MEMORANDUM

TO:

Dale Hardy Roberts, Secretary

DATE:

August 16, 1999

RE:

Authorization to File Proposed Rules With the Office of Secretary of

State

CASE NOS:

AX-2000-108 through AX-2000-131

AGENDA DATE:

August 17, 1999

The undersigned Commissioners hereby authorize the Secretary of the Missouri Public Service Commission to file Proposed Rules with the Office of Secretary of State, as listed on the attached sheet.

Sheila Lumpe, Chair

Harold Crumpton, Commissioner

Connie Murray, Commissioner

Robert Schemenauer, Commissioner

M. Dianne Drainer, Vice Chair

Proposed Rules:

- Rule: 4 CSR 240-2.010 Definitions
 - 4 CSR 240-2.015 Waiver of Rules
 - 4 CSR 240-2.040 Practice Before the Commission
 - 4 CSR 240-2.050 Computation of Effective Dates
 - 4 CSR 240-2.060 Applications
 - 4 CSR 240-2.065 Tariff Filings Which Create Cases
 - 4 CSR 240-2.070 Complaints
 - 4 CSR 240-2.075 Intervention
 - 4 CSR 240-2.080 Pleadings, Filing, and Service
 - 4 CSR 240-2.085 Protective Orders
 - 4 CSR 240-2.090 Discovery and Prehearings
 - 4 CSR 240-2.100 Subpoenas
 - 4 CSR 240-2.110 Hearings
 - 4 CSR 240-2.115 Nonunanimous Stipulations and Agreements
 - 4 CSR 240-2.116 Dismissal
 - 4 CSR 240-2.120 Presiding Officers
 - 4 CSR 240-2.125 Procedures for Alternative Dispute Resolution
 - 4 CSR 240-2.130 Evidence
 - 4 CSR 240-2.140 Briefs and Oral Argument
 - 4 CSR 240-2.150 Decisions of the Commission
 - 4 CSR 240-2.160 Rehearings or Reconsideration
 - 4 CSR 240-2.180 Rulemaking
 - 4 CSR 240-2.200 Small Company Rate Increase Procedure

Administrative Rules Stamp

RECEIVED

REBECCA MCDOWELL COOK Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division RULE TRANSMITTAL

AUG 24 1999 SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES DIVISION

	Rule Number 4 CSR 240-2.0	40		(2)	(CONTO)	\		
	Diskette File Name 2.040 proposed rule							
	Name of Person to call with questions about this rule:							
	Context Vicky Ruth	Phor	ie 573-5	22-8459	FAX	573-751-1847		
	Data Entry Judy Pope	Phor	ie 573-7	51-6526	FAX	573-751-1847		
Interagency Mailing Address Truman Bldg., 301 W. High St., Room 530, Jefferson City, MO						City, MO		
•	Statutory Provision for Rulemaking							
	Authority § 386.410 Provide Most Current RSMo Year 1998							
	Date Filed With the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules Exempt per Sections 536.024 and							
	536.037, RSMo Supp. 1998, and Executive Order No. 97-97 (June 27, 1997)							
•								
	CHECK, IF INCLUDED:	I	FORMS, I	ist by Mo-I	Form Numb	er, # of Pages		
	✓ Cover Letter							
	Affidavit	_						
	Cost Statements							
								
	Public Entity Fiscal N		OTHER	Takings Ana				
			OTHER	 _	lysis ess Impact Ana	alysis		
	Public Entity Fiscal N		OTHER	 _		ilysis		
	Public Entity Fiscal N	Note		 _		alysis		
	Public Entity Fiscal N Private Entity Fiscal I	Note	1	Small Busine		ilysis		
	Public Entity Fiscal N Private Entity Fiscal I RULEMAKING ACTION TO	O BE TAKE!	N ecify Effe	Small Busine		ilysis		
•	Public Entity Fiscal N Private Entity Fiscal I RULEMAKING ACTION TO Emergency Rulemaki	O BE TAKE! ng, Must Sp	N ecify Effe	Small Busine	ess Impact Ana	ilysis		
	Public Entity Fiscal N Private Entity Fiscal N Private Entity Fiscal N RULEMAKING ACTION TO Emergency Rulemaking Proposed Rulemaking	D BE TAKE! ong, Must Spog (New Rule) (MUST con	N ecify Effe	Small Busine ctive Date e 2 of this tr	ess Impact Ana	ilysis		
	Public Entity Fiscal N Private Entity Fiscal N Private Entity Fiscal N RULEMAKING ACTION TO Emergency Rulemaking Proposed Rulemaking Order of Rulemaking	D BE TAKE! ong, Must Spog (New Rule) (MUST con	N ecify Effe	Small Busine ctive Date e 2 of this tr	ess Impact Ana	alysis		
	Public Entity Fiscal N Private Entity Fiscal N Private Entity Fiscal N RULEMAKING ACTION TO Emergency Rulemaking Proposed Rulemaking Order of Rulemaking Withdrawal (Rule, As	D BE TAKE! ong, Must Spog (New Rule) (MUST con	N ecify Effe	Small Busine ctive Date e 2 of this tr	ess Impact Ana	ilysis		
	Public Entity Fiscal N Private Entity Fiscal N Private Entity Fiscal N RULEMAKING ACTION TO Emergency Rulemaking Proposed Rulemaking Order of Rulemaking Withdrawal (Rule, As Rule Action Notice	D BE TAKE! ong, Must Spog (New Rule) (MUST con	N ecify Effe	Small Busine ctive Date e 2 of this tr	ess Impact Ana	ilysis		
	Public Entity Fiscal N Private Entity Fiscal N Private Entity Fiscal N RULEMAKING ACTION TO Emergency Rulemaking Proposed Rulemaking Order of Rulemaking Withdrawal (Rule, As Rule Action Notice	D BE TAKE! ng, Must Sp g (New Rule) (MUST con mendment, R	N ecify Effe aplete pag escission	Small Busine ctive Date e 2 of this tr or Emergen	eansmittal)			

E.	ORDER OF RULEMA	KING: Rule Number	(Not Applicable)	
		Date for the Order ory 30 days	or later specific date	
	1b. Does the Order of Rulemaking of YES		contain changes to the rule text? NO	

- 1c. If the answer is YES, please complete section F. If the answer is NO, Stop here.
- F. Please provide a complete list of the changes in the rule text for the order or rulemaking, indicating the specific section, subsection, subparagraph, part, etc., where each change is found.

(Start text here. If text continues to a third page, insert a continuous section break and, in section 3, delete the footer language that appears at the bottom of this page.)

NOTE: ALL changes MUST be specified here in order for those changes to be made in the rule as published in the Missouri Register and the Code of State Regulations.



Commissioners

SHEILA LUMPE Chair

HAROLD CRUMPTON

CONNIE MURRAY

ROBERT G. SCHEMENAUER

M, DIANNE DRAINER Vice Chair

Missouri Public Serbice Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234

573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.ecodev.state.mo.us/psc/

August 24, 1999

GORDON L. PERSINGER
Acting Executive Director
Director, Research and Public Affairs

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. KOLILIS Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

RECEIVED

AUG 24 1999 SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES DIVISION

Honorable Rebecca McDowell Cook Secretary of State 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

ATTENTION: Administrative Rules Division

I do hereby certify that the attached are accurate and complete copies of the Proposed Rules lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission for filing this 24th day of August, 1999, and that a takings analysis and small business impact analysis have occurred.

Rule: 4 CSR 240-2.010 - Definitions

4 CSR 240-2.015 - Waiver of Rules

4 CSR 240-2.040 - Practice Before the Commission

4 CSR 240-2.050 – Computation of Effective Dates

4 CSR 240-2.060 – Applications

4 CSR 240-2.065 - Tariff Filings Which Create Cases

4 CSR 240-2.070 - Complaints

4 CSR 240-2.075 - Intervention

4 CSR 240-2.080 - Pleadings, Filing, and Service

4 CSR 240-2.085 - Protective Orders

4 CSR 240-2.090 - Discovery and Prehearings

4 CSR 240-2.100 - Subpoenas

4 CSR 240-2.110 – Hearings

4 CSR 240-2.115 – Nonunanimous Stipulations and Agreements

4 CSR 240-2.116 – Dismissal

4 CSR 240-2.120 - Presiding Officers

4 CSR 240-2.125 – Procedures for Alternative Dispute Resolution

4 CSR 240-2.130 - Evidence

4 CSR 240-2.140 - Briefs and Oral Argument

4 CSR 240-2.150 - Decisions of the Commission

4 CSR 240-2.160 - Rehearings or Reconsideration

4 CSR 240-2.180 - Rulemaking

4 CSR 240-2.200 - Small Company Rate Increase Procedure

Honorable Rebecca McDowell Cook Page two August 24,1999

Statutory authority: 386.410, RSMo Supp. 1998

Missouri Public Service Commission Case Nos.: AX-2000-108 through AX-2000-131

If there are any questions, please contact: (These rules are assigned to several regulatory law judges.

Please refer to the transmittal form for the name, phone number and fax number of the regulatory law judge assigned

to a particular rule.)

BY THE COMMISSION

Hole Hard Roberts

Dale Hardy Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

DHR:BH:jp

Enclosures: Packets for 23 Proposed Rules

+ Proposed Rules in Word 97 format on 3-1/2" diskette

AFFIDAVIT

RECEIVED

STATE OF MISSOURI
COUNTY OF COLE

AUG 24 1999 SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES DIVISION

I, Joseph L. Driskill, Director of the Department of Economic Development, first being duly sworn on my oath state that it is my opinion that the cost of Proposed Rule 4 CSR 240-2.040 Practice Before the Commission is less than five hundred dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any other agency of state government or any political subdivision thereof.

Joseph L. Driskill

DIRECTOR

Department of Economic Development

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 231 day of August

1999. I am commissioned as a notary public within the County of Ole

State of Missouri, and my commission expires on April 216, 2003

LAURA L HOSKINS
NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF MESOURI
COLE COUNTY
MY COMMISSION EXP. APR 24 2002

Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Division 240—Public Service Commission Chapter 2—Practice and Procedure RECEIVED

AUG 24 1999

PROPOSED RULE

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES DIVISION

4 CSR 240-2.040 Practice Before the Commission

PURPOSE: This rule sets forth who may practice as an attorney before the commission.

- (1) The general counsel represents the staff in investigations, contested cases and other proceedings and appears for the commission in all courts and before federal regulatory bodies; and in general performs all duties and services as attorney and counsel to the commission which the commission may reasonably require.
- (2) The public counsel represents the interests of the public before the commission.
- (3) Attorneys who wish to practice before the commission shall fully comply with its rules and also comply with one (1) of the following criteria:
- (A) An attorney who is licensed to practice law in the state of Missouri, and in good standing, may practice before the commission;
- (B) A nonresident attorney who is a member of the Missouri Bar in good standing, but who does not maintain an office for the practice of law within the state of Missouri, may appear as in the case of a resident attorney;
- (C) Any attorney who is not a member of the Missouri Bar, but who is a member in good standing of the bar of any court of record may petition the commission for leave to be permitted to appear and participate in a particular case under all of the following conditions:
- 1. The visiting attorney shall file in a separate pleading a statement identifying each court of which that attorney is a member and certifying that neither the visiting attorney nor any member of the attorney's firm is disqualified to appear in any of these courts; and
- 2. The statement shall designate some member in good standing of the Missouri Bar having an office within Missouri as associate counsel; and
- 3. The designated Missouri attorney shall simultaneously enter an appearance as an attorney of record.
- (4) An eligible law student may petition the commission to be allowed to appear. Such application must comply with any applicable rules or statutes.
- (5) Practice by Nonattorneys. A natural person may represent himself or herself. Such practice is strictly limited to the appearance of a natural person on his or her own behalf and shall not be made for any other person or entity.
- (6) After an attorney has entered an appearance for any party, the attorney may withdraw only by leave of the commission.

