Exhibit No.:	
Issues:	Commission Standard; Benefits of transaction
Witness:	James Owen
Sponsoring Party:	Renew Missouri Advocates
Type of Exhibit:	Rebuttal Testimony
Case Nos.:	EO-2019-0150
Date Testimony Prepared:	March 27, 2019

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EM-2019-0150

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

JAMES OWEN

ON BEHALF OF

RENEW MISSOURI ADVOCATES

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Joint Application of Invenergy Transmission LLC, Invenergy Investment Company LLC, Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC, and Grain Belt Express Holding LLC for an Order Approving the Acquisition by Invenergy Transmission LLC of Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC)) File No. EM-2019-0150))
AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES	OWEN
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF BOONE)	
COMES NOW James Owen, and on his oath stat	tes that he is of sound mind and lawful
age; that he prepared the attached rebuttal testimony; and	that the same is true and correct to the
best of his knowledge and belief.	
Further the Affiant sayeth not. James Owen	Melle
Subscribed and sworn before me this 27 th day of March 20 Notary Public	the Gatte
My commission expires: $1-19-20$	MATTHEW PATTERSON Notary Public, Notary Seal State of Missouri Boone County Commission # 11274306 My Commission Expires 01-19-2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Testimony	Page
Introduction	1
Purpose and summary of testimony	2
Applicable Commission Standard	4
Benefits of the Transaction	5

I. Introduction

1

17

energy.

- 2 Q: Please state your name, title, and business address.
- 3 A: James Owen, Executive Director, Renew Missouri Advocates d/b/a Renew Missouri
- 4 ("Renew Missouri"), 409 Vandiver Dr. Building 5, Suite 205, Columbia, MO 65202.
- 5 Q: Please describe your education and background.
- 6 A: I obtained a law degree from the University of Kansas as well as a Bachelor of Arts in
- 7 Business and Political Science from Drury University in Springfield.
- 8 Q: Please summarize your professional experience in the field of utility regulation.
- 9 A: Before becoming Executive Director of Renew Missouri, I served as Missouri's Public 10 Counsel, a position charged with representing the public in all matters involving utility 11 companies regulated by the State. While I was Public Counsel, I was involved in several 12 rate cases, CCN applications, mergers, and complaints as well as other filings. As Public 13 Counsel, I was also involved in answering legislators' inquiries on legislation regarding 14 legislation impacting the regulation of public utilities. In my role as Executive Director at 15 Renew Missouri, I continue to provide information and testimony on pieces of proposed 16 legislation that may impact how Missouri approaches energy efficiency and renewable
- 18 Q: Have you been a member of, or participant in, any workgroups, committees, or 19 other groups that have addressed electric utility regulation and policy issues?
- A: In May 2016 I attended the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners

 ("NARUC") Utility Rate School. In the Fall of 2016, I attended Financial Research

 Institute's 2016 Public Utility Symposium on safety, affordability, and reliability. While I

 was Public Counsel, I was also a member of the National Association of State Utility

- 1 Consumer Advocates ("NASUCA") and, in November of 2017, the Consumer Council of
- 2 Missouri named me the 2017 Consumer Advocate of the Year.
- 3 Q: Have you testified previously, participated in cases, or offered testimony before the
- 4 Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission")?
- 5 A: In my prior role as Acting Public Counsel I participated in a number of PSC cases as an
- attorney and director of the office. During that time period I also offered testimony in
- 7 rulemaking hearings before the Commission. Since becoming Executive Director of
- 8 Renew Missouri I contributed to Renew Missouri's filed testimony in a number of matters.
- 9 Attached as **Schedule JO-1** is a list of my case participation.
- 10 II. Purpose and summary of testimony
- 11 Q: What is the purpose of your testimony?
- 12 A: I am submitting testimony in order to support the proposed transaction and change of
- ownership by discussing the benefits of the underlying transmission project and the
- 14 Commission's regulatory standard for approval.
- 15 Q: What is Renew Missouri's interest in this application?
- 16 A: Renew Missouri advocates for energy efficiency and renewable energy policy. As a state-
- wide advocate, Renew Missouri has an interest in the success of projects that will facilitate
- or bring renewable energy to Missouri. In the recent Certificate of Convenience and
- 19 Necessity ("CCN") case, Renew Missouri supported the Grain Belt Express Clean Line
- 20 LLC's ("Grain Belt") transmission line project because it will bring economic, market,
- 21 policy and environmental benefits to Missouri and the surrounding region. Furthermore,
- by installing a converter station in Missouri, the project will allow electric purchasers to
- access some of the lowest cost wind energy in the country.

On March 20, 2019, the Commission issued its Report and Order on Remand granting Grain Belt's application for a CCN.¹ Concluding that the transmission project is in the public interest the Commission summarized:

There can be no debate that our energy future will require more diversity in energy resources, particularly renewable resources. We are witnessing a worldwide, long-term and comprehensive movement towards renewable energy in general and wind energy specifically. Wind energy provides great promise as a source for affordable, reliable, safe, and environmentally-friendly energy. The Grain Belt Project will facilitate this movement in Missouri, will thereby benefit Missouri citizens, and is, therefore, in the public interest.²

This project advances renewable energy in Missouri and the surrounding region. To ensure this project can be completed, Renew Missouri supports approval of the application in this case.

Q: What is your recommendation to the Commission in this case?

A:

The Commission should approve the proposed transaction and change in ownership. In its order granting a CCN, the Commission has already recognized that Invenergy, LLC ("Invenergy") entered into a Membership Interest Purchase Agreement with Grain Belt to acquire all of the assets comprising the Grain Belt project, and a Development Management Agreement that provides development funding by Invenergy for the project. Approving the

¹ In the Matter of the Application of Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Construct, Own, Operate, Control, Manage and Maintain a High Voltage, Direct Current Transmission Line and an Associated Converter Station Providing an Interconnection on the Maywood-Montgomery 345kV Transmission Line, Case No. EA-2016-0358, Report and Order on Remand, Doc. No. 758.

² Id at 47.

application and allowing Invenergy and Grain Belt to move forward with these transactions ensures the owners of the transmission line will have the ability to develop, construct, and operate the project to deliver significant benefits to Missouri.

4 III. Applicable Commission Standard

A:

Q: What is the standard the Commission should use to review this application?

In their direct testimony, the Applicants point out that Grain Belt's CCN request is pending.³ However, since the Commission granted Grain Belt's CCN last week, that is no longer the case. Grain Belt is a public utility and the applicants must get approval under Section 393.190 RSMo before moving forward. Despite the uncertainty at the time of filing direct testimony, Invenergy's Ms. Hoffman describes the Applicants' view that the standard for granting approval under that statute is that the merger or acquisition must be "not detrimental to the public interest." Now that the CCN has been granted, this remains the appropriate standard.

14 Q: Has the Commission considered that standard in any recent merger cases?

A: Yes, in Case No. EM-2018-0012 related to the Westar Energy, Inc. and Great Plains Energy Incorporated merger as well as in Case No. EM-2016-0212 related to the ITC Midwest LLC ("ITC") and Fortis Inc. merger, the Commission applied the "not detrimental" standard. Each of those approvals related to ownership changes that allowed the existing entity to operate, but under new ownership.

³ Detweiler Direct, p. 4.

⁴ Hoffman Direct, p. 3.

Q:	Why do	you mention	those two	examples?
----	--------	-------------	-----------	-----------

A: First, I mention the GPE/Westar merger case because Renew Missouri was a party so I followed that case and the Commission's order closely. In that case, the Commission most recently explained what "no detriment" means:

the Commission may not withhold its approval of the proposed transaction unless the Applicants fail in their burden to demonstrate that the transaction is not detrimental to the public interest, and detriment is determined by performing a balancing test where benefits are weighed against direct or indirect effects of the transaction that would diminish the provision of safe or adequate of service or that would tend to make rates less just or less reasonable.⁵

Second, I point out the ITC/Fortis merger because – just like in this case – it related to a transmission line located partially within Missouri that did not serve retail customers. In each of these two cases, the Commission applied the standard and approved the requested applications.

16 Q: Does the proposal in this case meet the Commission's "no-detriment" standard?

17 A: This application *exceeds* the standard because it is *beneficial* to the public interest. Because there is no detriment, the Commission *must approve* the transaction.

IV. Benefits of the Transaction

20 Q: What are the benefits from this transaction?

A: With the Commission's approval, Invenergy's ownership will ensure that this transmission project has the resources, financial ability, and qualifications to develop, construct and

⁵ In the Matter of the Application of Great Plains Energy Incorporated for Approval of its Merger with Westar Energy, Inc., Case No. EM-2018-0012, Report and Order, Doc. No. 146, p. 28.

operate the project.⁶ As I mentioned earlier, Renew Missouri supported the transmission line CCN because it will facilitate the development and delivery of renewable energy.

One way it facilitates the development of renewable energy is that this transmission line will link customers in Missouri, including municipalities, to low-cost wind power from western Kansas. MJMEUC and its customers have committed to purchase 136 MW of wind power using the Grain Belt transmission project and have the ability to purchase up to 200 MW of transmission capacity.8 In all, the transmission project will allow the municipal customers to save over \$11 million annually under the transmission service agreements compared to existing contracts for coal resources. 9 The evidence presented and the findings of the Commission in the CCN case confirm what I have testified in other cases: a growing number of customers want more access to renewable energy resources to meet their own sustainability metrics and save money. Dozens of major companies have signed on to support the Corporate Renewable Energy Buyers' Principles. ¹⁰ Governmental bodies in Missouri are also beginning to establish their own clean energy goals. With this pressure from industry leaders and local governments, the utility providers in Missouri must continue to look to renewable generation to meet customers' needs, preferences, and budgets. Approving the Grain Belt transmission line and the transaction in this case are steps in the right direction.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

⁶ In the Matter of the Application of Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Construct, Own, Operate, Control, Manage and Maintain a High Voltage, Direct Current Transmission Line and an Associated Converter Station Providing an Interconnection on the Maywood-Montgomery 345kV Transmission Line, Case No. EA-2016-0358, Report and Order on Remand, Doc. No. 758, p. 43.

⁷ *Id* at 43.

⁸ *Id* at 41.

⁹ *Id*.

¹⁰ https://buyersprinciples.org/about-us/.

Furthermore, the fundamentals of the CCN case remain the same in that the project is a participant-funded model, meaning Grain Belt will assume the financial risk of building and operating the transmission line.¹¹ No costs are expected to be recovered through RTO rates, so Missouri ratepayers will see only the financial benefits that pass through if their provider elects to buy capacity or energy on the line.¹²

Besides the ability to save customers money and meet their sustainability goals, are there other benefits to the public from the transmission project and approval of this application?

Because the project facilitates additional renewable energy, there will be environmental, system reliability, and other economic benefits. This Commission has repeatedly found that creating environmental benefits serves the public interest. In its *Report and Order* in Case No. EA-2016-0208, the Commission found customers "have a strong interest in the development of economical renewable energy sources to provide safe, reliable, and affordable service while improving the environment and reducing the amount of carbon dioxide released into the atmosphere". Similarly, in Case No. EA-2015-0256, the Commission concluded "customers and the general public have a strong interest in the development of economical renewable energy sources to provide safe, reliable, and affordable service while improving the environment and reducing the amount of carbon dioxide released into the atmosphere." When evaluating the underlying transmission line at issue in this case, the Commission found that "[t]he renewable energy delivered by the Project will reduce emissions in the Eastern Interconnection by displacing thermal generation, which emits sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and carbon dioxide, and will

Q:

A:

¹¹ Zadlo Direct, p. 11.

 $^{^{12}}$ *Id*.

decrease water usage, all to the benefit Missouri's environmental and public health."¹³ Based on these facts, adding this transmission line will create benefits that improve the environment and further the policy goals of the state of Missouri.

4 Q: What are the reliability benefits of adding the transmission line?

This transmission line will permit geographic diversity in the sources of generation because the least-cost, renewable wind power will be generated in Kansas and sent into Missouri and beyond. As the Commission concluded in the CCN case, diversity can help reduce system variability and uncertainty in regional systems.¹⁴ This diversity is one way to help stabilize the existing grid during extreme weather events, such as a "polar vortex" or flooding, that may strain regional generation and energy supply.

Q: Can you describe the economic benefits that approval in this case will facilitate?

In a general sense, this approval will facilitate the development of wind projects which create a variety of benefits, including payments to landowners, construction jobs, and increased state and local tax revenues. More specifically, building the transmission line *itself* creates significant economic benefits during the various project stages. The construction phase of the Grain Belt Express transmission line will support 1,527 total jobs over the three years and create \$246 million in personal income, \$476 million in gross domestic product, and \$9.6 million in state general revenue for the state of Missouri. In its first year of operation, the transmission line is expected to support 91 jobs and create \$17.9 million in personal income, \$9.1 million in gross domestic product, and \$720,000 in

A:

A:

¹³ In the Matter of the Application of Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Construct, Own, Operate, Control, Manage and Maintain a High Voltage, Direct Current Transmission Line and an Associated Converter Station Providing an Interconnection on the Maywood-Montgomery 345kV Transmission Line, Case No. EA-2016-0358, Report and Order on Remand, Doc. No. 758, p. 31, 46.

¹⁴ *Id* at 46.

¹⁵ *Id* at 31-32.

state general revenue for the state of Missouri. Approximately \$14.97 million in easement payments will be made in the first year of operation. In subsequent years, the economic impact of this line will support 28 total jobs and create \$2.6 million in personal income, \$4.2 million in gross domestic product, and \$111,000 in state general revenue on an annual basis. In addition, there will be millions of dollars in tax benefits to the counties the transmission line crosses.

Approval in this case of the acquisition by Invenergy of Grain Belt is an essential step in unlocking the myriad of benefits to customers and the general public that the Commission has previously found and that I have described in this testimony. Weighing these benefits against any possible detriment, the application *exceeds* the "no detriment" standard because it is *beneficial* to the public interest. Because there is no detriment, the Commission must approve the transaction.

- 13 Q: Does this conclude your testimony?
- 14 A: Yes.

¹⁶ *Id*.

¹⁷ *Id*.

CASE PARTICIPATION OF JAMES OWEN

<u>Date</u>	Proceeding	Docket No.	On Behalf of:	<u>Issues</u>
10/20/2017	In the Matter of	EW-2017-0245	Renew Missouri	Comments:
	a Working Case		Advocates	Distributed
	to Explore			Energy
	Emerging Issues			Resources
	in Utility			
	Regulation			
2/7/2018	In the Matter of	EO-2018-0092	Renew Missouri	Rebuttal:
	the Application		Advocates	Customer
	of The Empire			savings plan,
	District Electric			wind generation,
	Company for			Asbury
	Approval of Its			retirement,
	Customer			federal tax
	Savings Plan			changes
Rebuttal	In the Matter of	ER-2018-	Renew Missouri	Rebuttal:
7/27/2018	KCP&L Greater	0145/ER-2018-	Advocates	Demand
	Missouri	0146		Response
Surrebuttal	Operations			Program
(9/4/2018)	Company's			
	Request for			Surrebuttal:
	Authority to			Demand
	Implement a			Response
	General Rate			Program
	Increase for			
	Electric Service			
	In the Matter of			
	Kansas City			
	Power & Light			
	Company's			
	Request for			
	Authority to			
	Implement a			
	General Rate			
	Increase for			
	Electric Service			
6/8/2018	In the Matter of	ET-2018-0063	Renew Missouri	Surrebuttal:
	the Application		Advocates	Eligibility
	of Union			parameters, wind
	Electric			generation

Schedule JO-1

	Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri for Approval of 2017 Green Tariff			
9/17/2018	In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's 3rd Filing to Implement Regulatory Changes in Furtherance of Energy Efficiency as Allowed by MEEIA	EO-2018-0211	Renew Missouri Advocates	Surrebuttal: Statutory Requirements of MEEIA
9/28/2018	In the Matter of the Application of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri for Permission and Approval and a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Construct a Wind Generation Facility	EA-2018-0202	Renew Missouri Advocates	Surrebuttal: Second Non- unanimous Stipulation and Agreement; Need for the project; Conservation conditions
11/16/2018	In the Matter of the Application of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri for	ET-2018-0132	Renew Missouri Advocates	Surrebuttal: Charge Ahead Programs

Schedule JO-1

1/15/2019	Approval of Efficient Electrification Program In the Matter of a Workshop Docket to Explore the Ratemaking Process	AW-2019-0127	Renew Missouri Advocates	Comments: Ratemaking Process
1/22/2019	In the Matter of the Application of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri for Permission and Approval and a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Construct a Wind Generation Facility	EA-2019-0021	Renew Missouri Advocates	Surrebuttal: Conservation conditions; Tax revenue; Benefits of wind generation
1/28/2019	In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Notice of Intent to File an Application for Authority to Establish a Demand-Side Programs Investment Mechanism	EO-2019-0132	Renew Missouri Advocates	Rebuttal: PAYS Program
3/5/2019	In the Matter of the Application of The Empire	EA-2019-0010	Renew Missouri Advocates	Surrebuttal: Benefits of wind generation;

Schedule JO-1

District Electric Company for Certificates of Convenience and Necessity Related to Wind		Conservation conditions; OPC's CCN standard
Related to Wind Generation Facilities		