In the Matter of:

The Application of Ameren Transmission Company of Illinois, etc.

EA-2021-0087, VOL. I

June 21, 2021



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

```
1
             BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
 2
                       STATE OF MISSOURI
 3
 4
                  TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
 5
 6
                    Procedural Conference
 7
                         June 21, 2021
 8
                   Jefferson City, Missouri
 9
                            Volume 1
10
11
12
    In the Matter of the Application of Ameren
13
    Transmission Company of )
14
    Illinois for a Certificate ) Case No. EA-2021-0087
    of Public Convenience and )
15
    Necessity to Construct,
    Install, Own, Operate,
16
    Maintain, and Otherwise
17
    Control and Manage a
    138 kV Transmission Line )
    and associated facilities )
18
    in Perry and Cape
19
    Girardeau Counties,
    Missouri
20
21
                      JANA JACOBS, Presiding
                         REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
22
23
24
    REPORTED BY:
    Tracy Taylor, CCR No. 939
    TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC
25
```

1		APPEARANCES
2		A. CARO (via phone) SCHULTE (via phone)
3	THIDICEW	Polsinelli PC 900 W. 48th Place, Suite 900
4	FOR:	Kansas City, Missouri 64112 ATXI
5	STEPHAN	NIE S. BELL (via phone)
6		Ellinger and Associates, LLC 308 E. High Street, Suite 300
7		Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 573.750.4100
8	FOR:	Intervenors
9	NATHAN	WILLIAMS Department of Commerce & Insurance
10		200 Madison Street, Suite 650 PO Box 2230
11		Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.526.1445
12	FOR:	Office of the Public Counsel
13	TRAVIS	J. PRINGLE Department of Economic Development
14		200 Madison Street, Suite 800 PO Box 360
15		Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360 573.526.4887
16	FOR:	Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 JUDGE JACOBS: We're going on the record 2 today for this procedural conference in File Number EA-2021-0087. 3 Today's date is June 21, 2021. This case 4 5 concerns the application of Ameren Transmission 6 Company of Illinois for a certificate of convenience 7 and necessity for a transmission line and associated 8 facilities in Perry and Cape Girardeau counties. 9 The conference was set for 1:15 p.m. and the time is now 1:17 p.m. My name is Jana Jacobs. I 10 am the Regulatory Law Judge assigned to this matter. 11 12 This conference is being conducted in person in the Governor Office Building in Jefferson City, Missouri, 13 14 but I'm also using my projecting voice today because 15 we are also doing this conference by telephone 16 conference. 17 The purpose of the conference is to allow the parties to meet to discuss a procedural 18 The conference will also allow us to conference. 19 20 discuss a local public hearing in this case. We have a court reporter present who will 21 prepare a transcript of the on-the-record portion of 22 23 this conference. Once I leave the room and we're no longer on the record, the room and the telephone 24 conference are available for the parties to stay 25

```
together and continue to discuss the case.
1
 2
                 For those of you who are appearing at the
    conference by phone today, please just introduce
3
   yourselves before speaking. I think we're going to
   have quite a few male voices potentially on the line,
5
6
    so that can be hard for the court reporter to
 7
   distinguish those folks. So if you just want us to
8
    remind us who's speaking before you speak up.
9
                        So first, I will begin by asking
                 Okay.
    the parties to enter their appearances for the record.
10
   And I believe some of you have already handed the
11
12
    court reporter your cards. Those of you on the line
    can go ahead and let the court reporter know you're
13
    identifying information. And we'll start with the
14
15
    Company. Who's entering an appearance for the Company
16
    today?
17
                 MR. SCHULTE:
                               This is Andrew Schulte,
    S-c-h-u-l-t-e, with Polsinelli Law Firm,
18
   P-o-l-s-i-n-e-l-l-i, and on behalf of ATXI. And do
19
20
   you need the phone number and e-mail address?
                 JUDGE JACOBS:
                                I think all of that
21
    information is in the case file, so I think that can
22
23
   be retrieved from that -- from EFIS. It's -- that
24
   part of the file is public.
25
                 MR. SCHULTE: Yes. Yes, that is correct.
```

```
JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So that works for
1
 2
   me then.
                 MR. SCHULTE: And then also on the phone
 3
    on behalf of ATXI, also with Polsinelli, is Frank
 4
 5
    Caro, C-a-r-o. And his information is also on EFIS in
 6
    this proceeding. And we heard earlier from Eric
 7
   Dearmont, D-e-a-r-m-o-n-t. And --
8
                 JUDGE JACOBS: And Eric's first name?
 9
                 MR. SCHULTE: Eric is not -- excuse me?
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Eric's first name? I
10
    think there's a couple ways to spell that.
11
12
                 MR. SCHULTE: Yes, correct. Thank you.
13
   E-r-i-c.
14
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. And go ahead.
15
                 MR. SCHULTE: And Mr. Dearmont is
    in-house with the Company, but not entering his
16
    appearance as an attorney today.
17
18
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Thank you. Anyone
    else you wanted to introduce at this point?
19
20
                 MR. SCHULTE:
                               That's everyone for ATXI
21
    today.
22
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you, sir.
23
                 Go ahead with enfr-- I'm sorry, entries
24
    of appearance for Staff, please.
25
                 MR. PRINGLE: Thank you, Judge.
                                                  This is
```

```
Travis Pringle appearing on behalf of Staff.
1
 2
    joined by Madeline McKernan, our summer associate; as
    well as Staff members Claire Eubanks, Michael Stahlman
 3
   and Shawn Lange.
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. And then appearing
 5
    for Office of Public Counsel?
 6
 7
                 MR. WILLIAMS: Nathan Williams appearing
8
    on behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel and the
 9
   public. My information has been provided to the court
10
   reporter.
                 JUDGE JACOBS:
                                Thank you.
11
12
                 Mr. Schulte, are you able to hear
   Mr. Williams today?
13
14
                 MR. SCHULTE:
                               Yes. I've been able to
15
   hear everybody. Am I coming in clearly?
16
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Yes, you are. Great.
17
    Thank you.
                 Okay. We also have an intervenor in this
18
    case, Terry and Mary Frances Scholl, who are
19
20
    represented by counsel, but Ms. Bell is not present at
    this time.
21
22
                 MS. BELL: No, I'm present, Your Honor.
23
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Oh, I apologize.
    asked a couple times to see who joined and so I
24
25
    apologize. Ms. Bell, did you want to enter your
```

```
1
    appearance, please?
 2
                 MS. BELL: Sorry. Yes, Your Honor.
                                                      So
    Stephanie Bell with Ellinger and Associates. And my
 3
    information is available on EFIS on our motion to
 5
    intervene. I am appearing on behalf of Mary Frances
 6
    Scholl and Terry L. Scholl. Thank you.
 7
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you. And you did
 8
    say that was Ellinger and Associates? I think you cut
 9
   out a little bit.
                 MS. BELL: Yes. Correct, Your Honor.
10
11
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Thank you,
12
   Ms. Bell.
                 Okay. So I do think we have all the
13
   parties here. So we've set this procedural conference
14
15
    to discuss this procedural schedule and then a local
   public hearing. So does anyone have anything they
16
17
   want to take up before we go on to those matters?
                 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes and no. I have a
18
    question for the Commission about local public
19
20
   hearings.
21
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay.
22
                 MR. WILLIAMS: There was a time when they
23
   were being done virtually because of COVID-19. And I
   don't know if that's changed and if the Commission has
24
   a preference for live or virtual or some combination.
25
```

```
If there's any guidance the Commission could give,
1
 2
    that would be appreciated.
                 JUDGE JACOBS: I think the only guidance
 3
    that I'm able to give at this point is that it's
 4
 5
   probably going to be case specific. So I think the
 6
    Commission's going to continue to be attentive to what
 7
    the parties believe is appropriate in a specific case.
 8
                 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
 9
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Yeah. Okay. And that's
    really one of the reasons why it was important to have
10
    this session today, to find out what the parties are
11
12
    thinking about that specific issue.
                 All right. Did anyone have anything
13
14
    else?
15
                 MR. PRINGLE: Nothing from Staff.
16
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Anything from you,
17
   Ms. Bell?
                 MS. BELL: Yes. I believe we had a
18
    substitute procedural conference from ATXI to offer.
19
20
    I will let -- I had a conflict with the original
   proposed schedule we had. And the substitute
21
   procedural schedule is okay with me, but I don't know
22
23
    that it's been filed in the case yet.
24
                 JUDGE JACOBS: I haven't seen a filing.
25
    I have seen the ATXI earlier proposal, but it sounds
```

```
like you all have been having some additional
1
 2
    discussions, which is great. I have not seen that
 3
   proposal yet.
 4
                 So what I'll do is you guys can, you
 5
   know, finalize it hopefully with this conversation
 6
    today. And then I was hoping to ask you to submit a
   proposed procedural -- procedural schedule in a week,
 8
    so next Monday if that would be possible.
 9
                 MR. SCHULTE: That sounds reasonable on
    behalf of ATXI, Judge Jacobs. We did circulate a --
10
    an alternative proposal to the parties in advance of
11
12
    today's pre-hearing conference and so we can discuss
    that. We were waiting to file until we had the
13
14
    conversation today.
15
                 JUDGE JACOBS: I appreciate that.
16
   you.
17
                 So Ms. Bell, you indicated you wanted to
   bring up the procedural schedule. Did you have any
18
    other preliminary issues to discuss at all?
19
20
                 MS. BELL: I do not, Your Honor.
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Thank you.
21
                 And I didn't get a chance to ask the
22
23
    Company. Mr. Schulte, did you have any preliminary
    issues or -- that you wanted to discuss other than a
24
25
   procedural schedule or local public hearing issues?
```

```
1
                 MR. SCHULTE: Nothing else, Your Honor.
 2
    Thank you.
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So there was one
 3
 4
    thing I wanted to clarify with the Company. So I've
 5
    observed in multiple places in your application and in
 6
    some of -- in your subsequent pleadings you've
 7
    indicated that you're asking for an order to be
8
    effective by December 30th, 2021.
 9
                 So I think actually it's a little bit
   more ambiguous than that. You've asked for an order
10
    from the Commission by December 30th, 2021 and you --
11
12
    and Mr. Jontry's testimony indicates it's critical
    that the certificate is granted by December 30th,
13
14
    2021. But as I'm sure you're aware, Commission orders
    don't take effect immediately.
15
16
                 So my question for you is, on what date
17
    is the Company hoping to have a Commission order be
18
    effective? So assuming a 30-day effective day, an
    order issued December 30th would be effective about a
19
20
    month later, that would put it to the end of January.
    So I just want to clarify what it is that the
21
    Company's asking for on that point. Can you help me
22
23
    with that?
                 MR. SCHULTE: Well, if -- if I'm
24
    incorrect about this, Mr. Dearmont can speak up.
25
```

```
we've laid out the procedural schedule, we've asked
1
 2
    for an order to be issued by December 30th, 2021. And
    so if the effective date is up to 30 days after that,
 3
    I believe that would be acceptable to the Company.
5
   But if that's not correct, Eric, do you have any
6
    further thoughts on that?
 7
                 MR. DEARMONT: No.
                                     I appreciate it.
8
    I agree with that characterization. Yeah, effective
9
    date end of January would -- would be great. Thank
   you.
10
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. I appreciate that
11
12
    clarification. Thank you.
                 Does anybody -- any other party have
13
    anything else to say on that point? Okay. Apparently
14
15
   no other comments on that point.
