable energy standards as well  
17 as protections for the customers of solar, wind, and energy efficiency programs. All of these

1 activities are geared towards Renew Missouri’s stated message of making this state a national  
2 leader in renewable energy and energy efficiency policy.

3 Pace Energy and Climate Center (“Pace”) is a project of the Elisabeth Haub School of  
4 Law at Pace University. Pace’s offices are located in White Plains, NY. As a non-partisan legal  
5 and policy think tank, Pace develops cost-effective solutions to complex energy and climate  
6 challenges, seeking to positively transform the way society supplies and consumes energy. For  
7 more than twenty-five years, Pace has been providing legal, policy, and stakeholder engagement  
8 leadership in New York, the Northeast, and other jurisdictions. Located on the campus of the  
9 Elisabeth Haub School of Law, Pace engages and leverages a strong legal faculty and student  
10 body in its work, particularly through the internationally recognized Environmental Law  
11 Program and the Pace Land Use Law Center. Pace has many years of success in working with  
12 and supporting the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority, the New York  
13 Public Service Commission (“Commission”), and the New York Department of Environmental  
14 Conservation. Pace’s work also includes strategic engagement with state legislative and  
15 executive officials, as well as in key Commission proceedings. In these capacities, Pace has had  
16 the opportunity to form long-lasting partnerships within the community of non-governmental  
17 organizations that work in the field of energy. Pace is actively involved in the New York  
18 Reforming the Energy Vision (“NY REV”) process, and in grid modernization processes in  
19 Maryland, Massachusetts, and other states. Pace’s Executive Director, Karl R. Rábago, is a  
20 former Texas public utility commissioner and utility executive, and has appeared before the  
21 Missouri Commission in several capacities over the past five years. Most recently, Mr. Rábago  
22 participated in a presentation on avoided costs in this Working Case, in his private capacity as  
23 principal of Rábago Energy LLC.

1 **II. GENERAL COMMENTS**

2 Renew Missouri applauds the Commission’s initiative in establishing this Working Case  
3 and in raising issues particular to distributed energy resources (“DER”).

4 Renew Missouri defines DER as any and all services and technologies deployed or  
5 operating at distribution level in the electric grid, whether “behind” or on the utility side of the  
6 customer meter. DER includes all manner of demand-side management (“DSM”), energy  
7 efficiency, and conservation technologies and services operating at the customer level or at the  
8 distribution level of the grid. DER also includes distributed generation, energy storage devices,  
9 smart grid technologies deployed or operating at distribution level, modern electrical devices and  
10 equipment such as electric vehicles (especially in grid-connected and vehicle-to-grid  
11 configuration), and other systems which can be operated to consume, inject, or manage the  
12 consumption or generation of energy at the distribution level. Renew Missouri does not believe  
13 that numerical limits, such as kW or MW capacity, are appropriate for use in defining DER as  
14 the technologies and ways in which they are used is constantly evolving.

15 Renew Missouri asserts that DER may be understood as group of resources. Resources  
16 are technologies or assets that can be drawn upon by the utility or its customers in supporting the  
17 effective functioning of the electric grid and the provision of reliable, resilient, and affordable  
18 electric service. Therefore, it is critical that the regulatory approach to the expanding universe of  
19 DER be set on finding ways to deploy, operate, and support the deployment and operation of  
20 DER as a cost-effective alternative to traditional utility-scale generation, transmission, and  
21 distribution technologies and approaches. This in turn requires development of evaluation and  
22 analysis tools and capabilities such as improved planning techniques, more robust benefit-cost

1 analysis frameworks, and platforms for technological experimentation, demonstration, and  
2 piloting.

3         The electric utility system is experiencing a revolution in scale—as smaller, right-sized  
4 resources are demonstrating their value in increasing deployment and operation. As articulated in  
5 “Small Is Profitable: The Hidden Economic Benefits of Making Electrical Resources the Right  
6 Size,” properly considering the economic benefits of “distributed” (decentralized) electrical  
7 resources typically raises their value by a large factor, often approximately tenfold.<sup>1</sup>  
8 Consideration of economic benefits improves system planning, service quality, utility  
9 construction and operation (especially of the grid), and highlights societal costs. Supporting the  
10 deployment and wise use of DER will advance and enhance the public interest inherent in  
11 electrical rates and services in Missouri. To that end, Renew Missouri encourages the following  
12 general considerations in considering issues relating to DER:

13         ***1. Systems perspective***

14         The Commission should continue to take a holistic, systems perspective in evaluating and  
15 facilitating the increased reliance on DER to meet the need for electrical service. DER  
16 deployment success is fundamentally dependent upon developing a more data- and information-  
17 rich market environment for customers and energy decision makers. Evolution of the utility  
18 sector must also integrate environmental performance imperatives and advance the opportunities  
19 for development of new markets for services and technologies, especially those that empower  
20 customers and promote new investment and third-party market participation. Reliability,  
21 resilience, and affordability must also be key to informing DER deployment and resource,

---

<sup>1</sup> Amory Lovins, E. Kyle Datta, Thomas Feiler, Karl R. Rábago, Joel N. Swisher, Andre Lehmann, and Ken Wicker, “Small Is Profitable: The Hidden Economic Benefits of Making Electrical Resources the Right Size,” Rocky Mountain Institute (2002). Available at: [http://library.uniteddiversity.coop/Money\\_and\\_Economics/Small-is-Profitable.pdf](http://library.uniteddiversity.coop/Money_and_Economics/Small-is-Profitable.pdf)

1 especially as relates to low- and moderate-income customers and communities. Finally, the  
2 Commission’s view of DER must embrace both near- and long-term perspectives. The embedded  
3 costs of the current electric system demonstrate huge inertia. Major changes must be strategic,  
4 started early, measured often, and corrected when necessary.

5 ***2. Market development and job creation***

6 Missouri is already home to an emerging DER market sector. With a conscious and  
7 coordinated effort, these emerging markets will continue to grow and flourish, enhancing  
8 economic and job opportunities in Missouri. It is entirely appropriate that the Commission, as an  
9 economic regulator, play a role in expanding opportunities for these benefits through  
10 development of markets for DER technologies and services. Many DERs are labor intensive, and  
11 as local resources, demonstrate enhanced local economic development benefits. The jobs, tax  
12 base, and other economic development benefits of DERs should be front and center in policy  
13 development and implementation concerns.

14 ***3. Enabling and engaging customers***

15 DERs are fundamental to transforming the ways in which customers interact with  
16 electricity service providers and the grid. DERs and the integrated information systems that  
17 enable their effective operation mean that customers can manage and reduce their electric bills  
18 and household energy burdens, contribute to a stable and less expensive grid for all customers,  
19 and help reduce the societal impacts of electricity generation and use. Utility-centric perspectives  
20 on DER tend to approach these technologies as complicating factors and integration problems to  
21 be solved, rather than resources to be deployed and harnessed. To realize the full opportunity of  
22 DER, regulation must encourage utilities to adopt a customer-facing perspective in addition to  
23 and balanced with the traditional utility-centric approach.

1           ***4. Enhancing reliability and resilience***

2           Distributing functionality into the grid can substantially enhance reliability and grid  
3 resilience. Self-healing networks and segment-able grid architecture can reduce the  
4 consequences of outages. Pervasive informational systems that facilitate utility visibility into grid  
5 operations and customer opportunities to respond to real-time grid conditions can forestall  
6 cascading outage conditions before they become unmanageable. In a word, properly deployed,  
7 connected, and operated DERs are tools in the reliability and resilience toolbox. Perhaps one of  
8 the most compelling, systemic realizations of optimal deployment of DERs is embodied in the  
9 concept of the microgrid. Bundled DERs integrated through a management platform can provide  
10 valuable ancillary services and grid support in grid-connection conditions in which they will  
11 operate the vast majority of the time. In times of system stress, they become islands of reliable  
12 emergency service that can greatly reduce the impacts of disaster events.

13           ***5. Affordability of energy services, today and tomorrow***

14           As already mentioned, DERs offer huge opportunity to reduce energy bills and waste.  
15 Efficiency and demand management resources can target utility costs. Distributed generation can  
16 provide flat-price local generation that, when properly valued, offers a cost-effective alternative  
17 to traditional utility-scale generation. DERs can empower customers through community energy  
18 projects, energy management tools and aggregations, local environmentally-friendly generation,  
19 and almost unimagined opportunities to exercise control over household and business energy  
20 use. The savings that individually empowered customers accrue through use and operation of  
21 DERs can result in savings for all customers through avoided system costs.

22           ***6. The Missouri Opportunity***

1 Missouri stands in an excellent position to improve the modernization of its grid through  
2 increased deployment and operation of DER in the context of a grid modernization agenda. The  
3 GridWise Alliance/Clean Edge Grid Modernization Index<sup>2</sup> currently ranks Missouri at 22nd  
4 overall in the United States for state support of grid modernization, customer engagement, and  
5 grid operations. The Index, which measures deployment of various DERs, key policy and market  
6 structures for grid modernization, consumer education, incentives, innovative rates, grid  
7 automation, and other factors, provides a useful overview of the role that DER can play in a grid  
8 modernization strategy.

### 9 III. RESPONSES TO COMMISSION QUESTIONS

#### 10 1. *What are the current levels of distributed energy resources (energy efficiency,* 11 *distributed generation, demand-response, etc.) in Missouri?*

12 Renew Missouri does not maintain or have access to comprehensive data concerning the  
13 levels of DER deployment and operation in Missouri. Renew Missouri supports the  
14 Commission's effort to accumulate the baseline data.

15 The Center for the New Energy Economy and The Nature Conservancy have developed  
16 the State Policy Opportunity Tracker for Clean Energy,<sup>3</sup> which gathers existing information  
17 relating to 37 clean energy policies at the state level. A snapshot of the gap analysis for Missouri  
18 reveals some progress and abundant opportunities for clean energy development in the state.  
19 Many of these indicators relate to DERs:

---

<sup>2</sup> GridWise Alliance & Clean Edge, "3<sup>rd</sup> Annual Grid Modernization Index," GridWise Alliance (Jan. 2016). Available at: <https://cleanedge.com/reports/3rd-Annual-Grid-Modernization-Index>

<sup>3</sup> Available at: <https://spotforcleanenergy.org>



### 1. MARKET PREPARATION

■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Decoupling and DSM Performance Incentives
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Modified Energy Efficiency Cost/Benefit Tests
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Customer Data Access
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	New Utility Business Model Proceeding
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Energy Savings Performance Contracting
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Interconnection
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Net Metering
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Utility Green Power Option
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Advanced Vehicle Charging Rates
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Commercial Natural Gas Fueling Incentives
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Vehicle Charging Infrastructure Incentives

### 2. MARKET CREATION

■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Combined Heat and Power
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Commercial Building Energy Codes
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Energy Efficiency Resource Standard
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Lead by Example Programs
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Low-Income Energy Efficiency
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Residential Building Energy Codes
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Emissions Standard
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Energy Storage Standard
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Grid Modernization Plan
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Smart Meter Deployment
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	State Energy / Climate Plan
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Distributed Generation / Solar Carve-out
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Renewable Portfolio Standard

### 3. MARKET EXPANSION

■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Combined Heat and Power Incentives
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Commercial Property Assessed Clean Energy
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Green/Infrastructure Bank
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Non-Solar Distributed Generation Incentives
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	On-Bill Financing / On-Bill Repayment
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Residential Property Assessed Clean Energy
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Revolving Loan Funds
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Solar Incentives
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Third-Party Financing
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Aggregate Net Metering
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Renewable Standard Offer
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Shared Renewables
■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Advanced Vehicle Incentives

1  
2 Under the current statutory and regulatory scheme in Missouri, net metering is the most  
3 substantial distributed energy resource available to consumers. The best way to measure such  
4 resources is through the number of customers engaged as well as the number of jobs employed  
5 that can be connected to these distributed energy resources.

6 Given there is no centralized receptacle for data regarding rural electric cooperatives  
7 (largely deregulated at the state level) or for municipal-owned utilities (managed and regulated  
8 by city managers and city councils), this cannot be quantified at a statewide level. However,  
9 investor owned utilities' ("IOUs") net metering data is available via the Commission and shows  
10 that as of 2016, there were 7,800 net metering customers in Ameren, KCP&L, KCP&LGMO,  
11 and Empire Electric District service territories.<sup>4</sup>

12 Out of the almost two million (1,999,700) customers served by these IOUs, 7,800  
13 represents a burgeoning sector of consumers who have only begun to take advantage of recent  
14 changes to Missouri law and have educated themselves despite the coordinated efforts of dirty-  
15 energy enablers to misdirect and distort the facts on the benefits of net metering. Were there laws

<sup>4</sup> Missouri Public Service Commission, Net Metering Reports for calendar year 2017. Available at: [https://psc.mo.gov/Electric/Net\\_Metering\\_Reports](https://psc.mo.gov/Electric/Net_Metering_Reports)

1 and regulations in place to encourage IOU's to actively promote and provide these services, there  
2 is no doubt such laws and regulations would serve as a carrot to entice more customers into  
3 taking advantage of net metering.

4 An examination of installed solar throughout Missouri can offer a more generalized look  
5 at distributed energy resources. According to the Solar Energy Industry Association (SEIA),<sup>5</sup>  
6 152.9 MW of installed solar is operating in Missouri, with 19.2 MW installed in 2016 alone. An  
7 additional 263 MW of solar capacity is anticipated over the next five years. Added up, this would  
8 be about an increase of 170% in installed solar over a ten-year period.<sup>6</sup> Missouri has 17,000  
9 homes powered by solar, and employs about 2,400 workers in solar jobs. Nationally and in  
10 Missouri, there has been a 55% price decline in solar over the last five years. With strong  
11 supportive policy and regulatory implementation, the future of solar in Missouri is bright.

12 A clearer, if less conclusive, figure comes from looking at renewable energy and energy  
13 efficiency jobs as broken down by sector and by technology. According to the Clean Jobs  
14 Midwest report,<sup>7</sup> in 2016 there were 40,048 jobs in the Missouri energy efficiency industry.  
15 Precisely quantifying these figures is complicated as many businesses are reluctant to label  
16 themselves as "energy efficiency" due to stigma within IOU's and rural electric cooperatives to  
17 this seemingly uncontroversial term.

18 The report also listed there were 3,594 Missouri renewable energy jobs in 2016. This can  
19 be broken down by categories even further to 2,663 solar jobs and 931 wind jobs across the state.

---

<sup>5</sup> Solar Energy Industries Association, Missouri Solar. <https://www.seia.org/state-solar-policy/missouri-solar>

<sup>6</sup> Solar Energy Industries Association, Solar Spotlight: Missouri. Attached as Appendix A. Available at: [https://www.seia.org/sites/default/files/2017-09/Federal\\_2017Q2\\_Missouri.pdf](https://www.seia.org/sites/default/files/2017-09/Federal_2017Q2_Missouri.pdf)

<sup>7</sup> Clean Jobs Midwest 2017, Missouri. Attached as Appendix B. Available at: <https://www.cleanjobsmidwest.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/CJM-2017-ExeSum-MO.pdf>

1           **2. Should previous Commission policy decisions regarding demand-response**  
2           **aggregation be reconsidered?**

3           Renew Missouri supports the Commission’s reconsideration of its decisions relating to  
4 demand-response aggregation. Demand response technologies, services, and capabilities are  
5 rapidly evolving and improving. In 2010, the Commission initiated a proceeding to consider  
6 questions relating to the participation of customer demand-side resources facilitated by  
7 Aggregators of Retail Customers (“ARCs”) in RTO and ISO markets. The Commission  
8 identified a number of legal and policy questions to consider, initiated a workshop process to  
9 address them, and placed a temporary prohibition against RTOs and ISOs accepting bids from  
10 retail customers or ARCs who aggregate electric utility customers’ demand response load  
11 reductions.<sup>8</sup> Significant developments in technology and markets have occurred since the  
12 Commission’s last review of the opportunity. For example, in just the past few years, a robust  
13 discussion has emerged about the opportunity to use blockchain technology to enhance many  
14 electricity system functions, including demand response.<sup>9</sup> Demand response is a valuable tool in  
15 not only reducing utility system peak demand-related costs, but also in facilitating high  
16 penetration of variable renewable resources such as distributed solar and increasing system  
17 reliability.<sup>10</sup> Demand response is useful in improving load diversity, distribution system asset  
18 utilization, and system load factor—all of which can result in lower cost of service. Demand  
19 response offers an excellent opportunity to introduce market forces into the electric system.

---

<sup>8</sup> See, e.g., Docket No. EW-2010-0187, *Order Temporarily Prohibiting the Operation of Aggregators of Retail Customers* (March 31, 2010), at p. 6.

<sup>9</sup> See, e.g., Douglas Miller & Claire Henly, “Blockchain is Reimagining the Rules of the Game in the Energy Sector,” Rocky Mountain Institute (Aug. 28, 2017). Available at: <https://www.rmi.org/news/blockchain-reimagining-rules-game-energy-sector/>

<sup>10</sup> See Jim Lazar, “Teaching the ‘Duck’ to Fly,” Regulatory Assistance Project (2d. Ed, Feb. 2016). Shortened version attached as Appendix C. Available at: <http://www.raponline.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/rap-lazar-teachingtheduck2-2016-feb-2.pdf>

1 Finally, demand response aggregation offers an increasingly valuable tool for empowering  
2 customers to engage with the grid and reduce their electric bills while contributing to system-  
3 wide cost reductions for all customers.

4 **3. *Should a model state tariff be designed?***

5 The universe of DER technologies and services is diverse and growing. The regulatory  
6 and administrative challenges associated with developing technology- and service-specific tariffs  
7 for every type of DER or DER combination are daunting. For that reason, there has been  
8 increasing discussion and effort to develop model tariffs that can be adapted to a wide range of  
9 DERs operating alone and in combinations. The concept behind a model tariff is that, while the  
10 specific cost and benefit *values* of DER may change from one service territory or even grid  
11 location to another, there is benefit in developing a model tariff *structure* in which to reflect  
12 those values. There is also benefit in taking a holistic view of DER-related tariffs to ensure  
13 against unintended discrimination against certain types of DERs.

14 Renew Missouri believes that, while the time may not yet be right to pursue a full “value  
15 of DER” tariff development process in Missouri,<sup>11</sup> it is the right time to develop and begin to  
16 implement an agenda of preparatory “building block” processes. Building blocks that the  
17 Commission should consider include:

- 18 • Marginal emissions rates studies – Quantitative evaluation and characterization of the  
19 marginal emissions impacts of DER operations, so as to understand the environmental  
20 benefits or costs of those operations.

---

<sup>11</sup> See, e.g., Robert Walton, “New York REV orders promise growth for diverse set of distributed resources,” Utility Dive (Mar. 15, 2017). Available at: <http://www.utilitydive.com/news/new-york-rev-orders-promise-growth-for-diverse-set-of-distributed-resources/438044/>

- 1 • Marginal cost of service studies – Quantitative evaluation of the distribution and other  
2 infrastructure requirements necessitated by increased load at particular locations in  
3 the grid, and the value of avoidable investments.
- 4 • Benefit-cost analysis (“BCA”) framework – A common, transparent, and  
5 comprehensive analysis framework for ultimately comparing the relative merits of  
6 distributed and utility-scale resource options.
- 7 • Standardized distribution system planning – A framework and requirements for  
8 extending integrated resource planning concepts into the distribution level.
- 9 • Customer data acquisition and sharing issues – Rules and technologies for increasing  
10 the availability and dissemination of customer data.
- 11 • Hosting capacity studies – Quantitative analysis of the ability of the current grid to  
12 “host” increasing penetrations of distributed energy resources, and identification of  
13 strategies and options for increasing hosting capacity.
- 14 • Non-wires solutions projects – Processes and approaches identifying traditional  
15 infrastructure investments that could be avoided or deferred through targeted  
16 deployment and operation of DER. Essentially, the task will result in the development  
17 of sub-nodal marginal distribution system capacity costs that can benchmark the  
18 opportunity for DER deployment.
- 19 • Pilots and demonstration projects – Nothing teaches like actual practice. Utilities  
20 should develop proposals for projects that would result in the deployment and  
21 operation of DERs, preferably in combination with microgrids or non-wires solutions  
22 projects.

- 1           • Interconnection procedure improvements – The Commission should establish a utility  
2           and stakeholder working group to track and address interconnection issues associated  
3           with increasing DER deployment and operation.

4           ***4. Should changes be made to the Integrated Resource Planning (IRP) process to***  
5           ***accommodate increased use of distributed energy resources?***

6           Renew Missouri believes that core concepts of integrated resource planning (“IRP”)  
7           remain sound. Missouri Rule 4 CSR 240-22 provides a sound foundation for addressing the more  
8           complex range of issues and resource opportunities that are associated with increased DER  
9           deployment and operation. A key fundamental shift in traditional utility thinking that is  
10          necessary and that can be introduced through modification of the IRP process in Missouri is  
11          recognition that *a DER can be a resource for planning and operations purposes, even if it is not*  
12          *operated and fully controlled by the utility.*

13          The opportunities presented by DER require an expansion of traditional techniques and  
14          the scope of analysis into the distribution system, and will add complexity. For example, as some  
15          level, targeted demand reduction through energy management is a resource that can be compared  
16          to a planned distribution transformer or conduit upgrade. A localized, systematic integrated  
17          resource plan can serve as the framework in which that comparative resource evaluation can  
18          occur. Renew Missouri would also point out that these improved and enhanced planning  
19          capabilities will be valuable in ultimately developing value-based analysis tools for DER  
20          deployment support programs. Key to continuing and enhancing IRP into the local distribution  
21          level is maintaining and expanding transparency and public participation. It is also critical that  
22          planning for grid modernization and integration of DERs include development of performance-

1 based metrics for evaluating and comparing resources, plans, and plan outcomes.<sup>12</sup> At a high  
2 level, Renew Missouri recommends that improved integrated resource plans must address:

3 1. Distribution System Planning

- 4 a. Forecast of Demand & Energy Growth
- 5 b. Available DER Resources
- 6 c. Delivery Infrastructure Capital Investment Plans
- 7 d. Beneficial Locations for DER Deployment
- 8 e. Hosting Capacity

9 2. Distribution Grid Operations

- 10 a. System Operations
- 11 b. Volt/VAR Optimization (VVO)
- 12 c. Interconnection Process
- 13 d. Advanced Metering
- 14 e. Customer Data
- 15 f. Probabilistic Modeling and Load Flow Analysis

16 **5. *What information about distributed energy resources do the Regional Transmission***  
17 ***Organizations need? What information do the utilities have? And what information***  
18 ***are the utilities providing to the Regional Transmission Organizations?***

19 Renew Missouri would note that DERs can effectively interact with Regional  
20 Transmission Organizations (“RTOs”) through aggregation and the development of protocols  
21 and products designed with DERs in mind. Just as grid modernization can improve utility

---

<sup>12</sup> Translating the results of this planning and performance-based metrics into performance-based regulation and rates is a much more complex endeavor, necessitating a great deal more regulatory engagement at the front end of the effort.

1 visibility into the distribution system for reliability and efficiency benefits, grid modernization  
2 efforts focused on market development and customer engagement can identify opportunities for  
3 increased customer interaction with RTO operations, either individually (for larger customers) or  
4 through aggregation. Renew Missouri looks forward to working with the Commission, Staff, and  
5 other parties to realize these opportunities.

6 ***6. Is any new behind-the-meter technology or hardware needed to accommodate or***  
7 ***facilitate the development of distributed energy resources?***

8 Utilities across the U.S. and around the world are investigating and deploying a wide  
9 range of grid modernization hardware, software, and operational upgrades. These include:  
10 distribution automation, distribution management systems, distributed energy resource  
11 management systems, microgrids, and others. These investments are improving utility downward  
12 visibility into distribution system conditions for more efficient system management, problem  
13 identification, and corrective action.

14 What is critical, and often lacking, is utility investment in customer-facing grid  
15 modernization technologies and improvements. For example, DER markets benefit from:

- 16 • Revealed dynamic system marginal distribution capacity costs for targeting demand  
17 response
- 18 • Hosting capacity values and “heat maps” of distribution system loading for targeting  
19 DER deployment locations
- 20 • Interconnection agreement processing status and tracking
- 21 • DG and DR interaction portals

22 As utilities deploy grid modernization technologies such as distribution automation,  
23 distribution management systems, distributed energy resource management systems, meter data



1 warehouses, and customer information systems, they must also be required to plan ahead for  
2 increased reliance on behind the meter information gathering and tracking systems, customer  
3 equipment and appliance tracking and control technologies, and the rapidly expanding world of  
4 energy management “apps” and tools. The utilities must deploy their systems with an open-  
5 architecture, information-rich design integral to their system architecture to leverage third-party  
6 investment and engagement in the DER marketplace.

7 ***7. Will any distribution system upgrades be required to accommodate or facilitate the***  
8 ***development of distributed energy resources?***

9 Renew Missouri believes that the utilities should be tasked with developing a  
10 comprehensive plan addressing grid modernization efforts, with a special focus on how grid  
11 modernization will support increased DER deployment and operation.

12 Grid modernization envisions a deliberate shift in a utility’s approach to infrastructure,  
13 services, and engagement with customers and markets that results in a modern electric system  
14 that will be cleaner, more efficient and reliable, and will empower customers to manage and  
15 reduce their energy costs.<sup>13</sup> Grid modernization facilitates the maximum cost effective  
16 integration of solar, wind, and other local and renewable sources of power, can minimize outages  
17 by automatically re-routing power when lines go down, and can immediately alert the utility  
18 when customers have lost power. In a modern electric grid environment, customers will have  
19 new tools and information to enable them to use less electricity when prices spike, and as a  
20 result, the electric system will be appropriately sized and less expensive in all ways.

---

<sup>13</sup> This language is adapted from the order of the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities Order D.P.U. 12-76-B, and is representative of similar regulatory guidance and direction on grid modernization in other jurisdictions.

1           Like a well-developed IRP, a sound grid modernization plan should take a long view of at  
2 least ten years and also specify a short-term action plan. The grid modernization plan should  
3 outline how the utility plans to make measurable progress toward grid modernization objectives.  
4 Grid modernization objectives should include, among others as determined by the Commission,  
5 the following: (1) reducing the effects of outages; (2) optimizing demand, which includes  
6 reducing system and customer costs; (3) integrating distributed energy resources; and (4)  
7 improving workforce and asset management. Utility grid modernization plans should outline  
8 their timing and priorities for all their grid modernization planning and investment over the  
9 planning horizon. The grid modernization plan must include a well-funded marketing, education,  
10 and outreach plan; a research, development, and deployment plan; and proposed infrastructure  
11 and performance metrics to measure progress in achieving grid modernization objectives.  
12 Because customer education, marketing, and outreach are crucial to enabling the successful  
13 implementation of grid modernization, the utility’s marketing and outreach should begin early in  
14 the grid modernization process and should not only have ample resources but talented, dedicated  
15 personnel staffing such programs.

16           Personnel are also key to assuring performance-based metrics are successful. In this  
17 period of attrition of state personnel, funding must be secured to ensure auditors and analysts are  
18 on Commission Staff to make sure metrics are accurate and effective. By placing metrics into  
19 place without sufficient resources, these numbers could not only be meaningless but potentially  
20 detrimental to real progress in these areas.

21           The grid modernization short term action plan should be focused on development and  
22 deployment of advanced metering functionality (“AMF”), a suite of foundational technologies  
23 and capabilities that improve utility visibility into grid conditions, and establish the technology

1 platform for active customer engagement with the smarter grid. The short-term plan should  
2 review and propose capital investments in infrastructure and systems designed to operate as an  
3 integrated whole to balance supply and demand in an integrated fashion, and to enable the  
4 enhancement of customer opportunities to engage with grid operations through the deployment  
5 and operation of distributed energy resources including distributed generation, distributed energy  
6 storage, demand response, and others.

7 In setting requirements for grid modernization planning, the Commission should  
8 emphasize outcomes, including more clean and distributed resources, more high-intelligence and  
9 self-healing networks, and more customer empowerment. A compliant grid modernization plan  
10 should:

- 11 • take a 10-year view and include a 5-year investment plan;
- 12 • include metrics that can be used to track progress toward achieving the Commission's  
13 grid modernization objectives;
- 14 • result in new grid investments, an information-rich service environment, new tools  
15 and services for customers, and growth in the deployment of DG and other DER  
16 against baseline conditions; and
- 17 • be customer-facing, and reflect engagement with and empowerment of customers,  
18 directly and through aggregators and/or competitive third-party service providers.

19 Grid modernization is complex and, for most customers, novel. Both technology  
20 deployment and customer education will take time. A reasonable grid modernization plan will  
21 therefore take a system view and pursue all objectives, such as the four objectives previously  
22 listed, in balance. Customer education and deployment of technologies that enable customer  
23 services and engagement in enhanced energy services must begin at the same time that the utility

1 plans to deploy AMF and associated distribution infrastructure. Measures to reduce the effects of  
2 outages should be integrated into a holistic plan that assesses costs as well as opportunity costs.  
3 Because of the dynamic nature of the process of grid modernization, as well as the rapid  
4 evolution of technology, the grid modernization plan must also include a research, development,  
5 and deployment (“RD&D”) plan.

6         Grid modernization generally involves both utility-facing and customer-facing  
7 investments and initiatives. Utility-facing investments relate to improving visibility of grid  
8 conditions down to an increasingly granular level, modernizing systems, improving information  
9 technology-based functionalities, and developing the utility workforce. Grid modernization also  
10 involves customer-facing investments and initiatives that are ultimately about how customers can  
11 engage with the modern grid and its enhanced capabilities and services.

12         Grid modernization should embrace an exciting new relationship between customers and  
13 their electric service providers. One key overall purpose of the grid modernization effort must be  
14 to empower customers to manage and reduce their energy costs, through access to and reliance  
15 on new tools and better, more timely information based on real-time grid conditions. Grid  
16 modernization should empower customers to engage with the grid to reduce their own energy  
17 bills and likewise contribute to savings for all customers through more efficient use of a more  
18 appropriately sized electric system. It is therefore vital that customers are well informed about  
19 and engaged in their options for managing their energy consumption; the tools and technologies  
20 that will assist them in exercising their desired degree of control over their energy use; and the  
21 benefits associated with reductions in consumption and/or shifting consumption away from peak  
22 periods. A customer education and marketing outreach plan is therefore an essential component  
23 of a sound grid modernization plan. It is important to repeat that the Commission should require

1 a substantial budget that ensures an optimal number of customers are aware of these new  
2 innovations.

3 Whether grid modernization can occur as outlined above without legislative involvement  
4 relies crucially on the willingness and engagement of utilities as well as regulators.

5 **8. *What process should be developed to provide for resource accreditation, including***  
6 ***consideration of capacity factors?***

7 Renew Missouri offers no response to this question at this time.

8 **9. *Are there any other issues related to distributed energy resources that should be***  
9 ***brought to the Commission’s attention?***

10 Renew Missouri wishes to direct the Commission’s attention to a few additional issues  
11 not specifically addressed in the questions it posed.

12 **A. *Equitable Access to Sustainable Energy (“EASE”)***

13 EASE means that all customers, including low-income customers and customers living in  
14 economically and/or environmentally disadvantaged communities will share in the benefits and  
15 opportunities of modern electric technologies, investments, and operations. Renew Missouri  
16 encourages the Commission to specifically adopt a focus on EASE issues in every aspect of this  
17 Working Case, and with that special attention, seek to integrate EASE into grid modernization,  
18 DER deployment initiatives, rate design, and other aspects of this case. Low-income customers  
19 face increasing energy burdens, are disproportionately burdened with the adverse consequences  
20 of energy production and use, and tend to be disproportionately impacted by grid outages. A  
21 conscious policy and implementation focus on addressing these problems will reveal abundant  
22 opportunities to include low-income and environmentally-disadvantaged customers and  
23 communities in the benefits of change.

1 Renew Missouri has identified two pathways for EASE, specifically on the issue of low-  
2 income access to solar energy. The first option requires coordination with state community  
3 action agencies through the Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (“LIHEAP”) to  
4 provide community solar for customers in need. A useful case study is found in Colorado’s  
5 Poudre Valley Rural Electric Association (“PVREA”), which partnered with the not-for-profit  
6 Grid Alternatives<sup>14</sup> and the Colorado Energy Office to access LIHEAP funds to provide energy  
7 savings to income-eligible customers. Of note for this discussion: Colorado has a community  
8 solar-enabling statute,<sup>15</sup> and cooperative utilities are partially regulated by that state’s Public  
9 Utility Commission. The Colorado program is available to all PVREA residential members, and  
10 provides the actual energy savings to low-income members that meet the utility’s income  
11 qualifications. The program is available over a four-year period for income-eligible participants  
12 and eligibility levels vary by county calculated at or below 80% of the Area Median Income  
13 (“AMI”). After this four-year period, under the theory of the program, participants should be  
14 able to improve their economic outlook and allow for other income-eligible community members  
15 to participate. Thanks to program’s success, the Colorado Energy Office and Grid Alternatives  
16 are partnering with five additional utilities to offer community solar to income-eligible  
17 customers.<sup>16</sup> While we see this option as the most viable path forward for low-income solar,  
18 proposed cuts to LIHEAP and the Weatherization Assistance Program (“WAP”) from the federal  
19 government make long-term planning challenging.