AUTHORITY: section 386.410, RSMo Supp. 1998.* Original rule filed Dec. 19, 1975, effective Dec. 29, 1975. Amended: Filed Nov. 7, 1984, effective June 15, 1985. Rescinded and readopted: Filed March 10, 1995, effective Nov. 30, 1995. Rescinded and readopted: Filed August 24, 1999.

Smith v. Public Service Commission, 336 SW2d 491 (Mo. 1960). Commission Rule 12.07 allowing individual party before commission held not to authorize non-lawyer individual to act as attorney for twenty-five other individuals. See Also Reed v. Labor and Industrial Relations, 789 SW2d 19 (Mo. banc 1990) and Clark v. Austin, 340 Mo. 647, 101 SW2d 977 (Mo. 1937).

PUBLIC ENTITY COST: This proposed rule will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than \$500 in the aggregate.

PRIVATE ENTITY COST: This proposed rule will not cost private entities more than \$500 in the aggregate.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule with the Missouri Public Service Commission, Dale Hardy Roberts, Secretary, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments shall be filed on or before Nov. 1, 1999. Comments should refer to Case No. AX-2000-110 and be filed with an original and fourteen (14) copies. No public hearing is scheduled.

^{*} Original authority 1939, amended 1947, 1977, 1996.



Commissioners

SHEILA LUMPE

HAROLD CRUMPTON

CONNIE MURRAY

ROBERT G. SCHEMENAUER

M. DIANNE DRAINER Vice Chair

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.ecodev.state.mo.us/psc/ GORDON L. PERSINGER Acting Executive Director Director, Research and Public Affairs

> WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. KOLILIS Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

August 18, 1999

RECEIVED

AUG 24 1999 SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES DIVISION

COPY

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge
Missouri Public Service Commission
Post Office Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RE:

Proposed Rescission of Rule 4 CSR 240-2.040 and Adoption of Proposed New Rule 4 CSR

240-2.040

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Executive Order 93-13 requires state agencies to undertake a "takings analysis" of each proposed rule or regulation in light of the United States Supreme Court decision in *Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council*, 112 S. Ct. 2886 (1992). Pursuant to that order, I have undertaken a "takings analysis" of the above-referenced proposed rulemaking. In *Lucas*, the Court held that state regulation depriving an owner of real property of all economically beneficial use of that property constitutes a "taking" under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the U.S. Constitution, for which the property owner must be compensated. The Court also held that when state regulations compel a property owner to suffer a permanent physical invasion of his/her property, such an invasion is compensable.

The proposed new rule sets forth who may practice as an attorney before the Commission.

Replacing the existing rule with the proposed new rule does not implicate the takings clause of the U.S. Constitution, because the rule does not involve the taking of real property.

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts Page two August 18, 1999

Please let me know if you have any questions on this issue.

Very truly yours,

Vicky Ruth

Regulatory Law Judge

Vicing Ruth

VR:jp



Commissioners

SHEILA LUMPE Chair

HAROLD CRUMPTON

CONNIE MURRAY

ROBERT G. SCHEMENAUER

M. DIANNE DRAINER Vice Chair

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number)

573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.ecodev.state.mo.us/psc/

August 18, 1999

GORDON L. PERSINGER Acting Executive Director Director, Research and Public Affairs

> WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. KOLILIS Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

RECEIVED

AUG 24 1999 SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES DIVISION

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge
Missouri Public Service Commission
Post Office Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102



RE: Proposed Rescission of Existing Rule 4 CSR 240-2.040 and Adoption of Proposed New Rule

4 CSR 240-2.040

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Executive Order 96-18 requires state agencies to determine whether a proposed rulemaking will have direct economic impact on small businesses of five hundred dollars or more in the aggregate. A small business is defined in the Executive Order as an independently owned and operated business entity that employs fifty or fewer full-time employees.

Replacing the existing rule with the proposed new rule will not have a direct economic impact on small businesses of five hundred dollars or more in the aggregate.

Please let me know if you have any questions on this issue.

Very truly yours,

Vicky Ruth

Regulatory Law Judge

VickyRuth

VR:jp