

FISCHER & DORITY
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

James M. Fischer
Larry W. DORITY

Attorneys at Law
Regulatory & Governmental Consultants

101 West McCarty, Suite 215
Jefferson City, MO 65101
Telephone: (573) 636-6758
Fax: (573) 636-0383

May 17, 2000

FILED²

MAY 17 2000

Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge
Missouri Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Missouri Public
Service Commission

RE: *SBC Advanced Solutions, Inc.*
Amendment to Interconnection Agreement
Case No. TO-2000-261
File No. IA 20000042


Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced matter are the original and three (3) copies of page 6 of the Amendment No. 2 to the Interconnection Agreement between Southwestern Bell Telephone Company and SBC Advanced Solutions, Inc., which page was inadvertently omitted in the original filing with the Commission on May 9, 2000. Page 6 has been numbered Original Sheet 000142.13A (to follow page 5 numbered Original Sheet 000142.13 and which precedes page 7 numbered Original Sheet 000142.14).

We are sorry for any inconvenience this error may have caused the Commission.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,


James M. Fischer

/jr
Enclosures

cc: Office of the Public Counsel

**3. GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS RELATING TO UNBUNDLED
xDSL-CAPABLE LOOPS**

- 3.1 Unless otherwise noted, all references to "loop" in Sections 3.1-3.8 include SBC-12 STATE's HFPL offering unless otherwise noted.
- 3.2 SBC-12 STATE will provide a loop for CLEC to deploy xDSL technologies presumed acceptable for deployment or non-standard xDSL technology as defined in this Appendix. SBC-12 STATE will not impose limitations on the transmission speeds of xDSL services; provided, however, SBC-12 STATE does not guarantee transmission speeds, available bandwidth nor imply any service level. Consistent with the Line Sharing Order, CLEC may only deploy xDSL technologies on HFPL loops that do not interfere with analog voice band transmission.
- 3.3 SBC-12 STATE shall not deny CLEC's request to deploy any loop technology that is presumed acceptable for deployment unless SBC-12 STATE has demonstrated to the state commissions in accordance with FCC orders that CLEC's deployment of the specific loop technology will significantly degrade the performance of other advanced services or traditional voice band services.
- 3.4 In the event the CLEC wishes to introduce a new xDSL technology that has been approved by another state commission or the FCC, or successfully deployed elsewhere, the CLEC will provide documentation describing that action to SBC-12 STATE and the state commission before or at the time of its request to deploy such technology within SBC-12 STATE. The documentation should include the date of approval or deployment, any limitations included in its deployment, and a sworn attestation that the deployment did not significantly degrade the performance of other services.
- 3.5 In the event the CLEC wishes to introduce a new xDSL technology that does not conform to existing industry standards and has not been approved by an industry standards body, the FCC, or a state commission, the burden is on the CLEC to demonstrate that its proposed deployment meets the threshold for a presumption of acceptability and will not, in fact, significantly degrade the performance of other advanced services or traditional voice band services.
- 3.6 Liability
- 3.6.1 Notwithstanding any other provision of this Appendix, each Party, whether a CLEC or SBC-12 STATE, agrees that should it cause