---

<sup>14</sup> GRID Alternatives is a not-for-profit organization that brings together community partners, volunteers, and job trainees to implement solar power and energy efficiency for low-income families, providing energy cost savings, valuable hands-on experience, and a source of clean, local energy.

<sup>15</sup> Colo. Rev. Stat. § 40-2-127.

<sup>16</sup> [https://gridalternatives.org/regions/colorado/get-solar/community-solar?\\_ga=2.211877012.107534670.1507666551-1037771586.1507666551](https://gridalternatives.org/regions/colorado/get-solar/community-solar?_ga=2.211877012.107534670.1507666551-1037771586.1507666551)

1           There is an additional program option not contingent on federal funding, sometimes  
2 referred to as the “Sweat Equity” approach. Participant members work on the development of the  
3 project in exchange for energy savings to their household. Not only does this benefit the  
4 participants, but this reduces labor costs for the program operators. This will also provide  
5 participants with training for skills to work in clean energy industries. Grid Alternatives and  
6 Habitat for Humanity<sup>17</sup> have used this approach with successful outcomes.<sup>18</sup> Additionally, the  
7 Department of Housing and Urban Development (“HUD”) has provided grant funding for sweat  
8 equity programs for affordable homes for low-income families.<sup>19</sup> This type of program should  
9 include a workforce development training program with a focus on minority and low-income  
10 participants in order to have long-term benefits for these participants and their communities.

11           *B. Electric Vehicles and Transportation Electrification*

12           Electric vehicles of all types are increasingly affordable and suitable as replacements for  
13 petroleum-fueled transportation. Increasingly affordable renewable energy supply and smarter  
14 grids mean that there is a huge opportunity to electrify transportation without environmental  
15 regrets. Emergent Vehicle-to-Grid technologies and capabilities promises new mobile energy  
16 storage resources and load growth opportunities for utilities. The environmental benefits of  
17 transportation electrification are profound. The Commission should include transportation  
18 electrification in its evaluation of DERs and explore new regulatory approaches to encourage the  
19 deployment of electric vehicles and maximize the benefits of their use.

20           As recent Commission rulings indicate, there is a significant question as to whether this  
21 body has jurisdiction to allow utilities to include electric vehicle charging stations as a part of

---

<sup>17</sup> <https://www.habitat.org/stories/what-is-sweat-equity>

<sup>18</sup> Paulos, B. (2017, May 18). Bringing the Benefits of Solar to Low-Income Consumers. Retrieved October 12, 2017. The Executive Summary is attached as Appendix D. Available at:

<https://www.cesa.org/assets/2017-Files/Bringing-the-Benefits-of-Solar-to-Low-Income-Consumers.pdf>

<sup>19</sup> <https://www.hudexchange.info/news/hud-announces-9-9-million-in-sweat-equity-grants/>

1 rate base. The Legislature could resolve this issue by explicitly giving the Commission this  
2 ability via statute. In the event the Legislature declines to do so, there are various third-party  
3 ownership models that could be incentivized in Missouri.

4 *C. Energy storage*

5 Electrical and thermal energy storage represents a game-changing opportunity for the  
6 electric grid. The Commission should continue to support and encourage growth of energy  
7 storage markets at all levels, including behind the meter and community scale.

8 *D. Customer education*

9 The modern grid will yield its maximum potential only if customers can and do engage in  
10 a way that minimizes their bills and maximizes their control over their energy use. The first,  
11 fundamental step in customer engagement, and the one that takes the longest lead time, is  
12 customer education. The Commission should direct the utilities to develop customer education  
13 plans, with a guarantee of robust funding and staffing of such programs, to accompany DER  
14 deployment and grid modernization activities. This will pave the way for successful deployment  
15 of innovative rates and services.

16 *E. Community aggregation*

17 Community aggregation strategies offer the opportunity to expand DER markets in ways  
18 that empower customers and take advantage of economies of scale. When DERs are deployed in  
19 combination at the community level, they can form the core of microgrids. Finally, community  
20 scale DERs can be targeted for deployment at distribution system “hot spots,” where they can  
21 provide maximum benefits to grid operational efficiency and reliability. The Commission should  
22 express its strong support for customer aggregation approaches as relate to distributed  
23 generation, distributed storage, energy management and demand response, and microgrids.



**IV. CONCLUSION**

1

2           Renew Missouri appreciates the opportunity to submit these responses and comments and

3 looks forward to participation in the Commissions workshop meetings on this matter.