                 So I think the next thing to discuss
16
   would be a local public hearing. So there is --
17
   hasn't actually been filed a formal request for a
18
    local public hearing in this case. It appears to me
19
20
    it seems to be at least Commission practice and very
    typical for a local public hearing to take place so I
21
    think perhaps everyone's just assuming it's going to.
22
23
                 And although there hasn't been one
   requested, the Company did come forward proposing that
24
   a hearing would be conducted by video and telephone
25
```

```
1
   conference.
                 That was before we had an intervention.
 2
   Has that request to conduct a local public hearing
   virtually, has that changed or evolved at all since
 3
    the parties' filing, Mr. Schulte?
5
                 MR. SCHULTE: We are flexible.
                                                 If the
6
   Commission is moving towards in-person, local public
 7
   hearings, then we would be willing to accommodate
8
    that. If -- if local public hearings are still being
9
   held virtually, then -- then that's fine as well.
   We're -- in other words, we're flexible on the format.
10
                 And we wanted to go ahead and build
11
12
    something into the schedule recognizing that a local
   public hearing would probably be appropriate and so
13
14
   we've done that. We would note that we think only one
    local public hearing is necessary in this case because
15
    the project only covers two counties and is only
16
17
    15 miles long.
                 And so picking a location near the
18
    route -- the proposed route would be I think
19
20
    sufficiently convenient for any of the interested
    landowners, given the relative short distance of the
21
22
   project.
23
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. I'm going to ask
    the other parties if they have any comment to make on
24
25
   whether a virtual public hearing or in-person hearing
```

is appropriate here or perhaps dual hearings, offering 1 2 both formats. So do any other parties have any other comments to make about that now that we've heard from 3 the Company? I'll start with Office of Public 5 Counsel. 6 MR. WILLIAMS: Under the current 7 circumstances, I think it makes sense to have the 8 capability at least for people to appear virtually. 9 And from what I've heard from Ameren's solicitation of public input prior to filing the case, it's my 10 understanding there were individuals who are 11 12 wanting -- or complaining about not having an in-person opportunity. 13 14 So given what the Governor has done with the state of emergency and so forth, personally I 15 think it makes sense to have both options available. 16 17 JUDGE JACOBS: So do I understand you correctly that OPC would support a dual option? 18 19 MR. WILLIAMS: Certainly wouldn't oppose 20 it, but yes. I think it's a matter probably for discussion amongst the parties, but. 21 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. And did Staff have 22 23 anything to say on that? MR. PRINGLE: At this time I'm not aware 24 of a preference. We've been doing it virtually for a 25

```
year so we're ready to do it that way, but also
1
 2
               There's nothing against that either.
    in-person.
 3
                 MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, if I may?
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Sure.
 4
                 MR. WILLIAMS: I'll also point out in my
5
6
   view, the main jurisdiction the Commission has over
 7
    transmission lines in Missouri is sighting. So for
8
    that reason it also makes sense to have a local public
9
   hearing, per se.
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. So does -- do the
10
    Intervenors have any comment?
11
12
                 MS. BELL: Yes. I believe the Commission
13
   has previously waived some of the in-person hearing
14
   requirements and so we strongly feel like there should
15
   be an in-person requirement now that vaccines are
    available. And like Public Counsel mentioned, the
16
17
    Governor's position and the CDC's position has changed
    so we feel strongly there should be an in-person
18
    requirement.
19
20
                 We don't object to the option, but also I
   would point out that in this area specifically,
21
   broadband is not widely available. And so with
22
23
   respect to the previous hear-- you know, the internet
    access and phone access is not necessarily sufficient.
24
    So an in-person hearing I feel like would be --
25
```

particularly in this area would be beneficial. 1 2 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. And that raises a question. I was hoping to get some feedback from the 3 parties because I think the Commission might be 5 interested in this when they decide how to approach a 6 local public hearing. 7 And that is, how was the reception to the 8 virtual option that was provided before? I understand 9 that before a meeting was held, folks were not happy about that option. But after the meeting was held, I 10 mean did you have a good turnout? Did it actually 11 12 work for people? Or did you discover that the virtual option was ignored? 13 14 So can anyone tell me anything about how 15 the virtual hearing that the Company held in the previous part of the process went? 16 17 MR. SCHULTE: Sure. This is Andrew Schulte on behalf of ATXI. And the turnout for the 18 virtual open houses -- and there were three rounds of 19 20 virtual open houses and virtual town halls. And those are detailed in the testimony of Emily Highland, which 21 has been filed in this case. And the turnout was very 22 23 strong for those. Given the concerns that some landowners 24 had regarding broadband access, there was -- there 25

```
were dial-in options for those proceedings. And then
1
 2
   we also did a telemeeting so that participants could
   hear questions from other participants and -- and then
 3
   get in a queue to ask their questions or make their
    comments by telephone. So we did do it -- it was not
5
   only broadband accessible, but it was telephonic
6
 7
   accessible as well.
8
                 And the turnout was good for all of
9
    those.
           So -- but we do think that -- I mean while
    some individuals may prefer in-person events, at least
10
   broadly speaking, the virtual and telephonic ones were
11
12
    successful.
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Do any other
13
14
   parties have anything else to say about that? All
15
           I might not be doing a very good job of
   right.
    keeping track of who's spoken up on different issues
16
17
    so if you have anything else that you would like to
    say on the record to the Commission about how it
18
    should approach local public hearings for this
19
20
   particular case, now's your chance.
21
                 So does anybody else have anything else
    they think that I need to know in order to help the
22
23
    Commission decide how to approach local public
   hearings in this case -- or hearing, singular?
24
25
                 MR. WILLIAMS:
                                I'll give you a
```

```
suggestion. You might look at what transpired in the
1
 2
   prior ATXI case in northeast Missouri and the Grain
   Belt cases, how the Commission handled those and. It
 3
   was quite a bit of turnout.
5
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay.
 6
                 MR. WILLIAMS: Those were pre-virtual, of
 7
    course.
 8
                 MR. PRINGLE: If I can have one moment to
 9
    confer with Staff.
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Sure. So Mr. Pringle is
10
    talking to folks with Staff right now, so we'll just
11
12
    give him the chance to do that. Thanks for your
13
   patience.
14
                 MR. PRINGLE: Yeah. Thank you for that,
15
    Judge. Staff can do either one.
16
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Staff remains
17
    flexible?
                 MR. PRINGLE: Yes.
18
                 JUDGE JACOBS: All right. Good to hear.
19
20
    So Ms. Bell or any other party on the line, is there
    anything else that you want to convey to the
21
22
    Commission before we move on to talking about the
23
   procedural schedule?
24
                 MS. BELL: No, Your Honor. Like I said,
   we previously filed something I believe, but we were
25
```

in strong opposition to waiving the requirement for 1 2 the in-person hearing before. This is our first opportunity for in-person hearing so we would strongly 3 the Commission to allow that as an option. 4 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Thank you very 5 much, Ms. Bell. 6 7 The other comment that I would make just 8 so parties are prepared for how this will probably 9 develop is that the local public hearings take place before the Commission. So that necessarily involves 10 the Commission's schedule and it involves the 11 12 Commission's, you know, office and scheduling where it takes place and things like that. 13 14 So I think what we would be looking for from the parties is proposals for a good location or 15 good locations where this could happen if it's 16 17 necessary to do it in person. And then keeping in mind that whatever 18 time frame is selected, in addition to taking into 19 20 consideration the Commission's schedule, will also have to allow ample time to give the public notice so 21 that they can show up. 22 23 So if your proposed -- if your procedural schedule is going to include some suggested dates for 24 local public hearings, I would suggest that you give 25

```
us a range of acceptable dates instead of just one.
1
   Because if we end up in a situation where we have a
 2
   Commissioner who really feels like they need to be
 3
    there but that just happens to be a very bad date for
5
    them, then we have to come back and start over.
6
    it's just better if you can give us some room to
 7
   wiggle in. Okay?
8
                 Anybody have anything else on local
   public hearing before we move on?
9
                 MR. SCHULTE: Yes, Judge. This is Andrew
10
    Schulte again. With regard to notice, the Commission
11
12
    does not have any standard notice procedure.
    Occasionally the applicant is directed to publish
13
14
   notice in newspapers or provide a mailing to impacted
   parties. And then at other times, the Office of
15
    Public Policy and Outreach at the Commission itself is
16
17
    directed to contact the local officials and the local
   news outlets to notify the public of the -- of the
18
    local public hearing.
19
20
                 Is there a -- is there a notice practice
    that you would recommend here? When it is a
21
   publication or a mailing, it's typically ten days in
22
23
    advance.
              If you had any advice along those lines
    along the timing or the forum, that may be helpful.
24
                 JUDGE JACOBS: So I will have to go back
25
```

```
and look at whether the last transmission case
1
 2
    required the Company to issue some kind of notice, but
    ten days' notice is basically, in my experience,
 3
   considered the absolute minimum for most Commission
   proceedings. So you know, that -- that's the minimum.
5
6
   And then you have to build in, of course, how long it
 7
   even takes the Commission to issue the order that sets
8
    the local public hearing.
9
                 A lot of the orders in this case might be
    expected to be issued out of agenda rather than by
10
    delegation, so that means they could only be issued on
11
12
    an agenda date, which can potentially build in
    additional days.
13
14
                 So I -- I would allow ample time found
    in -- you know, for this -- these suggested local
15
   public hearing dates. That will allow the Commission
16
   maximum flexibility with maximum notice to the public.
17
   And then the Commission's order is going to tell, as
18
    it typically does, its public information folks to let
19
20
    the media and representatives know, the usual suspects
    that get notified. And it's also going to give plenty
21
    of time for anyone else to get notice out about the
22
23
   hearing.
24
                 So I think if you just propose something
    that's got a decent lead time on it, we'll be okay.
25
```

```
Where we run into problems is a proposal that's
1
 2
    supposed to happen twelve days later or something like
    that. That can be hard to do.
 3
 4
                 So I'm not aware of anything in your
 5
    timeline that at this point should make it hard to
 6
    give ample notice for this kind of local public
   hearing.
 8
                 And I think maybe I didn't answer your
 9
    question there because you're kind of asking me if the
    Company is going to be required to fulfill some kind
10
    of notice obligation. This hearing is taking place
11
   before the Commission, so I think the Commission is
12
    essentially taking on that notice burden.
13
14
                 MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, if I may?
15
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Yeah.
                 MR. WILLIAMS: I think there have been
16
17
    transmission line cases where the Commission ordered
    the Company to at least notify the --
18
                 JUDGE JACOBS: The known land owners?
19
20
                 MR. WILLIAMS: -- owners of -- landowners
21
    along the road.
22
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Thank you.
                 MR. WILLIAMS: That may be what An--
23
   Mr. Schulte is referring to.
24
25
                 JUDGE JACOBS:
                                Right. And in that case,
```

```
you know, a good lead time makes sense. Right?
1
 2
   Because that gives the Company time to generate those
   notices. So I would take that into consideration.
 3
   And I apologize that I wasn't aware of that previous
 5
   practice, but -- so Mr. Schulte, did I --
 6
                 MR. SCHULTE:
                               Thank you.
 7
                 JUDGE JACOBS: -- help you at all or just
8
   make things worse?
9
                 MR. SCHULTE: No. That -- that is
   helpful.
10
11
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay.
12
                 MR. SCHULTE: Yeah, that -- we'll take
    that into account.
13
14
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. All right.
    Anything else on local public hearing?
15
                 All right. So then we are off to
16
17
   proposed procedural schedule and it sounds like the
18
   parties are already talking to put something together.
    So the only thing that I would note is I did look at
19
    the schedule that had been proposed. So I would just
20
    make some observations about that that might help you
21
    in your -- your as you make your next proposal.
22
23
                 Obviously you can always look at the
    Commission hearings calendar, which also has some
24
   other events on it. But there is a rate case that's
25
```

1 scheduled. Now it's beginning on November 29th so 2 that opened up October quite a bit. There is another hearing, however, October 12th and 13th. And then I 3 would point out that there's a NARUC conference 5 November 8th, 9th and 10th. And then, of course, 6 November 11th is Veterans Day. So that week is not a 7 great week to try to get anything done. 8 So there are some other parameters to 9 consider when you put together your schedule. Position statements are used to brief the Commission 10 before the hearing. And I understand that position 11 12 statements are often very challenging for the parties to put together so this is crunch time, but they are 13 14 very useful to the Commission. So it's really important that those position statements are filed 15 with enough time for the Judge to help prepare the 16 17 Commission for the hearing. And the most recent practice has been to 18 prepare a case discussion memo that goes to the 19 20 Commission one agenda meeting before that hearing is supposed to start. So please keep that in mind when 21 you propose your schedule. If your schedule doesn't 22 23 keep that in mind, then unfortunately, sometimes it will be adjusted and possibly in a way that you 24 would -- you would prefer to have worked out 25

```
yourselves. So I'll just alert you to that -- that
1
 2
    issue.
                 I would say typically if you give 10 to
 3
 4
    14 days between your position statements and the
5
   hearing start, that's going to give enough time.
6
   know that sounds like a lot, but that is really what
 7
    is necessary. And I think the hearings usually do go
8
   better when everyone is prepared, and that includes
9
    the Judge and the Commission.
                 The other thing I'd mention is that
10
    standard transcripts are available ten business days
11
12
    after hearings. So just keep that in mind when you
   propose your briefing dates. I think your schedule
13
14
    that you had proposed did, in fact, consider that.
    There's always the option for parties to pay for
15
    expedited transcripts, but we have to get special
16
17
   permission if the Commission is going to pay for them,
    so the standard is ten business days.
18
                 So it sounds like you guys are already
19
20
   working on this. And filing something by Monday would
   be fine for everyone. Is that correct?
21
                 MR. SCHULTE: Yes. That -- that should
22
23
   be doable. And I'm sorry. I may have missed the
   number of days that position statements should be
24
    filed in advance of hearing?
25
```

```
1
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Ten to fourteen depending
 2
    on what the calendar looks like. Keeping in mind that
   we need to have an agenda meeting in between that
 3
    filing and the beginning of hearing so that there's
    time for the Judge to present a memo to the
 5
    Commission.
 6
 7
                 MR. SCHULTE: Understood. Thank you.
 8
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Okay. Thank you. Did
 9
    anybody have any other questions or comments on the
   procedural schedule? The floor is open to all.
10
    Anything from the Company? Anything from --
11
12
                 MR. SCHULTE: Nothing further, Judge.
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you, Mr. Schulte.
13
14
                 Anything from Staff?
15
                 MR. PRINGLE: Nothing further from Staff,
16
    Judge.
            Thank you.
17
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you.
                 Anything from Office of Public Counsel?
18
                 MR. WILLIAMS: Not at this time.
19
20
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Thank you.
                 Anything from Intervenors, Ms. Bell?
21
                 MS. BELL: No, Judge. Thank you.
22
23
                 JUDGE JACOBS: Thanks a lot.
24
                 Okay. So that was all I wanted to
    discuss today. Did anybody -- did this bring up
25
```

```
1
    anything else that anyone thinks could be useful at
 2
   this time? Do you guys want to use this conference
    line to continue talking once we close the proceeding
 3
   or not?
5
                 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.
                 MR. PRINGLE: Yes.
 6
 7
                 JUDGE JACOBS: So I'm going to leave this
    line live. And this is last call for anything to talk
8
9
    to me about on the record. Doesn't sound like anybody
   wants to talk to me anymore so thank you. We are
10
11
    going off the record.
12
                 (Whereupon, the procedural conference was
13
    adjourned.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Tracy Thorpe Taylor, CCR No. 939, within the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the testimony appearing in the foregoing matter was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witnesses was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this matter was taken, and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

Tracy Thorpe Taylor, CCR

	accessible 16:6,7	application 3:5 10:5	build 12:11 20:6,12
1	accommodate 12:7	appreciated 8:2	Building 3:13
10 24:3	account 22:13	approach 15:5 16:19,	burden 21:13
10th 23:5	addition 18:19	23	business 24:11,18
11th 23:6	additional 9:1 20:13	area 14:21 15:1	
12th 23:3	address 4:20	assigned 3:11	С
13th 23:3	adjourned 26:13	associate 6:2	C-A-R-O 5:5
14 24:4	adjusted 23:24	Associates 7:3,8	calendar 22:24 25:2
15 12:17	advance 9:11 19:23	assuming 10:18 11:22	call 26:8
1:15 3:9	24:25 advice 19:23	attentive 8:6	capability 13:8
1:17 3:10	agenda 20:10,12	attorney 5:17	Cape 3:8
	23:20 25:3	ATXI 4:19 5:4,20 8:19,	cards 4:12
2	agree 11:8	25 9:10 15:18 17:2	Caro 5:5
2021 3:4 10:8,11,14 11:2	ahead 4:13 5:14,23 12:11	aware 10:14 13:24 21:4 22:4	case 3:4,20 4:1,22 6:19 8:5,7,23 11:19
21 3:4	alert 24:1	В	12:15 13:10 15:22 16:20,24 17:2 20:1,9
29th 23:1	alternative 9:11		21:25 22:25 23:19
	ambiguous 10:10	back 19:5,25	cases 17:3 21:17
3	Ameren 3:5	bad 19:4	CDC's 14:17
30 11:3	Ameren's 13:9	basically 20:3	certificate 3:6 10:13
30-day 10:18	ample 18:21 20:14	begin 4:9	challenging 23:12
30th 10:8,11,13,19	21:6	beginning 23:1 25:4	chance 9:22 16:20 17:12
11:2	An 21:23	behalf 4:19 5:4 6:1,8 7:5 9:10 15:18	changed 7:24 12:3
8	Andrew 4:17 15:17 19:10	Bell 6:20,22,25 7:2,3,	14:17
	anymore 26:10	10,12 8:17,18 9:17,20	characterization
8th 23:5	apologize 6:23,25	14:12 17:20,24 18:6 25:21,22	11:8
9	22:4	Belt 17:3	circulate 9:10
	Apparently 11:14	beneficial 15:1	circumstances 13:7 City 3:13
9th 23:5	appearance 4:15 5:17,24 7:1	bit 7:9 10:9 17:4 23:2	Claire 6:3
Α	appearances 4:10	briefing 24:13	clarification 11:12
abaduta 60 t	appearing 4:2 6:1,5,7	bring 9:18 25:25	clarify 10:4,21
absolute 20:4	7:5	broadband 14:22	close 26:3
acceptable 11:4 19:1	appears 11:19	15:25 16:6	combination 7:25
access 14:24 15:25	applicant 19:13	broadly 16:11	comment 12:24

14:11 18:7 correctly 13:18 discover 15:12 excuse 5:9 comments 11:15 counsel 6:6,8,20 13:5 **discuss** 3:18,20 4:1 expected 20:10 13:3 16:5 25:9 14:16 25:18 7:15 9:12,19,24 11:16 expedited 24:16 25:25 Commission 7:19,24 counties 3:8 12:16 experience 20:3 8:1 10:11,14,17 11:20 discussion 13:21 **couple** 5:11 6:24 12:6 14:6,12 15:4 23:19 F 16:18,23 17:3,22 18:4, **court** 3:21 4:6,12,13 discussions 9:2 10 19:11,16 20:4,7,16 6:9 21:12,17 22:24 23:10, distance 12:21 facilities 3:8 **covers** 12:16 14,17,20 24:9,17 25:6 distinguish 4:7 fact 24:14 **COVID-19** 7:23 Commission's 8:6 **doable** 24:23 feedback 15:3 18:11,12,20 20:18 critical 10:12 dual 13:1,18 feel 14:14,18,25 Commissioner 19:3 **crunch** 23:13 **feels** 19:3 Company 3:6 4:15 current 13:6 Ε 5:16 9:23 10:4,17 **file** 3:2 4:22,24 9:13 **cut** 7:8 11:4,24 13:4 15:15 **e-mail** 4:20 **filed** 8:23 11:18 15:22 20:2 21:10,18 22:2 17:25 23:15 24:25 25:11 D **E-R-I-C** 5:13 filing 8:24 12:4 13:10 Company's 10:22 **EA-2021-0087** 3:3 D-E-A-R-M-O-N-T 24:20 25:4 complaining 13:12 5:7 earlier 5:6 8:25 finalize 9:5 concerns 3:5 15:24 **effect** 10:15 **date** 3:4 10:16 11:3,9 **find** 8:11 19:4 20:12 conduct 12:2 **effective** 10:8,18,19 fine 12:9 24:21 dates 18:24 19:1 11:3.8 conducted 3:12 20:16 24:13 Firm 4:18 11:25 EFIS 4:23 5:5 7:4 **day** 10:18 23:6 flexibility 20:17 confer 17:9 Ellinger 7:3,8 days 11:3 19:22 20:13 flexible 12:5,10 17:17 **conference** 3:2,9,12, emergency 13:15 21:2 24:4,11,18,24 15.16.17.19.23.25 4:3 floor 25:10 **Emily** 15:21 7:14 8:19 9:12 12:1 days' 20:3 **folks** 4:7 15:9 17:11 23:4 26:2,12 end 10:20 11:9 19:2 Dearmont 5:7,15 20:19 conflict 8:20 **enfr--** 5:23 10:25 11:7 **formal** 11:18 consideration 18:20 **December** 10:8,11, enter 4:10 6:25 **format** 12:10 22:3 13,19 11:2 **entering** 4:15 5:16 formats 13:2 considered 20:4 **decent** 20:25 entries 5:23 **forum** 19:24 contact 19:17 **decide** 15:5 16:23 **Eric** 5:6,9 11:5 forward 11:24 continue 4:1 8:6 26:3 delegation 20:11 **Eric's** 5:8.10 **found** 20:14 convenience 3:6 depending 25:1 essentially 21:13 fourteen 25:1 convenient 12:20 detailed 15:21 Eubanks 6:3 frame 18:19 conversation 9:5,14 develop 18:9 **events** 16:10 22:25 **Frances** 6:19 7:5 **convey** 17:21 dial-in 16:1 everyone's 11:22 Frank 5:4 **correct** 4:25 5:12 **directed** 19:13,17 evolved 12:3 7:10 11:5 24:21

fulfill 21:10	13:1 16:19,24 18:9,25	issue 8:12 20:2,7 24:2	
	22:24 24:7,12	issued 10:19 11:2	L
G	held 12:9 15:9,10,15	20:10,11	laid 11:1
generate 22:2	helpful 19:24 22:10	issues 9:19,24,25 16:16	land 21:19
Girardeau 3:8	Highland 15:21		landowners 12:21
give 8:1,4 16:25 17:12	Honor 6:22 7:2,10 9:20 10:1 17:24	J	15:24 21:20
18:21,25 19:6 20:21 21:6 24:3,5	hoping 9:6 10:17 15:3	Jacobs 3:1,10 4:21	Lange 6:4
good 15:11 16:8,15	houses 15:19,20	5:1,8,10,14,18,22 6:5,	Law 3:11 4:18
17:19 18:15,16 22:1		11,16,23 7:7,11,21 8:3,9,16,24 9:10,15,21	lead 20:25 22:1
Governor 3:13 13:14	I	10:3 11:11 12:23	leave 3:23 26:7
Governor's 14:17	identifying 4:14	13:17,22 14:4,10 15:2 16:13 17:5,10,16,19	lines 14:7 19:23
Grain 17:2	Illinois 3:6	18:5 19:25 21:15,19,	live 7:25 26:8
granted 10:13	immediately 10:15	22,25 22:7,11,14 25:1, 8,13,17,20,23 26:7	local 3:20 7:15,19 9:25 11:17,19,21 12:2,
great 6:16 9:2 11:9	impacted 19:14	Jana 3:10	6,8,12,15 14:8 15:6
23:7	important 8:10 23:15	January 10:20 11:9	16:19,23 18:9,25 19:8, 17,19 20:8,15 21:6
guidance 8:1,3 guys 9:4 24:19 26:2	in-house 5:16	Jefferson 3:13	22:15
guys 9.4 24.19 20.2	in-person 12:6,25	job 16:15	location 12:18 18:15
Н	13:13 14:2,13,15,18, 25 16:10 18:2,3	joined 6:2,24	locations 18:16
halls 15:20	include 18:24	Jontry's 10:12	long 12:17 20:6
handed 4:11	includes 24:8	Judge 3:1,11 4:21	longer 3:24
handled 17:3	incorrect 10:25	5:1,8,10,14,18,22,25 6:5,11,16,23 7:7,11,21	lot 20:9 24:6 25:23
happen 18:16 21:2	individuals 13:11	8:3,9,16,24 9:10,15,21	
happy 15:9	16:10	10:3 11:11 12:23 13:17,22 14:3,4,10	
hard 4:6 21:3,5	information 4:14,22	15:2 16:13 17:5,10,15, 16,19 18:5 19:10,25	Madeline 6:2
hear 6:12,15 16:3	5:5 6:9 7:4 20:19	21:14,15,19,22,25	mailing 19:14,22
17:19	input 13:10 interested 12:20 15:5	22:7,11,14 23:16 24:9 25:1,5,8,12,13,16,17,	main 14:6
hear 14:23	internet 14:23	20,22,23 26:7	make 12:24 13:3 16:4 18:7 21:5 22:8,21,22
heard 5:6 13:3,9	intervene 7:5	June 3:4	makes 13:7,16 14:8
hearing 3:20 7:16		jurisdiction 14:6	22:1
9:25 11:17,19,21,25 12:2,13,15,25 14:9,13,	Intervenors 14:11	К	male 4:5
25 15:6,15 16:24 18:2, 3 19:9,19 20:8,16,23	25:21		Mary 6:19 7:5
21:7,11 22:15 23:3,11,	intervention 12:1	keeping 16:16 18:18	matter 3:11 13:20
17,20 24:5,25 25:4	introduce 4:3 5:19	25:2	matters 7:17
hearings 7:20 12:7,8	involves 18:10,11	kind 20:2 21:6,9,10	maximum 20:17

Mckernan 6:2 **Policy** 19:16 notice 18:21 19:11. orders 10:14 20:9 12.14.20 20:2.3.17.22 means 20:11 Polsinelli 4:18 5:4 original 8:20 21:6,11,13 media 20:20 **outlets** 19:18 portion 3:22 notices 22:3 meet 3:18 Outreach 19:16 position 14:17 23:10, notified 20:21 11,15 24:4,24 meeting 15:9,10 owners 21:19,20 **notify** 19:18 21:18 23:20 25:3 possibly 23:24 **November** 23:1,5,6 Ρ members 6:3 potentially 4:5 20:12 now's 16:20 memo 23:19 25:5 **practice** 11:20 19:20 P-O-L-S-I-N-E-L-L-I number 3:2 4:20 22:5 23:18 mention 24:10 4:19 24:24 pre-hearing 9:12 mentioned 14:16 **p.m.