## STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION JEFFERSON CITY July 15, 1999

**CASE NO: EX-99-442** 

Office of the Public Counsel

P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Gary W. Duffy

Brydon, Swearengen & England P.C.

P. O. Box 456

312 East Capitol Ave.

Jefferson City, MO 65102

General Counsel

Missouri Public Service Commission

P.O. Box 360

Jefferson City, MO 65102

William J. Niehoff

Ameren Services Company

One Ameren Plaza

1901 Chouteau Avenue

St. Louis, MO 63166

Enclosed find certified copy of an ORDER in the above-numbered case(s).

Sincerely,

**Dale Hardy Roberts** 

Lake Hord Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

**Uncertified Copy:** 

Gerald A. Reynolds

Kansas City Power and Light Co.

1201 Walnut

P. O. Box 418679

Kansas City, MO 64141-9679

### **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

### OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of 4 CSR 240-20.015 Proposed )

Rule - Electric Utilities Affiliate ) Case No. EX-99-442

Transactions.

# ORDER AND NOTICE REGARDING MOTIONS TO COMPEL DATA REQUESTS

On April 26, 1999, the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) filed proposed rule 4 CSR 240-20.015 Marketing Affiliate Transactions with the Secretary of State. This rulemaking proceeding has been assigned case number EX-99-442. The Proposed Rule was published in the Missouri Register on June 1, 1999, and provided a comment period through July 1, 1999, a reply comment period through August 1, 1999 (comments due Monday, August 2), and, scheduled a public hearing for September 14, 1999.

On June 4, 1999, the Office of the Public Counsel (OPC) propounded data requests to each regulated electric utility in Missouri in order to obtain information the OPC believed would support its initial and reply comments concerning the proposed rule. On June 14, 1999, The Empire District Electric Company (Empire) filed its motion asking the Commission to issue its standard protective order in this proceeding stating that the OPC's data requests extended to sensitive and highly confidential business information. On June 23, 1999, the OPC requested a blanket standard protective order for this rulemaking proceeding. On June 26, 1999, the Commission adopted and

issued its standard protective order for this rulemaking proceeding effective July 6, 1999. The effective date of the order was later changed to June 30, 1999, so that if any comments referenced highly confidential information those comments containing highly confidential information could be timely filed under seal on July 1, 1999.

In addition to issues concerning protection of confidential and sensitive information, certain utilities have presented the OPC with additional objections, in writing and within ten days, pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.090, concerning the data requests. The participants have not been able to resolve all the issues presented. On July 9, 1999, the OPC filed three motions to compel answers to its data One motion concerned compliance by The Empire District requests. Electric Company (Empire); a second similar motion compliance by AmerenUE; and a third similar motion concerned compliance by Kansas City Power & Light Company (KCPL). Pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.080(12) these utilities are provided ten days to respond to the respective pleadings filed made by the OPC. Thus, responses, if any will be due with the Commission by July 19, 1999.

A rulemaking proceeding presents certain procedures and constraints that differ from a contested case proceeding. One concern of the Commission is that the rulemaking proceeding adhere to the timing deadlines stated in the Proposed Rule and prescribed by statutes which are intended to provide a workable structure to the rulemaking process. The Commission will act with prudence and diligence to ensure timely and meaningful opportunity for written comments and presentation of comments at the public hearing scheduled

for this proceeding. Thus, the Commission will rule on the OPC's respective Motion[s] to Compel Data Requests as soon as possible after July 19, 1999. If the Commission's ruling is favorable in any or all respects to the OPC then the Commission's ruling will make provision for the OPC to supplement its comments and reply comments prior to the hearing scheduled for this Proposed Rule.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- 1. That any interested person wishing to respond to the Motion[s] to Compel Data Requests filed by the Office of the Public Counsel shall do so by filing responses by July 19, 1999.
  - 2. That this order shall become effective on July 15, 1999.

BY THE COMMISSION

Hole Hard Roberts

Dale Hardy Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

(SEAL)

Keith Thornburg, Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.120(1) (November 30, 1995) and Section 386.240, RSMo 1994.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 15th day of July, 1999.

## STATE OF MISSOURI OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and

I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City,

Missouri, this 15TH day of JULY, 1999.

Dale Hardy Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge