STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION JEFFERSON CITY March 15, 2002

CASE NO: TO-2002-355

Office of the Public Counsel

P.O. Box 7800

Jefferson City, MO 65102

Larry W. Dority Fischer & Dority, P.C.

101 Madison St., Suite 400

Jefferson City, MO 65101

General Counsel

Missouri Public Service Commission

P.O. Box 360

Jefferson City, MO 65102

Paul G. Lane

Southwestern Bell Telephone Co.

One Bell Center, Room 3518

St. Louis, MO 63101

Hole Hard Roberts

Enclosed find certified copy of an ORDER in the above-numbered case(s).

Sincerely,

Dale Hardy Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Uncertified Copies:

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of ALLTEL)	
Communications, Inc., for Approval of Its)	
Cellular/PCS Interconnection Agreement with)	Case No. TO-2002-355
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company under)	
47 U.S.C. Section 252.)	

ORDER APPROVING INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

This order approves the Cellular/PCS Interconnection Agreement executed by the parties and filed by ALLTEL Communications, Inc. (ACI).

On January 22, 2002, ACI filed an application with the Commission for approval of a Cellular/PCS Interconnection Agreement with Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT). The Agreement was filed pursuant to Section 252(e)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Agreement would permit ACI to connect its facilities with SWBT in the state of Missouri and to exchange traffic for the provision of two-way commercial mobile radio service. Both ACI and SWBT possess certificates to provide basic local telecommunications services in Missouri.

Although SWBT is a party to the Agreement, it did not join in the application. On January 31, 2002, the Commission issued an order making SWBT a party in this case and directing any party wishing to request a hearing to do so no later than February 20, 2002. No requests for hearing were filed.

¹ See 47 U.S.C. § 251, et seq.

The requirement for a hearing is met when the opportunity for hearing has been provided and no proper party has requested the opportunity to present evidence.² Since no one has requested a hearing, the Commission may grant the relief requested based on the application.

The Staff of the Commission filed a memorandum and recommendation on February 26, 2002, recommending that the Agreement be approved.

Discussion

The Commission, under the provisions of Section 252(e) of the Act, has authority to approve an interconnection or resale agreement negotiated between telecommunications carriers. The Commission may reject an interconnection or resale agreement only if the agreement is discriminatory or is inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.

The Staff memorandum recommends that the Agreement be approved and notes that the Agreement meets the limited requirements of the Act in that it is not discriminatory toward nonparties and is not against the public interest. Staff recommends that the Commission direct the parties to submit any further modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval.

Findings of Fact

The Missouri Public Service Commission, having considered all of the competent and substantial evidence upon the whole record, makes the following findings of fact.

The Commission has considered the application, the supporting documentation, and Staff's recommendation. Based upon that review, the Commission concludes that the

² State ex rel. Rex Deffenderfer Enterprises, Inc. v. Public Service Commission, 776 S.W.2d 494, 496 (Mo. App. 1989).

Agreement meets the requirements of the Act in that it does not unduly discriminate against a nonparty carrier and implementation of the Agreement is not inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. The Commission finds that approval of the Agreement should be conditioned upon the parties submitting any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval pursuant to the procedure set out below.

Modification Procedure

The Commission has a duty to review all resale and interconnection agreements, whether arrived at through negotiation or arbitration, as mandated by the Act.³ In order for the Commission's role of review and approval to be effective, the Commission must also review and approve or recognize modifications to these agreements. The Commission has a further duty to make a copy of every resale and interconnection agreement available for public inspection.⁴ This duty is in keeping with the Commission's practice under its own rules of requiring telecommunications companies to keep their rate schedules on file with the Commission.⁵

The parties to each resale or interconnection agreement must maintain a complete and current copy of the agreement, together with all modifications, in the Commission's offices. Any proposed modification must be submitted for Commission approval or recognition, whether the modification arises through negotiation, arbitration, or by means of alternative dispute resolution procedures.

Modifications to an agreement must be submitted to the Staff for review. When approved or recognized, the modified pages will be substituted in the agreement, which

³ 47 U.S.C. § 252.

⁴ 47 U.S.C. § 252(h).

⁵ 4 CSR 240-30.010.

should contain the number of the page being replaced in the lower right-hand corner. Staff will date-stamp the pages when they are inserted into the agreement. The official record of the original agreement and all the modifications made will be maintained by the Telecommunications Staff in the Commission's Data Center.

The Commission does not intend to conduct a full proceeding each time the parties agree to a modification. Where a proposed modification is identical to a provision that has been approved by the Commission in another agreement, the Commission will take notice of the modification once Staff has verified that the provision is an approved provision and has prepared a recommendation. Where a proposed modification is not contained in another approved agreement, Staff will review the modification and its effects and prepare a recommendation advising the Commission whether the modification should be approved. The Commission may approve the modification based on the Staff recommendation. If the Commission chooses not to approve the modification, the Commission will establish a case, give notice to interested parties and permit responses. The Commission may conduct a hearing if it is deemed necessary.

Conclusions of Law

The Missouri Public Service Commission has arrived at the following conclusions of law.

The Commission, under the provisions of Section 252(e)(1) of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996⁶ is required to review negotiated resale agreements. Itmay only reject a negotiated agreement upon a finding that its implementation would be discriminatory to a nonparty or inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and

⁶ 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(1).

necessity.⁷ Based upon its review of the Agreement between ACI and SWBT and its findings of fact, the Commission concludes that the Agreement is neither discriminatory nor inconsistent with the public interest and should be approved.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- 1. That the Cellular/PCS Interconnection Agreement between ALLTEL Communications, Inc., and Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, filed on January 22, 2002, is approved.
- 2. That any changes or modifications to this Agreement shall be filed with the Commission pursuant to the procedure outlined in this order.
 - 3. That this order shall become effective on March 25, 2002.
 - 4. That this case may be closed on March 26, 2002.

BY THE COMMISSION

Hok Hard Roberts

Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

(SEAL)

Vicky Ruth, Senior Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant to Section 386.240, RSMo 2000.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 15th day of March, 2002.

⁷ 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A).

FYI: To Be Issued By Delegation

ALJ/Secretary: Ruth // Re

Date Circulated

Return Not Later Than

To - 2002 - 355 CASE NO.

Simmons Chair

Murray, Commissioner

Lumpe, Commissioner

Gaw, Commissioner

Forbis, Commissioner

STATE OF MISSOURI

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City,

Missouri, this 15th day of March 2002.

Dale Hardy Roberts

Hole Hard Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge