

EC-2023-0395

Brett Felber  
VS  
Ameren Missouri

### Response

Since the Commission feels inclined to cancel the “evidentiary” hearing due to the fact they want irrelevant information that doesn’t agree with the main subject of the complain. ( Breach of Payment Agreement Contract) . Let me know as soon as possible therefore I can explore the possibility of adding the Commission as a defendant to the lawsuits I have filed or I’m prepared to file and I can proceed in the SOP (Service of Process) accordingly with the newest lawsuit that has been filed against Ameren Missouri.

The lawsuits outside of the Commission hearing aren’t going to be dropped anytime soon and are all going to proceed accordingly.

Until Ameren does the right thing and restores utility services to the premises, the lawsuits aren’t going to go away. Even then, I don’t think I’ve got the power to dismiss the lawsuits, due to the severe amount of neglect they’ve been able to get away with over the course of the last 90 day and more and failure to rectify several key issues.

Cancelling a key hearing over crucial evidence admits that Ameren and the Commission are in the wrong. This just gives me more motive to file outside of the Commission to have this matter heard, which is probably what I should’ve done over 90 days ago.

Brett Felber