Exhibit No.:

1021

Issue:

Quality of Service

Witness: Lisa A. Kremer

Sponsoring Party:

MoPSC Staff

Type of Exhibit:

Rebuttal Testimony

Case No.:

ER-2004-0034

Date Testimony Prepared:

January 26, 2004 as modified February 27, 2004

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

LISA A. KREMER

AQUILA, INC. d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS (ELECTRIC)

CASE NO. ER-2004-0034

Jefferson City, Missouri January 2004

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of Aquila, Inc. L&P and Aquila Networks general rate increase in electr	MPS to implemen	
	AFFIDAVIT OF L	JISA A. KREMER
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss.)	
of the following rebuttal test form, consisting of following rebuttal testimony	timony as modified pages to be present as modified on Feb forth in such answe	ates: that she has participated in the preparation on February 27, 2004, in question and answer ted in the above case; that the answers in the truary 27, 2004, were given by her; that she has ers; and that such matters are true and correct to
	Lisa	Sisa A. Kremer A. Kremer
Subscribed and sworn to before	ore me this 27 da	y of February 2004.
CHARLO CHARLO CONTARY SEAL	N. N.	TONI M. CHARLTON NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF MISSOURI COUNTY OF COLE My Commission Expires December 28, 2004

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
2		OF		
3		LISA A. KREMER		
4		AQUILA, INC.		
5		d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS (ELECTRIC)		
6				
7		CASE NO. ER-2004-0034		
8				
9	Q.	Please state your name and business address.		
10	A.	Lisa A. Kremer, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102.		
11	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?		
12	A.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission (PSC or Commission)		
13	as the Manag	er of the Engineering and Management Services Department.		
14	Q.	Are you the same Lisa A. Kremer who filed direct testimony on behalf of the Staff		
15	of the Missouri Public Service Commission in this case?			
16	A.	Yes.		
17	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?		
18	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to respond to statements regarding the Company's		
19	service quality made by Mr. Keith G. Stamm in his direct testimony in Case No. ER-2004-0034.			
20	Q.	What specific statements are you responding to?		
21	A.	First, I am responding to a statement made by Mr. Stamm on page 12, beginning		
22	on line 10 of	his direct testimony, regarding Aquila's field resources providing excellent utility		
23	service:			

1 2 3 4 5 6		First, I believe that during the period when the non-regulated side of the business was successful, we may have lost some of our financial focus in regard to our utilities. Stated another way, while our field resources continued to provide excellent utility service, the financial success of our non-regulated business enabled us to overlook earnings deterioration and future challenges faced by the regulated side of the business.			
7	Q.	Are there other areas of utility service Aquila or any utility should be concerned			
8	with other than	n those only provided by field resources?			
9	A.	Yes. Utility service encompasses a wide range of activities including those			
10	performed in the field and other important activities, such as the handling of customer inquiries				
11	and complaints performed by a company call center; billing activities; credit and collection				
12	processes; and other activities.				
13	Q.	Did Mr. Stamm's direct testimony address the specific performance of Aquila's			
14	Call Centers?				
15	A.	No. But Mr. Stamm's direct testimony did indicate, on page 16 beginning on			
16	line 2:				
17 18 19 20 21 22 23		we have also established internal service quality metrics for meter reading accuracy, emergency response time, safety, generation availability, heat rates, and call center performance. These metrics are reported to me monthly and I conduct detailed reviews of service quality performance with each state Operating Vice-President quarterly. Aquila is also interested in working with this Commission to address any other areas of specific concern.			
24	Q.	Does the Staff have concerns regarding the performance of the Company's Call			
25	Centers?				
26	A.	Yes. These concerns were expressed throughout my direct testimony filed on			
27	December 9, 2	2003, in this case and included both a decline in performance and concerns			
28	regarding the	Company's management of its Call Centers, particularly the use of temporary			
29	services to star	ff Call Center positions. The Company has acknowledged both of these concerns			

relating to the Company's service quality?

1	in its responses to Staff Data Requests in Case Nos. ER-2004-0034 and EF-2003-0465, in the		
2	surrebuttal testimony of Mr. Brett Carter in Case No. EF-2003-0465 and in Company discussions		
3	with the Staff that occurred on October 15, 2003.1		
4	Q. Did you make any recommendations to the Commission in your direct testimony		

- A. Yes. The Staff requested that Aquila be ordered by the Commission to report the results of its Call Center performance on a monthly basis. This reporting would allow Staff to monitor the Company's provision of service quality in a more timely manner than occurs now with the reporting being provided quarterly. Reporting of data on a quarterly basis may not permit Staff to respond as quickly as possible if the data indicates a downward trend or a deterioration of service. A list of the specific items requested to be reported is found on page 29 of my direct testimony.
- Q. Are there any other statements in Mr. Stamm's direct testimony you would like to respond to?
- A. Yes. I would refer you to a statement made by Mr. Stamm at page 14, line 12 of his direct testimony regarding service quality and Aquila's financial condition:
 - Q. Have the negative financial conditions impacted the service provided to your utility customers?
 - A. No. Aquila has developed internal service quality metrics that are reported to me on a monthly basis. These metrics include CAIDI (outage minutes divided by number of outages), SAIFI (number of outages per customer), SAIDI (outage minutes per customer), and customer satisfaction. Our customer satisfaction rating stands at approximately 94%. Our reliability measures were all better than the Southwest Power Pool Benchmark and the U.S. Average Benchmark.

¹ Specific responses referred to are Aquila's responses to Staff Data Request Nos. 344 and 538 in Case No. ER-2004-0034 and Staff Data Request No. 3904 in Case No. EF-2003-0465.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

While the Staff has not asserted that the decline in the Company's Call Center performance is a result of the Company's negative financial condition, the Company stated that an effort to save costs resulted, at least in part, in its decision to use temporary services to staff its Call Centers. Specifically, the Company indicated in response to Staff Data Request No. 522 that: The decision to utilize employment, recruitment or placement services for call center personnel was made by call center management in place at that time. The decision was made based upon presentations from vendors and a belief that service quality could be retained while controlling cost increases... As addressed in my direct testimony, the Company indicated that the use of temporary services has contributed to high turnover that has negatively impacted its provision of customer service. Q. Has the Company made any comments regarding the specific service quality provided by its Call Centers? A. Yes. The Company stated in part of its response to Staff Data Request No. 344 that: ...While Aquila believes that there is always room for improvement in some areas of performance, we also believe that we have been providing quality customer service to our customers as reflected in our performance monitoring statistics. Call Center performance has been identified as the area in need of the most improvement and in that regard Aquila has changed its method of hiring and training personnel, has instituted new processes and performance review programs, and increased the number of customer service associates. Call Center performance metrics, along with other operational performance metrics are maintained in a recently developed "dashboard" tracking mechanism that is cascaded throughout the organization. Further, Mr. Brett Carter's surrebuttal testimony, page 6, line 23 in Case No. EF-2003-0465 states the following:

...Admittedly the call center service level statistics have not been where we wanted them to be in the past. Currently, we are using industry benchmark data to ensure appropriate service level targets and staffing levels...We are committed to improving on our call center metrics and we would be willing to share information as we continue rolling our improvement plans...Improving on processes through standardized business rules while maintaining and/or improving customer service will continue to be a balancing act for the next couple of years.

- Q. What conclusions does the Staff draw from the Company's statements?
- While the Company has indicated it is providing quality customer service, it does A. point to the need for improvement in its Call Center performance. These statements, however, do not identify the specific improvements being referred to.

Performance data provided by Aquila demonstrates a general decline in service performance beginning in 2002, which was addressed in my direct testimony. The Company has indicated a desire to improve its customer service and Staff's request in this case is that Company performance data be provided, on a monthly basis, to allow Staff to more timely monitor its performance.

23

1 2							
2							
				Γ	Γ	Г	
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9	Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?						
10		A.	Yes.				