

2/11

A:

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of)
Simply Local Services, Inc., for)
Approval of a Resale Agreement under)
the Telecommunications Act of 1996.)
Case No. TO-99-329

ORDER DIRECTING NOTICE AND
ADDING A PARTY

On February 5, 1999, Simply Local Services, Inc. (Applicant), filed an application with the Commission seeking approval of its resale agreement with Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT) under the provisions of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act). Although SWBT is a party to the resale agreement, it did not join in the application. Because SWBT is a necessary party to a full and fair adjudication of this matter, the Commission will add SWBT as a party to this case.

In its application, Applicant states that there are no unresolved issues. Applicant further avers that the resale agreement complies with Section 252(e) of the Act in that it is not discriminatory to nonparty carriers and is consistent with the public interest.

The Act provides that a resale agreement must be approved unless the state commission finds that the agreement discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement, or that implementation of the agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. 47 U.S.C. § 252(e).

The Commission finds that proper persons should be allowed 20 days from the issuance of this order to file a motion for hearing or an application to participate without intervention. Participation may be permitted for the limited purpose of filing comments addressing whether this agreement meets the federal standards for approval of resale agreements. The requirement of a hearing is met when an opportunity to be heard has been provided and no proper party has requested the opportunity to present evidence. State ex rel. Rex Deffenderfer Enterprises, Inc. v. Public Service Commission, 776 S.W.2d, 494, 496 (Mo. App. 1989).

Section 252(e)(4) of the Act provides that if the Commission has not approved an agreement within 90 days after submission, the agreement shall be deemed approved. Therefore, the Commission will proceed with this case expeditiously and, if there are no requests for a hearing, relief may be granted based on the verified petition. The Commission finds that notice of this application should be sent to all interexchange and local exchange telecommunications companies.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That the Records Department of the Commission shall send notice to all interexchange and local exchange telecommunications companies.
2. That Southwestern Bell Telephone Company is made a party to this case.
3. That any party wishing to request a hearing or to participate without intervention in this matter shall file an application no later than March 3, 1999 with:

Dale Hardy Roberts, Secretary
Missouri Public Service Commission
Post Office Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

and send copies to:

Albert S. Watkins, Esq.
Kodner, Watkins, Muchnick & Dunne, L.C.
168 North Meramec Ave., Suite 200
St. Louis, MO 63105-3758

Paul G. Lane, Esq.
Leo J. Bub, Esq.
Anthony K. Conroy, Esq.
Katherine C. Swaller, Esq.
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company
One Bell Center, Room 3520
St. Louis, MO 63101

and:

Office of the Public Counsel
Post Office Box 7800
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

4. That the Staff of the Commission shall file a memorandum advising either approval or rejection of this agreement and giving the reasons therefor no later than April 22, 1999.

5. That this order shall become effective on February 23, 1999.

BY THE COMMISSION



Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

(S E A L)

Kevin A. Thompson, Deputy Chief
Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation
of authority pursuant to 4 CSR
240-2.120(1) (November 30, 1995)
and Section 386.240, RSMo 1994.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,
on this 11th day of February, 1999.

RECEIVED
FEB 11 1999
COMMISSION COUNSEL
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION