July 06, 2006

FILED²

JUL 0 7 2006

Missouri Public Service Commission

The Honorable Colleen M. Dale Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO. 65102-0360

Re: Case Nos. WA-2006-0480 and SA-2006-0482

The Honorable Judge Dale:

Please find enclosed for filing, several "Applications to Request to Intervene." Five additional copies are also enclosed for the appropriate Commission personnel; if you would be so kind as to bring this filing to their attention.

Please contact me, if you should have any questions regarding this filing.

Thank you,

Cathy J. Orler 3252 Big Island Drive Roach, MO. 65787 (573)317-1490 July 05, 2006



Missouri Public Service Commission

The Honorable Colleen M. Dale Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO. 65102-0360

Re: Application to Request Intervenership to Participate In Case No. WA-2006-0480 and Case No. SA-2006-0482

Dear Judge Dale:

This submittal, is an application respectfully requesting the Commission to grant myself, Cathy Orler, intervener status to permit my active participation in the proceedings of Case No. WA-2006-0480 and Case No. SA-2006-0482.

Being a residential property owner of Big Island for nearly 8, (eight), years, my vested interests in the future of my property values, associated with the safety of the community drinking water supply and the environmentally sound disposal of the wastewater currently being discharged into the Little Niangua arm of the Lake of the Ozarks, with both being totally reliant on the adequacy with which each is administered, is a justified concern, and does serve the public's best interests.

As stated in paragraph 2 of the "Application," "Service provided by the Association is the subject matter of several complaints pending before the Commission. This application is being filed as a response to those complaints." In my opinion, the "Applicant" is requesting certification as a means of amnesty to validate the mismanagement actions that resulted in the 9, (nine), Formal Complaints being filed with the PSC. Therefore, my opposition to this "Application," for convenience and necessity, is the "Applicant." The individuals of ownership and principles of the "Applicant" corporation, and their recent organization as a private investor owned commercial corporation, solely to acquire the necessary regulatory approvals to continue offering and providing water and sewer service, is not a remedy or a guarantee of safe and adequate service. The "Applicant" is Folsom Ridge organized under a different legal entity.

On page 2, paragraph 4 of the "Application," the "Applicant requests permission, approval and a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to extend, install, own, acquire, construct, operate, control, manage and maintain a water and sewer system for the public in areas located in an unincorporated area in Camden County, Missouri." "Applicant," (being Folsom Ridge recently reorganized), currently, has this same permitted approval being requested of the PSC, by and through the authority of the regulatory agency of the Department of Natural Resources, and has violated with numerous, and repeat violations, those authorizations granting the same.

The "Applicant" under a different legal entity, presently has control of the utility offering and providing water and sewer service on Big Island. However, ownership of this system is not clear and is confusing, based on the information provided to homeowners, DNR, and the PSC, by Folsom Ridge.

I am a resident who purchased a water and sewer tap with a future right to connect to the system, but am currently not connected or receiving any service, and question "credits" being given for "connection fees." I also have a private well, and challenge the statement in the "Application," that the "operation of multiple wells and septic systems may adversely affect the quality of the aquifer which provides drinking water to all residents of the area." This same statement can be made in reference to the community system, and its adverse affect on my private well due to contamination, since the wastewater treatment facility of the community system is located at a higher point geographically to the majority of residences in the area. In addition, it is my understanding that this system is a wastewater system and <u>not</u> a sewer system; therefore, the operation of multiple septic systems can not be eliminated. Moreover, on page 3, paragraph 5 of the "Application," I question the "Applicant's" late filing of the feasibility study, and the commitment for its completion to be within 14, (fourteen), days from the filing of the "Application," on June 16, 2006. As of the date of this submittal, July 05, 2006, I have not received this filed appendix to the "Application."

I very respectfully request the Commission to grant me the ability to intervene in these cases.

Respectfully submitted,

athý Orler

Cc: Mark W. Comley Charles E. McElyea