

Exhibit No.  
Issue: Transportation Tariffs  
Witness: H. Edwin Overcast  
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony  
Sponsoring Party: Empire District Gas  
Case No. GR-2009-0434  
Date Testimony Prepared: December 2009

**Before the Public Service Commission  
of the State of Missouri**

**Rebuttal Testimony**

**of**

**H. Edwin Overcast**

**December 2009**

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF  
H. EDWIN OVERCAST  
ON BEHALF OF  
THE EMPIRE DISTRICT GAS COMPANY  
BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
CASE NO. GR-2009-0434

1    **Q.   PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2    A.   H. Edwin Overcast

3       P. O. Box 2947

4       McDonough, GA 30253

5    **Q.   HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY FILED TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE?**

6    A.   Yes.   I filed direct testimony on behalf of The Empire District Gas Company  
7       (“EDG” or “the Company”).

8    **Q.   WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?**

9    A.   My testimony responds to the testimony provided by the Mr. Richard Haubensak on  
10       behalf of Constellation NewEnergy-Gas Division, LLC (“Constellation”) related to  
11       changes in the transportation tariff provisions.

12   **Q.   WHAT TESTIMONY HAVE YOU REVIEWED RELATIVE TO**  
13       **TRANSPORTATION ISSUES?**

14   A.   I have reviewed both the MPSC Staff report and the testimony of Mr. Haubensak  
15       related to transportation service issues.

16   **Q.   DOES MR. HAUBENSAK DEFINE TRANSPORTATION SERVICE IN ITS**  
17       **MOST NARROW SENSE?**

18   A.   Yes.   Mr. Haubensak defines transportation service as simply “an arrangement in  
19       which the customer purchases its own natural gas supply directly from a producer,

1 or through a marketer, rather than receiving sales (or “system supply”) service from  
2 the utility (local distribution company).”<sup>1</sup> This is a far too narrow view of the  
3 transportation service provided by a local distribution company (“LDC”). For  
4 example, the LDC retains the responsibility for managing and operating the system  
5 to assure safe and reliable service to all customers-both transportation and sales.  
6 The LDC continues to be the supplier of last resort because it is the LDC’s  
7 responsibility to balance the system on a daily and hourly basis to assure adequate  
8 delivery pressures throughout the system. This includes understanding the physical  
9 characteristics of the system and the location of pipeline delivery points from the  
10 interstate system. For the Empire system, various components of the system are not  
11 interconnected, resulting in unique gas supply pools. The Company not only  
12 manages the system as a whole but also manages each supply pool where customers  
13 may deliver gas through a city gate for the Company to redeliver gas to customers.

14 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW EDG OPERATES EACH OF THE THREE**  
15 **SYSTEMS?**

16 A. As part of the prudent operation of the system, the Company operates each of the  
17 three system components in a way that maximizes the use of the available  
18 resources. The following discussion provides a summary of operating procedures  
19 for each system segment:

20 ANR/Northwest - During the summer, the Company purchases gas primarily  
21 to fill storage and nominate all gas purchased to two aggregate city gate areas  
22 and gas not consumed behind the city gate is “bounced” back to storage.

---

<sup>1</sup> Direct Testimony of Richard Haubensak, p. 2, l. 13-16

H. EDWIN OVERCAST  
REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

1 During the winter months, the Company uses no notice storage service to  
2 follow load changes except when flowing gas is purchased to meet peak day  
3 requirements. Due to the no notice storage service on ANR, EDG does not  
4 make any nominations to the city gate during the winter: instead ANR  
5 balances the city gate needs using EDG's storage rights. Typically, transport  
6 customers never change their nominations to reflect weather changes. This  
7 becomes a consideration when daily priced option gas must be purchased to  
8 meet peak day requirements.

9 PEPL/North – There is adequate no notice storage on the Panhandle (North)  
10 system to run the system in the winter from storage filled during the summer  
11 and then augment the gas supply as needed for peak days with gas priced on a  
12 Gas Daily index. In the summer, EDG nominates all gas to the city gate and  
13 what is not consumed is “bounced back to storage”. During the summer,  
14 EDG's sales usage is very small and the industrial transport load is a much  
15 larger component of total system usage. Due to the general mismatch of the  
16 transport customer nominations versus actual usage, the Company has no way  
17 of knowing precisely how much gas the system actually needs that day.  
18 During the summer, the system uses the flowing gas (transportation and sales)  
19 to match load and the remainder goes into storage.

20 Southern Star/South - Unlike ANR and Panhandle, there is not enough storage  
21 capacity to run the total system on storage during the winter. Storage only  
22 meets approximately 23% of gas demand in the winter and the Company  
23 purchases flowing gas for the South system each month. The flowing (non-

1 storage) gas purchased during the winter is nominated to storage and is then  
2 directed to the city gates as needed (based on the transportation customers  
3 imbalance position) by Southern Star from the Company's no notice storage  
4 account. The transport customer imbalances can also create issues with  
5 EDG's winter regression model. While the regression is used to predict sales,  
6 the transport customers' imbalances are an unknown and negate to a certain  
7 extent what the regression predicts for sales service usage. Transport  
8 customers, large or small, very rarely adjust their nominations based on  
9 changes in weather -- they only adjust daily nominations toward the end of  
10 the month to lessen the impact of monthly cash out charges. Southern Star's  
11 treatment of transportation imbalances is different from Panhandle due to the  
12 Predetermined Allocation Agreement ("PDA"). For example, if the transport  
13 customers over deliver gas to the extent that the system requirements are also  
14 covered by transport gas and there is an absolute excess of gas on the system,  
15 the excess gas delivered by the transport customers goes into storage and is  
16 not prorated back on the transport customer interstate pipeline contract.  
17 Southern Star has storage ratchets that Panhandle and ANR do not have. For  
18 example, on Southern Star as the Company's share of storage becomes full the  
19 maximum quantity of gas that can be injected into storage on a daily basis is  
20 reduced significantly.

21 **Q. HOW DO THE COMPANY'S STORAGE ARRANGMENTS AFFECT THE**  
22 **TRANSPORTATION SERVICE OFFERED BY THE COMPANY?**

1 A. As discussed above, the marginal source of supply comes from the contracted  
2 storage services of the Company, all of the time for the North and Northwest  
3 portions of the system, and much of the time for the South system. In essence, this  
4 means that differences between actual use and volumes nominated by the marketer  
5 have an impact on storage. When too much gas is delivered to the system, storage  
6 nominations are reduced and system gas remains in storage. When too little gas is  
7 delivered to the system relative to load, system gas is withdrawn from storage and  
8 delivered to transportation customers. The use of storage occurs regardless of the  
9 amount of the aggregate mismatch. In addition to the use of storage, there is also  
10 the potential for gas arbitrage when the system must buy additional spot gas at  
11 prices exceeding the first of the month price, particularly on high use days. In  
12 addition to the daily variability in demand, real time flows on the distribution  
13 system are highly variable with significant changes being seen on an hourly basis.  
14 This is particularly prevalent in the winter. Flows will typically reduce overnight,  
15 then increase rapidly during the early morning hours by 50 to 150% or more due to  
16 start up of residential furnaces, water heater load, and commercial operations  
17 starting up for the day. This is commonly referred to as the “morning lift.” By 9:00  
18 a.m., the load begins to decrease again. As daytime temperatures increase, the  
19 afternoon gas demand decreases. Later in the afternoon and into the evening, as  
20 residents return home, residential furnace load increases once again, though not as  
21 dramatically as the morning peak, until the evening demand drops off once again.

