Exhibit No.: Issues: Weather Normal, Revenue Adjustments, Class Revenue Allocation, and Rate Design Witness: Russell A. Feingold Sponsoring Party: Missouri Gas Energy Case No.: GR-2006-0422 Date Testimony Prepared: August 7, 2006

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MISSOURI GAS ENERGY

CASE NO. GR-2006-0422

UPDATED TEST YEAR DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

RUSSELL A. FEINGOLD

Jefferson City, Missouri

August 7, 2006

UPDATED TEST YEAR DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

RUSSELL A. FEINGOLD

CASE NO. GR-2006-0422

AUGUST 7, 2006

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	A.	My name is Russell A. Feingold and my business address is Four PPG Place, Pittsburgh,
3		Pennsylvania 15222.
4		
5	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
6	A.	I am a Managing Director of Navigant Consulting, Inc. ("NCI") and co-leader of the Litigation,
7		Regulatory & Markets Group within the firm's Energy Practice.
8		
9	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME RUSSELL A. FEINGOLD WHO FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN
10		THIS PROCEEDING ON MAY 1, 2006?
11	A.	Yes.
12		
13	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
14	А.	In its Order Establishing Procedural Schedule issued on July 13, 2006, the Missouri Public Service
15		Commission (the "Commission") required Missouri Gas Energy ("MGE" or the "Company") to
16		update its revenue requirement to reflect the test year updated for changes through June 30, 2006.
17		The purpose of my testimony is to present any updates to the two revenue adjustments made by MGE
18		to weather normalize its gas volumes and to annualize its current level of customers.
19		
20	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE NEED FOR AN UPDATE TO THE COMPANY'S WEATHER
21		NORMALIZATION ADJUSTMENT PRESENTED IN SCHEDULE H-2.

- 1 -

1	А.	An update to the Company's weather normalization adjustment to reflect the updated period is not
2		required because MGE already has adjusted its test year revenues for normal weather in its original
3		filing. The Company presented a total weather normalization adjustment equal to \$2,342,430 on
4		Line 2 of Schedule H-2 of MGE witness Noack's Direct Testimony. That same adjustment should
5		appear on Line 2 of Schedule H-2 of MGE witness Noack's Updated Test Year Direct Testimony.
6		
7	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE UPDATE TO THE COMPANY'S CUSTOMER ANNUALIZATION
8		ADJUSTMENT.
9	А.	The update to this adjustment decreases test year margins from the level reflected in MGE's original
10		filing in recognition of the fact that the change in its numbers of customers during the twelve months
11		ended June 30, 2006 was less than that experienced during the twelve months ended June 30, 2005.
12		This adjustment is presented on Line 3 of Schedule H-2 of MGE witness Noack's Updated Test Year
13		Direct Testimony. By pricing the volumes associated with the annualization of customers at the
14		Company's current base rates, it results in a \$193,846 change to test year margin.
15		
16	Q.	MR. FEINGOLD, DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

17 A. Yes.

·

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Missouri Gas Energy's Tariff Sheets Designed to Increase Rates for Gas Service in the Company's Missouri Service Area.

COUNTY OF

Case No. GR-2006-0422

STATE OF ((n) Sy) VIIn M) ss.

Russell A. Feingold, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Updated Test Year Direct Testimony in question and answer form, to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing Updated Test Year Direct Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

RUSSELL A. FEINGOLD

Mth_day of_ Willhoot 2006. Subscribed and sworn to before me this Notary Public

My Commission Expires

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA



Member, Pennaylvania Association of Notaries