Exhibit No.: Issues: Depreciation Witness: John J. Spanos Exhibit Type: Rebuttal Sponsoring Party: Missouri-American Water Company Case No.: WR-2015-0301 SR-2015-0302 Date: February 11, 2016

### MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. WR-2015-0301 CASE NO. SR-2015-0302

### **REBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

OF

### **JOHN J. SPANOS**

#### **ON BEHALF OF**

### MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

### OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

IN THE MATTER OF MISSOURI-AMERICAN	)	
WATER COMPANY FOR AUTHORITY TO	)	
FILE TARIFFS REFLECTING INCREASED	)	CASE NO. WR-2015-0301
RATES FOR WATER AND SEWER	)	CASE NO. SR-2015-0302
SERVICE	)	

#### **AFFIDAVIT OF JOHN J. SPANOS**

John J. Spanos, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the witness who sponsors the accompanying testimony entitled "Rebuttal Testimony of John J. Spanos"; that said testimony was prepared by him and/or under his direction and supervision; that if inquiries were made as to the facts in said testimony, he would respond as therein set forth; and that the aforesaid testimony is true and correct to the best of his knowledge.

**Commonwealth of Pennsylvania** County of Cumberland SUBSCRIBED and sworn to Before me this *Juc* day of 2016.

**Notary Public** 

My commission expires:

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA NOTARIAL SEAL Cheryl Ann Rutter, Notary Public East Pennsboro Twp., Cumberland County My Commission Expires Feb. 20, 2019 MEMBER, PENNSYLVANIA ASSOCIATION OF NOTARIES

1 2		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY JOHN J. SPANOS	
3		MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY	
4		CASE NO. WR-2015-0301	
5 6		CASE NO. SR-2015-0302	
7			
8		TABLE OF CONTENTS	
9			
10 11	Ι.	Inroduction	
12	II.	Depreciation Process and Continued Use of Existing Rates	
13	III.	The Life Span Procedure	
14	IV.	Whole Life Versus Remaining Life	
15	V.	Negative Reserve Adjustments and Negative Rate Base	
16	VI.	General Plant Amortization	
17	VII.	Business Transformation Assets	
18	VIII.	Conclusion	
19			

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2		JOHN J. SPANOS
3		I. INTRODUCTION
4	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
5	Α.	My name is John J. Spanos, and my business address is 207 Senate
6		Avenue, Camp Hill, PA 17011.
7		
8	Q.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY IN THIS
9		PROCEEDING?
10	Α.	Yes, I have submitted direct testimony in this proceeding.
11		
12	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
13	Α.	The purpose of my testimony is to rebut the portions of the Staff Report filed
14		by the Missouri Public Service Commission Staff ("Staff") related to
15		depreciation. Specifically, I will address Staff's positions to maintain existing
16		rates and parameters; the life span procedure; the remaining life method;
17		general plant amortization; negative reserve adjustments; negative rate base
18		for sewer divisions and the recovery pattern for the Business Transformation
19		assets.
20		
21	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR TESTIMONY.
22	Α.	I will address each subject individually, however, it should be noted that each
23		of the individual subjects are also affected by others. Therefore, topics will
24		overlap with discussion and explanation that may be addressed
25		simultaneously.
26		The first part of my testimony presents a general discussion of the
27		depreciation study process and why it is important to update current rates
28		with renewed analyses periodically. I will then discuss the remaining life
29		method and why this method is superior to the whole life method. I will then
30		address the need for the life span technique for major facilities. The
31		remaining life discussion will be intertwined with the negative reserve
		Page 2 MAWC – RT-JOHN J. SPANOS

adjustments and negative rate base issues presented by Staff. A few of the
 sections will also correlate with the need for proper general plant amortization
 practices. Finally, I will address the proper amortization period for the
 Business Transformation assets.

5 6

#### II. DEPRECIATION PROCESS AND CONTINUED USE OF EXISTING RATES

#### 7 8

### Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

9 Α. Based on the testimony in the Staff Report, it is important to explain the 10 objective of depreciation and the process for a depreciation study. An 11 understanding of this objective will illustrate why maintaining existing rates 12 and parameters is not appropriate, as Staff is recommending. The key point 13 to understand is that depreciation is intended to recover the costs of a 14 company's assets over the actual period of time they will be in service. 15 Because of this, the process for estimating or forecasting service lives and 16 net salvage requires an explanation.

17

## 18 Q. WHY DO YOU BELIEVE IT IS IMPORTANT TO EXPLAIN THESE 19 CONCEPTS?

A. There are three main reasons. First, depreciation studies are intended to incorporate judgment as a basis for future expectations so continually incorporating new information, both actual and expected, is critical for proper depreciation rates. Second, many of the issues that have developed in Staff's Report are resolved with utilization of methods and procedures in the Depreciation Study. Specifically, the use of remaining life and development of rates at the Company level. Finally, application of depreciation rates

- 1 consistent with the basis for developing the parameters eliminates the need
- 2 for so many adjustments to specific components.
- 3

### 4 Q. WHAT IS DEPRECIATION?

5 A. Depreciation is defined in the FERC Uniform System of Accounts as:

6 12. *Depreciation*, as applied to depreciable electric plant, means 7 the loss in service value not restored by current maintenance, incurred in connection with the consumption or prospective 8 9 retirement of electric plant in the course of service from causes 10 which are known to be in current operation and against which the 11 utility is not protected by insurance. Among the causes to be given consideration are wear and tear, decay, action of the elements, 12 inadequacy, obsolescence, changes in the art, changes in demand 13 14 and requirements of public authorities.

15

24

### 16 Q. WHAT IS THE OBJECTIVE OF DEPRECIATION?

- 17 A. The objective of depreciation is to allocate, in a systematic and rational
- 18 manner, the full cost of an asset (original cost less net salvage) over its
- 19 service life. The Uniform System of Accounts ("USofA") requires this in
- 20 General Instruction 22-A:
- 21 *Method.* Utilities must use a method of depreciation that allocates in a 22 systematic and rational manner the service value<sup>1</sup> of depreciable 23 property over the service life of the property.
- 25 Thus, the USofA confirms that depreciation represents the allocation of the
- full costs of a company's assets (original cost less any net salvage) over their
- 27 service lives that is, over the period of time the assets are providing service.
- 28 Costs are allocated over the service lives of the assets so that customers pay

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The USofA defines service value as the original cost less net salvage

1 for the costs of the assets that provide them service. Current customers 2 should not pay for the costs of assets that have already been retired or those not yet in service. Similarly future customers should not have to pay for the 3 4 costs of assets that are no longer in service because current customers pay 5 too little for their service.

- 6
- 7

#### Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN THE PROCESS FOR ESTIMATING SERVICE LIVES 8 AND NET SALVAGE?

9 Α. A depreciation study requires the estimation of events that will happen many 10 years in the future. The average service lives for many of the Company's 11 assets such as water mains and services are sixty years or more. Many 12 individual assets will live longer than the average. Thus, the depreciation 13 study must predict what will occur over the next sixty years or more. There 14 are tools available to aid in forecasting service lives and net salvage, such as 15 the statistical analyses of historical data. However, the Commission should 16 not lose sight of the fact that depreciation is necessarily a forward-looking 17 process in which uncertain events are being forecast many years into the 18 future.

19 Because depreciation is a process of forecasting the future, it is 20 impossible to predict what will occur with 100% precision. The statistical tools 21 available by definition consist of imperfect information, because the 22 Company's assets have only lived for a fraction of their lives. Estimation 23 therefore requires extrapolation and judgment, which must incorporate the 24 knowledge and experience of the depreciation professional performing the

study. For example, the curve fitting process for life analysis may result in a
 wide range of average service life estimates that could be supported by the
 data alone. The judgment of the depreciation professional making the
 estimate is therefore required to differentiate between these possible
 estimates.

## Q. DO ANY AUTHORITATIVE SOURCES RECOGNIZE THE NECESSITY OF JUDGMENT IN A DEPRECIATION STUDY?

A. Yes. The National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners
("NARUC") 1996 publication *Public Utility Depreciation Practices* (referred to
as the "NARUC Manual") is a well-regarded, authoritative Depreciation text.
The NARUC Manual has an entire section dedicated to "informed judgment."
NARUC defines "informed judgment" as:

13[A] term used to define the subjective portion of the depreciation study14process. It is based on a combination of general experience,15knowledge of the properties and a physical inspection, information16gathered throughout the industry, and other factors which assist the17analyst in making a knowledgeable estimate.<sup>2</sup>

NARUC also notes that "the use of informed judgment can be a major factor
 in forecasting"<sup>3</sup> and explains that "[t]he analyst's judgment, comprised of a
 combination of experience and knowledge, will determine the most
 reasonable estimate."<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> NARUC Manual, p. 128

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ibid., p. 129

# 1Q.IN ADDITION TO THE STATISTICAL LIFE AND NET SALVAGE2ANALYSES, HAS YOUR JUDGMENT BEEN INFORMED BY ADDITIONAL3INFORMATION RELATED TO MAWC?

A. Yes. As is the typical practice for depreciation studies performed by my firm, I
have conducted field reviews and met with operations and engineering
management for MAWC. These reviews and meetings provide valuable
insight into the operations of the Company's assets and the plans and outlook
for the assets as only Company management would understand. Information
obtained from these field reviews and meetings is invaluable.

Further, over the course of my career I have performed hundreds of depreciation studies, and have conducted similar field reviews and management meetings. These have provided a wealth of knowledge and experience as it pertains to the operations and life and net salvage characteristics for utility property. All of this knowledge informs my judgment and contributes to reasonable estimates of service lives and net salvage.

16

# Q. DOES MAINTAINING THE EXISTING RATES BASED ON OUTDATED LIFE AND SALVAGE PARAMETERS ALONG WITH THE WHOLE LIFE METHOD MEET THE BEST DEPRECIATION PROCESS?

A. No, it does not. The existing rates do not consider any changes in life characteristics of the assets within an account that were added between 2011 and 2014, as well as the type of assets retired during that time period. The existing life parameters do not reflect any changes in company practice, new programs that may have been implemented, such as pipe integrity programs, or decisions to rehabilitate major structures such as treatment plants. Also, the existing rates do not consider the potential changes in cost of removal or gross salvage that have or will occur into the future as a percentage of the associated plant being retired. Additionally, applying existing or outdated rates to assets using the whole life method will not properly address accounts that have a recovery pattern that has changed or needs to change. This is why negative rate base could occur.

8

### 9 Q. CAN YOU SUPPLY EXAMPLES AS TO WHY EXISTING RATES MAY NOT 10 BE APPROPRIATE?

11 Yes. I will present two examples which illustrate the concerns of outdated Α. 12 parameters. First, I will set forth Account 311.00, Electric Pumping 13 Equipment (Account 325.00 in Staff Report). The proposed parameters in the 14 Depreciation Study are the 47-R1 survivor curve and negative 10 percent net 15 salvage as compared to Staff's proposed maintaining of the existing 42-R1.5 16 survivor curve and negative 10 percent net salvage. Since the proposed net 17 salvage percentages are the same in this case, the life parameter will be the 18 focus for this account. By recommending to maintain the 42-R1.5 survivor 19 curve, Staff has ignored the recent trend that the life characteristics for 20 pumping equipment has changed. In this account, we have learned that more 21 electronic assets are added annually in order to improve operations. These 22 assets will need to be upgraded more frequently; however their existence 23 allows for the major pumping assets to stay in service longer. Therefore, the 24 dispersion pattern has changed over the last six years when the 42-R1.5 type

curve was established as most representative of assets in service at that
time. The overall average and maximum lives are now expected to be longer
but the mode of the retirements is dispersed at greater ages. In other words,
a longer average service life of 47 with a lower moded curve, R1 is more
representative of the assets currently in the account today and will be in the
account in the foreseeable future.

7 As a result, Staff's 2.62 percent rate which is derived from the 42-year 8 life and negative 10 percent net salvage will have a higher recovery rate than 9 the overall service life is anticipating. This in turn will create over recovery 10 and possible negative rate base. This unnecessary situation is what Staff has 11 attempted to manually correct in some instances of their Report. Additionally, 12 using the whole life method that Staff recommends will not identify this over 13 recovery because whole life does not self-correct like the remaining life 14 The whole life method versus remaining life method will be method. discussed later in this testimony. 15

16 Another example which illustrates the issues developed by using 17 existing life and salvage parameters beyond a reasonable time period is in 18 Account 331, Transmission and Distribution Mains (Account 343 in Staff 19 Report). My proposed parameters are a 90-R2 type curve and negative 30 20 percent net salvage as compared to Staff's proposed maintaining the 90-R2.5 21 survivor curve and negative 25 percent net salvage. In this account, the 22 proposed average service lives are the same at 90 years but the dispersion 23 pattern of R2 versus R2.5 type curve is slightly different. Although the 24 dispersion patterns are different and that does produce a different recovery

1 pattern, I will be focusing on the net salvage percent in this example. Since 2 the existing 25 percent negative net salvage was established through 2008, 3 there has been a considerable increase in the retirements in the account as 4 well as an increase in the associated cost of removal which is set forth 5 statistically on pages VIII-27 through VIII-29 of the Depreciation Study. The 6 overall 41-year period (1974-2014) shows an average net salvage of negative 7 32 percent and the most recent 5-years, which Staff ignores, shows an 8 average negative 49 percent net salvage. Thus, the 30 percent negative net 9 salvage reflects the trend to more negative net salvage but is still 10 conservative compared to statistics. Consequently, Staff's recommendation 11 establishes a 5% underrecovery as compared to my estimate of the existing 12 \$1,173,712,222.45 plant in service for Account 331.00 as of March 31, 2015. 13 Not only will the approximately \$59 million not be recovered rationally through 14 depreciation rates over the life of the assets, but it will not be recovered at all 15 if Staff's whole life method is utilized. These are just two examples that 16 illustrate why periodically updating depreciation rates is important.

- 17
- 18 19

#### III. THE LIFE SPAN PROCEDURE

#### 20 Q. EXPLAIN THE IMPORTANCE OF THE LIFE SPAN PROCEDURE.

A. The use of the life span procedure is the most appropriate method for matching recovery of plant in service to the life characteristics of assets at major structures. For example, the life characteristics of assets at a treatment plant will experience some interim retirements over the life of the facility and then many assets will be concurrently retired at final retirement. Therefore, 1 capital recovery should reflect these life characteristics, which can only be 2 accomplished with a life span component in the depreciation parameters. In 3 many cases, the life span is an estimate far into the future until management 4 determines the facility needs to be replaced, rehabilitated or retired. If you 5 wait until management determines the actual date, then intergenerational 6 inequities will occur over the last few years when depreciation is drastically 7 increased to obtain full recovery at the time of retirement. The lack of a life 8 span and consequential depreciation recovery flaw is quite obvious if we 9 review the history of the St. Joe treatment plant, for example.

10

# 11 Q. ARE THERE ANY OTHER ISSUES RELATING TO STAFF'S PROPOSAL 12 RELATING TO ACCOUNTS YOU HAVE UTILIZED THE LIFE SPAN 13 APPROACH?

14 Α. Yes, there are. Staff's proposal of calculating rates with the use of the 15 existing interim survivor curve without the use of the life span approach is 16 inaccurate, because Staff has ignored the many retirements associated with final retirement of a facility. Therefore, if you eliminate the life span approach, 17 18 you must analyze life characteristics as though all plant in service is part of a 19 Consequently, the proposed life for Accounts 304.20, mass account. Structures and Improvements – Power and Pumping; 304.30, Structures and 20 21 Improvements – Water Treatment; and 306, Lake, River and Other Intakes 22 must be shorter than what Staff has proposed in order to include all 23 retirements. Additionally, final retirements for Accounts 304.61, Structures 24 and Improvements - Office Buildings; 304.70, Structures and Improvements -

- Shop and Garage; 304.80, Structures and Improvements Miscellaneous;
   and 309.0, Supply Mains, should be included in the life analyses.
- 3