22 **Q. HOW IS THE VARIABILITY IN DAILY DEMAND MANAGED?**

H. EDWIN OVERCAST  
REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

1 A. All of this variability in demand is managed through the storage services available  
2 to the Company. These benefits flow to both sales and transport customers.  
3 However, the transport customers make no contribution to the cost of storage that  
4 they use each day either by injection or withdrawal.

5 The utility also has the information necessary to identify the optimum mix of  
6 pipeline, storage and peaking capacity to meet the design day requirements of the  
7 system. In addition to the planning and acquisition of the capacity to serve the  
8 design day, the utility must also operate the system to insure that sufficient gas  
9 supply is available to the system to meet the daily variations in load across the  
10 seasons of the year. The operation of the upstream delivery and storage assets and  
11 the peaking supplies must be centralized to insure system reliability at the best cost.

12 In providing transportation service, EDG must perform all of these functions and  
13 the transportation service requires the use of storage services but currently does not  
14 bear any cost for the services. Under the conditions discussed above, the Company  
15 requires information to assess the costs to customers on a cost causation basis.

16 **Q. DOES MR. HAUBENSAK CONFUSE THE ISSUE OF STORAGE**  
17 **INJECTIONS AND WITHDRAWALS BASED ON HIS LIMITED VIEW OF**  
18 **TRANSPORTATION?**

19 A. Yes. Mr. Haubensak argues that “Empire itself, by being out of balance between its  
20 nominations and deliveries to its sales customers, can cause injections to or  
21 withdrawals from storage and impose costs on the Company. If, for example, the  
22 imbalances of the other transporters, marketers and individual customers offset the  
23 imbalances of EDG itself, there would be no need to inject or withdraw gas to or

1 from storage.”<sup>2</sup> As I discussed above, EDG balances the system with injections and  
2 withdrawals of no notice storage service. As a practical matter, gas LDCs must  
3 operate the system in this manner because the expected system load is not  
4 developed from individual customers but based on the total of both sales and  
5 transport customers. The mismatch between demand and delivery for transport  
6 customers is essentially corrected as part of the normal utility operation. Thus,  
7 over-deliveries by transport customers mean less delivery for sales customers and  
8 thus lower withdrawals from storage in the winter and more injection into storage in  
9 the summer.

10 When the marketers under deliver gas in the winter, EDG assumes that its sales  
11 obligation is larger and uses no notice storage or purchases peaking supplies to meet  
12 the requirements of the system. In the summer, under deliveries mean that less gas  
13 is injected into storage. Forecast error, either positive or negative in total is  
14 managed through no notice storage. EDG, in the annual gas supply plan, indicates  
15 that “adequate storage is available on the systems to provide the flexibility to adjust  
16 to most daily demand deviations from normal.”<sup>3</sup> Where customers contract for  
17 both gas supply and transportation to the city gate, as in the EDG system, the LDC  
18 must match supply and demand on the system. Only EDG has the necessary  
19 information to manage the hourly and daily loads of the system.

20 **Q. WHAT OPTIONS DOES THE COMPANY HAVE TO ADDRESS THIS**  
21 **SITUATION?**

22 A. There are multiple options available to the EDG to manage the system as follows:

---

<sup>2</sup> Haubensak, p. 9. l. 22- p.10, l. 4

<sup>3</sup> The Empire District Gas Supply Plan Winter 2008/2009, p. 4



- 1           • Require firm delivery to the city gate through either LDC capacity release
- 2           with recall rights or non-recallable FT from the market.
- 3           • Provide firm back-up service for transportation customers through the use of
- 4           LDC FT.
- 5           • Require customers whose gas does not reach the city gate to curtail their
- 6           consumption.

7           As a practical matter, the option of interrupting customers whose gas does not reach  
8           the city gate represents a reasonable option only to the extent that transportation  
9           service is for the largest customers on the system and the number of such customers  
10          is small. Since EDG permits small commercial customers to transport gas on its  
11          system, using curtailment to assure reliability is not a reasonable option.

12          Multiple options are available to permit small volume transportation and all require  
13          more complex arrangements to maintain a safe and reliable system. For example,  
14          one such option is to require the marketer to deliver the average daily volume of gas  
15          for the small volume customers each day of the year. The company provides the  
16          service associated with injections and withdrawals from storage and peaking  
17          services. Under this model, customers are billed for firm service under the  
18          applicable rate and the marketer supplied gas becomes a credit to the customers  
19          utility gas cost component of the rate. The customer pays the marketer for marketer  
20          gas and the benefit or loss to the customer is the difference between the payment for  
21          gas to the marketer and the credit from the LDC. Under the EDG model, marketers  
22          nominate the quantity of gas that the customer is expected to use on a daily basis.  
23          However, for smaller customers there is no data to support the daily nominations

1 because the only consumption data is monthly. This means that it is impossible for  
2 the marketers or the Company to know the daily imbalances of these delivery  
3 customers. As a result, the daily cost to serve these transportation customers as it  
4 relates to the commodity component of gas costs, storage costs (injections,  
5 withdrawals and capacity) and peaking costs are not known with any precision.

6 It is clear that firm customers currently subsidize storage and peaking services since  
7 they are included in the PGA costs in total.

8 **Q. DOES THE FACT THAT TRANSPORTATION FOR SMALL VOLUME**  
9 **CUSTOMERS OCCURS WITHOUT TELEMETRY MEAN THAT**  
10 **TELEMETRY IS NOT REQUIRED?**

11 A. No. As discussed above, the system can be operated reliably without telemetry.  
12 The current EDG model provides significant subsidies to transportation service at  
13 the expense of firm service customers. This subsidy benefits both the marketers,  
14 through higher margins, and the customers, through lower costs.

15 **Q. WHAT OPTIONS DOES THE COMPANY HAVE TO ADDRESS THIS**  
16 **CROSS SUBSIDY?**

17 A EDG had multiple options for addressing this cross subsidy. These options include:

- 18 • Adopting the average day deliveries for small volume customers and  
19 revising the current rates completely
- 20 • Increasing the transportation rates to cover a portion of storage and peaking  
21 costs applicable to all customers regardless of their use of the services
- 22 • Altering the cashout procedures to provide for more complexity to recover  
23 additional costs

- 1           • Require telemetry to permit accurate costs for each customer.

2           The least controversial and most cost based option permits the use of telemetry so  
3           that the ability to track actual costs is improved. This method complies with the  
4           cost causation principle and avoids the potential for overcharging marketers who  
5           manage their systems efficiently and effectively.

6   **Q. SHOULD THE COMPANY BE PERMITTED TO CALL AN**  
7   **OPERATIONAL FLOW ORDER (“OFO”) DAY EVEN WHEN THERE IS**  
8   **NO PIPELINE OFO?**

9   A. Yes. There are many operational reasons for an OFO for the local system unrelated  
10   to pipeline OFO orders. Just as pipelines from time to time face operating  
11   constraints, LDCs likewise face constraints from time to time. For example, the  
12   LDC could face a constraint in the fall if too much gas is nominated to the system  
13   and storages are full so there are no injection rights. This may also occur in the  
14   summer when excess gas nominated to the system in low load periods may cause  
15   the nominated gas volumes exceed load plus the amount of gas that may be injected  
16   into storage. It may also be that in the spring with a cold day and absent full  
17   nomination of pipeline capacity, the available storage capacity as the result of  
18   storage ratchets may be inadequate to provide reliable service. The ability to  
19   declare a system OFO at the discretion of the system operators is essential to safe  
20   and reliable service. Both demand and supply mismatches created as the result of  
21   marketer nominations create the potential for an event that has potential for system  
22   operational integrity. So long as EDG notifies transportation customers and  
23   marketers in advance, it should be at EDG’s discretion when to declare an OFO.

1    **Q.   DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

2    A.   Yes.