STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION JEFFERSON CITY September 1, 1998

CASE NO: TO-98-115

Office of the Public Counsel

P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Paul G. Lane/Diane J. Harter Leo J. Bub/Anthony K. Conroy

Southwestern Bell Telephone Company 100 N. Tucker, Room 630 St. Louis, MO 63101 **General Counsel**

Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Paul S. DeFord

Lathrop & Gage, L.C. 2345 Grand Blvd. Kansas City, MO 64108

Enclosed find certified copy of ORDER in the above-numbered case(s).

Sincerely,

Dale Hardy Roberts

HAK HARD Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Uncertified Copy:

Mark Witcher

AT&T Communications Suite 1500, 919 Congress Austin, TX 78701 **Brent Stewart**

Stewart & Keevil, LLC 1001 Cherry Street, Suite 302 Columbia, MO 65201-7931

STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

At a session of the Public Service Commission held at its office in Jefferson City on the 1st day of September, 1998.

In the Matter of AT&T Communications of the)	
Southwest, Inc.'s Petition for Second Compulsory)	
Arbitration Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the)	Case No. TO-98-115
Telecommunications Act of 1996 to Establish an)	
Interconnection Agreement with Southwestern Bell)	
Telephone Company.)	

ORDER STRIKING DATA REQUESTS

On or about August 10, 1998, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT) submitted a data request (Data Request No. 1) by facsimile to Commission Staff (Staff) employee Dan Gordon. Mr. Gordon, as well as other Staff employees, have been designated as the Commission's "Arbitration Advisory Staff" (AAS) and are assigned to work with the Commissioners and the Regulatory Law Judges for the duration of this case. It has been made clear in previous orders of the Commission that these individuals are not acting in the traditional capacity of "Staff" as parties to a proceeding before the Commission, but rather are working with the Commissioners and Regulatory Law Judges as members of the Adjudicatory Staff. The remainder of the Commission's Staff is not participating in the arbitration.

The data request is attached to this order as Attachment 1. The data request in question asks "Staff" to:

¹Non-AAS members of the Staff made special appearances in arbitration cases to advise the Commission of Staff's views concerning the Commission's jurisdiction, but have not made a general appearance in such cases. See, e.g., Case No. TO-98-200 (Tr. of proceedings held on December 30, 1997).

Please provide all work papers or materials relied upon or reviewed in preparation of the staff report. (Excluding materials provided by SWBT which can simply be identified rather than produced.)

The data request references the AAS Report that was developed by the AAS and filed on July 24.

SWBT seeks to discover the workpapers and the thought processes of the Commissioners and their advisors within the adjudicatory process of this arbitration. The Commission views this data request as indistinguishable from one which might be served upon the Commission's Regulatory Law Judges in an attempt to discover their workpapers and recommendations to the Commissioners. SWBT has failed to cite any authority, and the Commission is aware of none, which would require the Commission to allow this intrusion into the work process of the Commissioners or their adjudicatory/arbitration staff. The Commission finds that the information requested by SWBT is protected by the deliberative privilege, for the following reasons.

The Missouri Supreme Court has declared that an administrative agency acting in a quasi-judicial capacity "is not and cannot be a court in a Constitutional sense." State Tax Comm'n v. Admin. Hearing Comm'n, 641 S.W.2d 69, 75 (Mo. banc 1982) (quoting In Re City of Kinloch, 361 Mo. 434, 440-41, 242 S.W.2d 59, 63 (1951)). This is because the Missouri Constitution vests the judicial power of the state in the courts designated therein. Mo. Const. art. V, s 1 (1945); Gershan Inv. Corp. v. Danforth, 517 S.W.2d 33, 35 (Mo banc 1974).

However, our Supreme Court has also "recognized that executive agencies may exercise 'quasi judicial powers' that are 'incidental and necessary to the proper discharge' of their administrative functions, even through by doing so they at times determine questions of a 'purely

legal nature.'" State Tax Comm'n, 641 S.W.2d at 75. When doing so, they are exercising "adjudicative power," by ascertaining facts and applying existing law thereto in order to resolve issues. Id. By definition, the term "adjudicate" means "to hear and decide judicially." Webster's New Twentieth Century Dictionary 24 (2d ed. 1979). "Thus, when an administrative agency is acting in a quasi-judicial capacity, it is judicially hearing and deciding the matter before it. While judicial proceedings are generally open to the public, judicial deliberations are closed. . . . Without identifying precisely the origin of the practice of closed judicial deliberations, we can say that it is ancient and is grounded in strong public policy." Nasrallah v. State Board of Chiropractic Examiners, 1996 WL 678640 (Mo.App W.D.). circumstances, "where judicial duties and powers are conferred, there is necessarily implied therein the prerogative of carrying out those duties in the way the judiciary traditionally functions." Common Cause v. Utah Pub. Serv. Comm'n, 598 P.2d 1312, 1315 (Utah 1979). And, we likewise believe that such proposition is consistent with a practical application of the Sunshine Law. Nasrallah.

The Commission will strike SWBT's Data Request No. 1 as an improper attempt to discover the workpapers and thought processes of the Commissioners.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That Data Request No. 1, served by Southwestern Bell Telephone Company upon the Commissioners' Arbitration Advisory Staff is stricken as seeking information which is not properly discoverable.

2. That this order shall become effective on September 11, 1998.

BY THE COMMISSION

Ask Hard Roberts

Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

(SEAL)

Lumpe, Ch., Crumpton, Murray and Schemenauer, CC., concur. Drainer, C., absent.

Randles, Regulatory Law Judge

CASE NO. TO-98-115

SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY DATA REQUEST NO. 1

Requested From:	PSC Staff
Date Requested:	August 10, 1998
Information Requ	ested:

Please provide all work papers or materials relied upon or reviewed in preparation of the staff report. (Excluding materials provided by SWBT which can simply be identified rather than produced.)

Requested by: David Osborn	
Information Provided:	
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT) requests the above data/information pursuant to Rule 4 C.S.R. 240-2.090.	
The information provided to Southwestern Bell Telephone Company in response to the above data request is accurate and complete, and contains no material misrepresentations or omissions based upon present facts known to the undersigned. The undersigned agrees to immediately inform Southwestern Bell Telephone Company if any matters are discovered which would materially affect the accuracy or completeness of the information provided in response to the above information.	
Date Response Received:	
Signed By:	
Prepared By:	

ALJ/Sec'y: Bandles Borce
8-28 70-98-11.5 Date Circulated CASE NO.
Lumpe, Chair
Crumpton, Commissioner
Murray, Commissioner
Schemenauer, Commissioner AUSLAT Drainer, Vice-Chair
9-1
Agenda Date
Action taken: 4-0 A 5
Must Vote Not Later Than

STATE OF MISSOURI OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City,

Missouri, this <u>1st</u> day of <u>September</u>, 1998.

Dale Hardy Roberts

Ask Hoed Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge