## STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

At a Session of the Public Service Commission held at its office in Jefferson City on the 12th day of August, 1999.

In the Matter of the Petition of Cass County	)	
Telephone Company for Approval of an IntraLATA	)	Case No. TO-99-499
Dialing Parity Plan and for Suspension and	)	
Modification of the FCC's Dialing Parity Rules	)	

## ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION

On July 14, 1999, Cass County Telephone Company (Cass County) filed a Motion for Clarification. Cass County states that in the Report and Order issued in this case, the Commission ordered it to provide a notice to its customers that said, inter alia, that AT&T Communications of the Southwest (AT&T) would provide intraLATA toll service to customers that requested it. Cass County states that AT&T is now refusing to provide this service in other Secondary Carrier (SC) exchanges. Because Cass County was granted a suspension and modification of the requirement to provide intraLATA dialing parity until October 2, 1999, it has not yet sent its notice to customers.

The Commission is addressing the question of what obligations AT&T has to serve customers in SC exchanges in Case No. TO-2000-16. However, it is unlikely that the issue will be finally resolved before Cass County needs to provide its customers with notice about the availability of intraLATA dialing parity. In order to reflect AT&T's alleged current

position of refusing to serve customers that request service from it, Cass County will be allowed to modify its notice to eliminate the language indicating that AT&T will provide intraLATA toll service.

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- 1. That the application for rehearing filed by Cass County on July 14, 1999 is granted and Cass County may modify its notice to eliminate the language indicating that AT&T will provide intraLATA toll service.
  - 2. That this order shall become effective on August 24, 1999.

BY THE COMMISSION

Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Hole HARD Roberts

(S E A L)

Lumpe, Ch., Schemenauer, and Drainer, CC., concur Crumpton and Murray, CC., absent

Mills, Deputy Chief Regulatory Law Judge

COMMISSION CONTINUESTION

COMMISSION CONTINUESTION

COMMISSION CONTINUESTION

<sup>1</sup> The Commission does not have competent and substantial evidence that AT&T has decided not to provide 1+ intraLATA toll service to SC customers as it stated it would in sworn testimony in TO-99-254. However, in pleadings filed by AT&T and others, it has been stated that AT&T is now refusing and is planning to continue to refuse to provide this service.