** 3:9,10 pre-virtual 17:6 Michael 6:3 O parameters 23:8 prefer 16:10 23:25 miles 12:17 part 4:24 15:16 **object** 14:20 preference 7:25 mind 18:18 23:21.23 participants 16:2,3 13:25 obligation 21:11 24:12 25:2 **parties** 3:18,25 4:10 preliminary 9:19,23 observations 22:21 **minimum** 20:4,5 7:14 8:7,11 9:11 12:24 prepare 3:22 23:16, observed 10:5 13:2.21 15:4 16:14 missed 24:23 18:8,15 19:15 22:18 19 Occasionally 19:13 Missouri 3:13 14:7 23:12 24:15 prepared 18:8 24:8 17:2 **October** 23:2.3 parties' 12:4 present 3:21 6:20,22 **moment** 17:8 **offer** 8:19 25:5 party 11:13 17:20 Monday 9:8 24:20 offering 13:1 **previous** 14:23 15:16 patience 17:13 **month** 10:20 22:4 office 3:13 6:6.8 13:4 pay 24:15,17 18:12 19:15 25:18 motion 7:4 previously 14:13 **people** 13:8 15:12 17:25 officials 19:17 move 17:22 19:9 permission 24:17 **Pringle** 5:25 6:1 8:15 on-the-record 3:22 moving 12:6 13:24 17:8,10,14,18 Perry 3:8 **OPC** 13:18 25:15 26:6 multiple 10:5 person 3:12 18:17 open 15:19,20 25:10 **prior** 13:10 17:2 personally 13:15 Ν opened 23:2 problems 21:1 **phone** 4:3,20 5:3 opportunity 13:13 procedural 3:2,18 **NARUC** 23:4 14:24 18:3 7:14,15 8:19,22 9:7, Nathan 6:7 picking 12:18 18,25 11:1 17:23 **oppose** 13:19 18:23 22:17 25:10 **place** 11:21 18:9,13 necessarily 14:24 opposition 18:1 26:12 21:11 18:10 **option** 13:18 14:20 procedure 19:12 necessity 3:7 places 10:5 15:8,10,13 18:4 24:15 proceeding 5:6 26:3 pleadings 10:6 news 19:18 options 13:16 16:1 proceedings 16:1 plenty 20:21 newspapers 19:14 order 10:7,10,17,19 20:5 northeast 17:2 11:2 16:22 20:7,18 **point** 5:19 8:4 10:22 **process** 15:16 11:14,15 14:5,21 21:5

23:4

ordered 21:17

note 12:14 22:19

project 12:16,22	reason 14:8	schedule 7:15 8:21,	Staff 5:24 6:1,3 8:15
projecting 3:14	reasonable 9:9	22 9:7,18,25 11:1 12:12 17:23 18:11,20,	13:22 17:9,11,15,16 25:14,15
proposal 8:25 9:3,11	reasons 8:10	24 22:17,20 23:9,22 24:13 25:10	Stahlman 6:3
21:1 22:22	recent 23:18		standard 19:12
proposals 18:15	reception 15:7	scheduled 23:1	24:11,18
propose 20:24 23:22 24:13	recognizing 12:12	scheduling 18:12	start 4:14 13:4 19:5
proposed 8:21 9:7	recommend 19:21	Scholl 6:19 7:6	23:21 24:5
12:19 18:23 22:17,20	record 3:1,24 4:10	Schulte 4:17,25 5:3, 9,12,15,20 6:12,14	state 13:15
24:14	16:18 26:9,11	9:9,23 10:1,24 12:4,5	statements 23:10,12, 15 24:4,24
proposing 11:24	referring 21:24	15:17,18 19:10,11 21:24 22:5,6,9,12 24:22 25:7,12,13	stay 3:25
provide 19:14	regard 19:11		Stephanie 7:3
provided 6:9 15:8	Regulatory 3:11	selected 18:19	strong 15:23 18:1
public 3:20 4:24 6:6,	relative 12:21	sense 13:7,16 14:8	strongly 14:14,18
8,9 7:16,19 9:25 11:17,19,21 12:2,6,8,	remains 17:16	22:1	18:3
13,15,25 13:4,10 14:8, 16 15:6 16:19,23 18:9,	remind 4:8	session 8:11	submit 9:6
21,25 19:9,16,18,19	reporter 3:21 4:6,12, 13 6:10	set 3:9 7:14	subsequent 10:6
20:8,16,17,19 21:6 22:15 25:18		sets 20:7	substitute 8:19,21
publication 19:22	representatives 20:20	Shawn 6:4	successful 16:12
publish 19:13	represented 6:20	short 12:21	sufficient 14:24
purpose 3:17	request 11:18 12:2	show 18:22	sufficiently 12:20
put 10:20 22:18 23:9,	requested 11:24	sighting 14:7	suggest 18:25
13	required 20:2 21:10	singular 16:24	suggested 18:24
	requirement 14:15,	sir 5:22	20:15
Q	19 18:1	situation 19:2	suggestion 17:1
question 7:19 10:16	requirements 14:14	solicitation 13:9	summer 6:2
15:3 21:9	respect 14:23	sound 26:9	support 13:18
questions 16:3,4	retrieved 4:23	sounds 8:25 9:9	supposed 21:2 23:21
25:9	road 21:21	22:17 24:6,19	suspects 20:20
queue 16:4	room 3:23,24 19:6	speak 4:8 10:25	т
R	rounds 15:19	speaking 4:4,8 16:11 special 24:16	T
	route 12:19	•	takes 18:13 20:7
raises 15:2	run 21:1	specific 8:5,7,12	taking 18:19 21:11,13
range 19:1		specifically 14:21	talk 26:8,10
rate 22:25	S	spell 5:11	talking 17:11,22
ready 14:1	S-C-H-U-L-T-E 4:18	spoken 16:16	22:18 26:3

telemeeting 16:2	typically 19:22 20:19 24:3	16:25 17:6 21:14,16,	
telephone 3:15,24 11:25 16:5		20,23 25:19 26:5 words 12:10	
telephonic 16:6,11	U	work 15:12	
ten 19:22 20:3 24:11,	understand 13:17	worked 23:25	
18 25:1	15:8 23:11	working 24:20	
Terry 6:19 7:6	understanding	works 5:1	
testimony 10:12 15:21	13:11	worse 22:8	
thing 10:4 11:16	Understood 25:7		
22:19 24:10	usual 20:20	Y	
things 18:13 22:8	V	year 14:1	
thinking 8:12	vaccines 14:15		
thinks 26:1	Veterans 23:6		
thoughts 11:6	video 11:25		
time 3:10 6:21 7:22 13:24 18:19,21 20:14,	view 14:6		
22,25 22:1,2 23:13,16	virtual 7:25 12:25		
24:5 25:5,19 26:2	15:8,12,15,19,20		
timeline 21:5	16:11		
times 6:24 19:15	virtually 7:23 12:3,9 13:8,25		
timing 19:24	voice 3:14		
today 3:2,14 4:3,16 5:17,21 6:13 8:11 9:6, 14 25:25	voices 4:5		
today's 3:4 9:12	W		
town 15:20	waiting 9:13		
track 16:16	waived 14:13		
transcript 3:22	waiving 18:1		
transcripts 24:11,16	wanted 5:19 9:17,24		
transmission 3:5,7 14:7 20:1 21:17	10:4 12:11 25:24		
transpired 17:1	wanting 13:12		
Travis 6:1	ways 5:11		
turnout 15:11,18,22	week 9:7 23:6,7		
16:8 17:4	widely 14:22		
twelve 21:2	wiggle 19:7		
typical 11:21	Williams 6:7,13 7:18, 22 8:8 13:6,19 14:3,5		