BK PRIL

## STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

At a Session of the Public Service Commission held at its office in Jefferson City on the 17th day of September, 1998.

In the Matter of the Annual Cosage Water Company for Approval, and a Certific Convenience and Necess It to Construct, Insta Operate, Control, Mana Water System for the in Parkview Bay Subdiv Beach, Missouri.	Permission, Ficate of Sity Authorizing ) All, Own, Age and Maintain Public Located	) ) ) ) ( <u>Ca</u> )	e <u>se No. WA-98-236</u> √
Osage Beach Fire Prote	ection District,	) )	
	Complainant,	) ) \	
v.		, ) <u>(</u>	Case No. WC-98-211
Osage Water Company,	· ·	, ) )	
	Respondent.	, )	

## ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO EXTEND TIME IN WHICH TO DEPOSE WITNESSES AND GRANTING REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

On August 24, 1998, the Osage Beach Fire Protection District (District) filed a Motion to Extend Time in Which to Depose Witnesses. District claims that it became aware that there is a civil lawsuit pending against Osage Water Company (Osage) and that there are depositions in that case scheduled for September 23. On September 4, Osage filed a response opposing the extension. Osage states that the procedural schedule established by the Commission set a date of May 25

as the deadline for taking depositions. Osage also states that it informed the District of the pending lawsuit in a data request response on or about March 26. Osage also points out that direct and rebuttal testimony have been filed pursuant to that schedule. On September 15, the District filed three notices to take depositions.

Although the deadline for the taking depositions οf established in the procedural schedule has passed, the general provision for taking depositions in matters before the Commission is that depositions may be taken at any time up to twenty days before the scheduled evidentiary hearing. In this case, that date would be October 6. The standard for allowing discovery is that the discovery request is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant evidence, and the depositions of the three witnesses may well lead to the discovery of relevant evidence. The Commission is reluctant to constrain discovery in this matter. However, the Commission reminds the parties that, pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.130(7)(C), surrebuttal testimony shall be limited to material which is responsive to matters raised in rebuttal testimony. Regardless of the information discovered in the depositions, this rule applies.

On September 1, the Staff of the Commission (Staff) filed a Request for Extension of Time to File Rebuttal Testimony. Staff states that one of the two Staff witnesses submitting rebuttal testimony was unable to meet the filing date because of a family emergency. The testimony of that witness was filed on September 4. No party objected to the Staff's request and the Commission finds it reasonable.

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- 1. That the Motion to Extend Time in Which to Depose Witnesses filed on August 24, 1998, by the Osage Beach Fire Protection District is granted.
- 2. That the Request for Extension of Time to File Rebuttal Testimony filed on September 1, 1998, by the Staff of the Commission is granted.
- That this order shall become effective on September 29,
  1998.

BY THE COMMISSION

Dale Hardy Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

k Haed Roberts

(SEAL)

Crumpton, Murray, Schemenauer and Drainer, CC., concur. Lumpe, Ch., absent.

Mills, Deputy Chief Regulatory Law Judge

## PECEIVE

SEP 1 7 1998

COMMISSION COUNSEL PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION