BB

## STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

At a Session of the Public Service Commission held at its office in Jefferson City on the 6th day of January, 1999.

In the Matter of the Application of	)		
Northpoint Communications, Inc. for	)	Case No.	TO-99-168
Approval of Interconnection Agreement	)		
under the Telecommunication Act of 1996.	1		

## ORDER APPROVING INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

Northpoint Communications, Inc. (Northpoint) filed an application with the Commission on October 19, 1998, requesting approval of an interconnection agreement (Agreement) between Northpoint Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT) under the provisions of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act). The Agreement was filed pursuant to Section 252(e)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act). See 47 U.S.C. § 251, et seq. Northpoint wants to resell basic local exchange service to residential and business The parties stated that there are no unresolved issues, end users. the Agreement is in compliance with Section 252(e) of the Act and with Missouri Statute, § 392.180 et seq., RSMo (Cum. Supp. 1997), is not discriminatory, and is consistent with the public interest. The applicant requested expeditious approval of the Agreement.

On November 2, the Commission issued its Order and Notice regarding this application notifying any interested parties of the November 23 deadline date for filing a request for intervention. SWBT filed its application for intervention on November 17. Because SWBT

is a party to the Agreement, the Commission finds that intervention should be granted. No other comments or requests for hearing were filed. The Staff of the Commission (Staff) filed a memorandum on December 9, recommending that the Agreement be approved.

The requirement for a hearing is met when the opportunity for hearing has been provided and no proper party has requested the opportunity to present evidence. State ex rel. Rex Deffenderfer Enterprises, Inc. v. Public Service Commission, 776 S.W.2d 494, 496 (Mo. App. 1989). Since no one has objected to this application or requested a hearing in this case, the Commission may grant the relief requested based on the verified application.

The Commission, under the provisions of Section 252(e) of the Act, has authority to approve an interconnection agreement negotiated between an incumbent local exchange company (LEC) and a new provider of basic local exchange service. The Commission may reject an interconnection agreement only if the agreement is discriminatory or is inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.

The Agreement between Northpoint and SWBT was executed on October 2, and will become effective no later than January 17, 1999 by operation of law. The initial term of the contract will extend until November 7, 2000, with two one-year extensions available unless written notice of non-renewal is provided by either party. The Agreement may be terminated by either party subject to rules for notice, default, mediation, and continuation of service as established in the Agreement. The Staff stated in its recommendation that the Agreement meets the limited requirements of the Act in that it does

not appear to be discriminatory toward nonparties, and does not appear to be against the public interest. Staff recommended approval of the Agreement provided that all modifications to the Agreement are submitted to the Commission for approval. This condition has been applied in prior cases where the Commission has approved similar agreements.

The Commission has considered the application, the supporting documentation, and Staff's recommendation. The Commission finds that the Agreement is neither discriminatory nor inconsistent with the public interest and should be approved. The Commission finds that approval of the Agreement should be conditioned upon the parties submitting any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval pursuant to the procedure set out below.

## Modification Procedure

This Commission's first duty is to review all resale and interconnection agreements, whether arrived at through negotiation or arbitration, as mandated by the Act. 47 U.S.C. § 252. In order for the Commission's role of review and approval to be effective, the Commission must also review and approve modifications to these agreements. The Commission has a further duty to make a copy of every resale and interconnection agreement available for public inspection.

47 U.S.C. § 252(h). This duty is in keeping with the Commission's practice under its own rules of requiring telecommunications companies to keep their rate schedules on file with the Commission. 4 CSR 240-30.010.

The parties to each resale or interconnection agreement must maintain a complete and current copy of the agreement, together with all modifications, in the Commission's offices. Any proposed modification must be submitted for Commission approval, whether the modification arises through negotiation, arbitration, or by means of alternative dispute resolution procedures.

The parties shall provide the Telecommunications Staff with a copy of the resale or interconnection agreement with the pages numbered consecutively in the lower right-hand corner. Modifications to an agreement must be submitted to the Staff for review. When approved the modified pages will be substituted in the agreement which should contain the number of the page being replaced in the lower right-hand corner. Staff will date-stamp the pages when they are inserted into the Agreement. The official record of the original agreement and all the modifications made will be maintained by the Telecommunications Staff in the Commission's tariff room.

The Commission does not intend to conduct a full proceeding each time the parties agree to a modification. Where a proposed modification is identical to a provision that has been approved by the Commission in another agreement, the modification will be approved once Staff has verified that the provision is an approved provision, and prepared a recommendation advising approval. Where a proposed modification is not contained in another approved agreement, Staff will review the modification and its effects and recommendation advising the Commission whether the modification should be approved. The Commission may approve the modification based on the Staff recommendation. If the Commission chooses not to approve the modification, the Commission will establish a case, give notice to interested parties and permit responses. The Commission may conduct a hearing if it is deemed necessary.

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- 1. That the application for approval of the interconnection agreement between Northpoint Communications, Inc. and Southwestern Bell Telephone Company filed on October 19, 1998, is approved.
- 2. That Northpoint Communications, Inc. and Southwestern Bell Telephone Company shall file a copy of the interconnection agreement with the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, with the pages numbered seriatim in the lower right-hand corner no later than January 21, 1999. The parties shall file on the same date a notice in the official case file advising the Commission that the Agreement has been submitted to Staff as required.
- 3. That any changes or modifications to this interconnection agreement shall be filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to the procedure outlined in this order.
- 4. That the Commission, by approving this interconnection agreement, makes no finding on the completion by Northpoint Communications, Inc. or Southwestern Bell Telephone Company of any of the requirements of the competitive checklist found in 47 U.S.C. § 271.
- 5. That Southwestern Bell Telephone Company shall be granted intervention as a party to this case as requested in its application filed November 17, 1998.

6. That this order shall become effective on January 20, 1999.

BY THE COMMISSION

Hake Hardy Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

(SEAL)

Lumpe, Ch., Murray and Drainer, CC., concur Crumpton and Schemenauer, CC., absent

Register, Regulatory Law Judge

COMMESSION CONTRACTOR