Exhibit No.: 1124 Issues: Rate Design Witness: Janice Pyatte Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff Type of Exhibit: **Direct** Testimony Case No.: ER-2004-0034 Date Testimony Prepared: December 18, 2003 as modified February 27, 2004 MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION FEB 2 7 2004 Missouri Public Service Gemmestion **DIRECT TESTIMONY** OF **JANICE PYATTE** AQUILA, INC. D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS--MPS CASE NO. ER-2004-0034 Jefferson City, Missouri December 2003

## **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

## **OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

)

)

In The Matter Of Aquila, Inc. D/B/A Aquila ) Networks L&P And Aquila Networks MPS To Implement A General Rate Increase In ) Case No. ER-2004-0034 Electricity

## **AFFIDAVIT OF JANICE PYATTE**

**STATE OF MISSOURI** ) ) \$\$ **COUNTY OF COLE** )

Janice Pyatte, of lawful age, on her oath states: that she has participated in the preparation of the following written Direct Testimony, as modified, in question and answer form, consisting of 4 pages of Direct Testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the attached written Direct Testimony were given by her; that she has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of her knowledge and belief.

ce Pvatte day of February, 2004. ubscribed and sworn to before me this DAWN L. HAKE Notary Public - State of Missouri County of Cole Notary Public My Commission Expires Jan 9, 2005 My commission expires

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY				
2	OF				
3	JANICE PYATTE				
4	AQUILA, INC.				
5	D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS				
6					
7	CASE NO. ER-2004-0034				
8 9					
10	Q. Are you the same Janice Pyatte who filed direct testimony on				
11	December 9, 2003 on the issue of Sales and Rate Revenue?				
12	A. Yes, I am.				
13	Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony in this filing?				
14					
15					
16	The rate design for Aquila				
17	Networks-MPS electric operations are presented in a Non-Unanimous Stipulation and				
18	Agreement Pertaining to Rate Design and Class Cost of Service, filed separately in this				
19	case.				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
	3				
	1				

	Direct Testimony of Janice Pyatte
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

	Direct Testimony of Janice Pyatte
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
-	

-----

-----

. ]

÷

I

ł

i	Direct Testimony of Janice Pyatte						
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10	1						
11		Q.	Does this conclude your direct testimony on rate design issues in this				
12	case?						
13		A.	Yes, it does.				
	)						
			4				

:

.

: 1

I