#### 4 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE FLAWS IN STAFF'S LIFE ESTIMATE.

5 Α. Staff has attempted to compare my interim survivor curves with truncation to 6 their survivor curves without properly analyzing all the data. The life analyses 7 in the Depreciation Study for Accounts 304.20, 304.30 and 306, represent an 8 interim survivor curve, and therefore, only interim retirements are considered 9 when determining the survivor curve. This is an important distinction because 10 all final retirements, such as those related to the St. Joseph plant final 11 retirement, were not considered; a critical focus in the analyses for these 12 accounts which should be truncated, and thus, should have recovery with a 13 concurrent date. Consequently, if Staff is going to ignore the life span 14 approach in recovery, then their analyses must reflect the St. Joseph 15 retirements for life analyses. The retirements coded as final for Account 16 304.20, 304.30 and 306 in the service life file equals \$2,067,689 as compared 17 to the regular (interim) retirement of \$4,873,283. It should be noted that of 18 the \$4,873,283 interim retirements for these three accounts, over half, 19 \$2,886,514.53 occurred since the last study, which Staff has ignored by 20 maintaining existing rates and parameters. In addition to these three life span 21 accounts, retirements in Accounts 304.61, 304.70, 304.80 and 309.00 were 22 coded as final since these accounts are quite often life spanned in other 23 jurisdictions.

1 With this necessary correction that Staff would need to make to their 2 life analyses along with updating the statistical analyses through 2014, the 3 revised life tables and survivor curves without truncation for each of the 4 accounts discussed above are set forth to this testimony as JJS-R2. The 5 recommended survivor curves using this approach as compared to the 6 depreciation study are as follows:

Account	Depreciation Study Survivor Curve	Rebuttal Testimony Survivor Curve
304.20	75-R2.5	73-R2
304.30	80-R2.5	75-R2.5
304.61	47-S0	36-S0.5
304.70	55-R2.5	48-R1.5
304.80	55-R2	32-S0
306.00	70-S0.5	35-S0
309.00	80-R3	78-S2

7

8 Therefore, if Staff is recommending ignoring the life span procedure, 9 then all retirements must be considered in their analyses. As can be seen 10 from the attached curves and life tables, the life characteristics are quite 11 different.

12

### 13 Q. HAS THE LIFE SPAN APPROACH BEEN IN EFFECT FOR SOME OF THE 14 ASSETS?

A. Yes, it has. The life span approach was utilized and approved for some of the
 facilities in the old St. Louis County Operations.

### 17 Q. HAS THE MISSOURI STAFF AGREED TO THE LIFE SPAN PROCEDURE 18 IN OTHER PROCEEDINGS?

- A. Yes. The Missouri Staff has agreed on the life span procedure in the recent
   Kansas City Power and Light and Ameren Missouri cases.<sup>5</sup>
- 3

## 4 Q. WHAT ARE YOUR CONCLUSIONS REGARDING THE USE OF THE LIFE 5 SPAN APPROACH?

Α. During the life of a water facility, interim additions, replacements, and 6 7 retirements occur regularly. At the time of the final retirement of a water 8 facility, all of the structures and equipment are retired, regardless of whether 9 they were part of the original installation or were added as recently as a year 10 or two prior to the plant's retirement. The life span approach reflects the 11 unique average lives that are experienced by each year of installation at a 12 water facility by recognizing the period of time between each installation and 13 the final retirement of the plant. Conversely, Staff's approach of applying a 14 single average life or average survivor curve to all installation years of an 15 entire water facility account does not recognize the unique survivor characteristics of each installation year. For example, the Parkville facility 16 17 began operation in 1960 and there have been subsequent plant additions 18 made each year since 1960 in Account 304.3, Structures and Improvements 19 - Water Treatment. For these plant additions, 1960 through 2014, there is a 20 unique service life and survivor curve for each vintage under the life span 21 approach for a total of 54 different survivor curves. Under the Staff's 22 approach, there is **one** average service life and survivor curve used to describe the life characteristics of all assets within Account 304.3, Structures 23

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> KCPL Case No. E-2014-0370 and Ameren Missouri Case No. E-2014-0258.

and Improvements – Water Treatment, at Parkville. Further, the use of a single average life is only applicable for one year, as with each year of betterments and replacements, the overall average life of the water facility changes. Thus, depreciation based on the use of the life span approach, rather than the use of a single average life, results in a more accurate reflection of the loss in service value of a water facility.

7

8 Q. DO AUTHORITATIVE TEXTS ON DEPRECIATION SUPPORT YOUR 9 CONCLUSION THAT THE SERVICE VALUE OF WATER FACILITIES 10 SHOULD BE ALLOCATED BASED ON THE USE OF THE LIFE SPAN 11 APPROACH?

- 12 Α. Yes, they do. Authoritative texts on the subject of depreciation support the proposal to use the life span approach for power plants. 13 Public Utility Depreciation Practices, published in 1996 by the National Association of 14 Regulatory Utility Commissioners states: 15 16 Life span property generally has the following characteristics: 17 1. Large individual units, 2. Forecasted overall life or estimated retirement date, 18 19 3. Units experience interim retirements, and 20 4. Future additions are integral part of initial installation. 21 22 The following classes of utility property may be most appropriately studied under this method, taking into consideration the availability of plant 23 24 accounting data, and particularly the number of units of property involved: buildings, electric power plants.<sup>6</sup> 25
- 26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Public Utility Depreciation Practices. Page 141. National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners. 1996.

1 <u>Depreciation Systems</u> states:

3 Depreciation professionals use the term life span to describe both a 4 unit of property and a group of property that will be retired as a unit. Examples of a unit of property are a hydroelectric dam or the building 5 6 housing electrical generating equipment. Examples of a group of property 7 that will be retired as a unit include the turbines, generators, and other equipment used to generate electrical power and housed in either the dam 8 or building. The dispersion pattern of retirements from a group of life span 9 property differs from the pattern of other (mass) property, because much 10 of the life span property is retired simultaneously (unlike mass property). 11 12 The resulting survivor curve is truncated (and instantaneously reaches 13 zero percent surviving) rather than gradually curving to zero percent surviving.7 14

15 16

2

### 17 Q. WHAT METHOD FOR ALLOCATION OF WATER FACILITY SERVICE

#### 18 VALUE HAS MAWC PROPOSED IN THIS PROCEEDING?

- 19 A. MAWC has proposed, consistent with authoritative texts and the USoA, the
- 20 use of the life span method of allocating the service value of water facilities
- 21 over the life of the facility.
- 22

### 23 Q. IS THE APPROACH STAFF HAS PROPOSED CONSISTENT WITH

### 24 AUTHORITATIVE TEXTS AND THE USOA?

- A. No. Without a life span component, which Staff excludes, there is no matching of capital recovery to utilization of the assets in a systematic and rational manner over the entire life cycle. Thus creating intergenerational inequity.
- 29

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Depreciation Systems, Wolf, Frank K. and W. Chester Fitch. Page 255. Iowa State University Press. 1994.

### 1 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADDITION AND RETIREMENT ACTIVITY THAT

### 2 OCCURS DURING THE COURSE OF A WATER FACILITY'S LIFE SPAN.

3 Α. The first addition at a water facility is its initial construction, a substantial 4 expenditure. Throughout the life of this initial expenditure, betterments and 5 replacements take place. For example, after the initial installation in 1960, many capital expenditures have taken place at Parkville each year, 6 7 representing a betterment. Also, many of the capital expenditures included a 8 retirement or replacement of original investment. The retirement of a portion 9 of the original investment represents an interim retirement. This type of 10 activity occurs in almost every year of a water facility's life span in varying 11 degrees of magnitude. Interim plant additions are made for various reasons, 12 at times to replace worn or unreliable components of the facility and other 13 times made to comply with new water quality standards. After a period of 50, 14 60 or more years, it becomes uneconomic to continue to make improvements 15 to keep the facility running and the entire facility is retired. This retirement 16 includes the original construction as well as all of the interim betterments and replacements. 17

18

# 19Q.GIVEN THIS PATTERN OF ADDITIONS AND RETIREMENTS, HOW CAN20THE SURVIVOR CHARACTERISTICS OF WATER FACILITY BE21DESCRIBED?

A. The survivor characteristics of water facilities can be described through the
 use of interim survivor curves truncated at the date of final retirement of the
 entire facility. The interim survivor curve describes the rate of interim

1 retirements from the date of installation to the date of final retirement. These 2 interim retirements are the result of retirements of equipment with lives that 3 are less than the overall life span of the plant. These retirements would be of 4 items such as pumps, motors, control equipment and numerous other items. 5 The interim survivor curve, graphically depicted, begins at 100 percent surviving at the date of installation and decreases gradually throughout most 6 7 of the life span. At the date of final retirement, the interim survivor curve is 8 truncated, reducing the percent surviving to 0 percent. The age at which 9 truncation occurs is different for *every* year of installation, resulting in a 10 different average service life for each vintage.

11

## 12 Q. DO THE FINAL RETIREMENT DATES REPRESENT A DATE CERTAIN 13 FOR THE RETIREMENT OF THE PLANTS?

14 Α. No, it does not. The estimated final retirement dates should not be 15 interpreted as a firm commitment to retire these plants on these dates, but rather, as reasonable estimates based on currently available information. 16 The estimated final retirement dates, like other estimates used for capital 17 18 recovery purposes, are subject to modification in the future as circumstances 19 dictate. The estimated final retirement dates are based on current information 20 and a consideration of all relevant factors. The nature of using estimates is 21 that there is always a degree of uncertainty associated with them. The only 22 time you can precisely determine the service life of an asset or facility is after 23 it has been retired and you can look back and state with certainty that the 24 pumping equipment was in service for 35 years. However, for purposes of

- determining appropriate depreciation rates we need to estimate things like
   service lives and net salvage percents.
- 3

Q. IS IT NECESSARY FOR MANAGEMENT TO HAVE REPLACEMENT
PLANS IN EFFECT FOR THESE UNITS IN ORDER TO ESTIMATE A
FINAL RETIREMENT DATE?

- A. No, it would be premature for management to be making such plans at this
   point in time. Such plans need not occur until the time of retirement
   approximates the lead time for construction of the replacement water facility.
- 10 For water facilities, two to three years is a reasonable lead time.
- 11

### 12 Q. HAS MAWC PREVIOUSLY RETIRED WATER FACILITIES?

- A. Yes, it has. The St. Joseph water treatment facility was retired in 2000, and
  others have had major rehabilitations, such as Joplin.
- 15

## 16 Q. DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THE PLANTS CURRENTLY IN SERVICE CAN 17 LIVE INDEFINITELY?

# A. Absolutely not. Although the sites may be used for a significant period of time into the future, the depreciable assets will be retired as they become uneconomic due to deterioration, regulation, and obsolescence.

21

### 22 Q. DO ANY OF THE EXISTING FACILITIES OR SYSTEMS HAVE A SHORT

## 23 TERM PLAN THAT EMPHASIZES THE NEED FOR THE LIFE SPAN 24 PROCEDURE?

A. Yes. As set forth in the Depreciation Study, the Parkville System (Platte
 County) is scheduled to be retired as of May 2018. In other words, in order to
 achieve full recovery by time of retirement, the Parkville assets should be
 depreciated at a rate consistent with a 5-year recovery pattern.

5

# Q. HAVE YOU SEGREGATED THE PLANT IN SERVICE AS WELL AS THE ASSOCIATED ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION BY ACCOUNT FOR PARKVILLE?

- 9 A. Yes. In each account, the Parkville assets are identified by vintage and the 10 associated accumulated depreciation assigned in order to calculate the 11 remaining rate base to be recovered by May 31, 2018.
- 12

### 13 Q. IS THIS SEGREGATION CONSISTENT WITH THE AGREEMENT FROM

### 14 THE LAST RATE CASE?

- A. Yes. The last rate case requested MAWC to develop remaining life rates with
   the use of the life span technique for Parkville.
- 17

18Q.IS THIS SEGREGATION THE SAME PROCESS YOU HAVE19RECOMMENDED FOR ALL OTHER FACILITIES IN ADVANCE OF A20SPECIFICALLY ESTABLISHED TIME PERIOD?

- A. Yes, it is. Establishing a life span for major structures as early as possible
   and utilizing the remaining life technique creates a more systematic and
   rational recovery as opposed to a short-term catch up.
- 24

### 1 Q. DO CUSTOMER EQUITY CONSIDERATIONS SUPPORT THE USE OF

### 2 THE LIFE SPAN METHOD FOR WATER FACILITIES?

A. Yes, they do. The life span method provides for a better match of
 depreciation expense with service value rendered than does the use of a
 single average survivor curve for all installation years.

6

### 7 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN.

8 Α. The life span method develops and uses a unique average service life for 9 each installation year. As a result of the decision to cease operations at a 10 water facility, all property of varying ages are retired concurrently. Therefore, 11 the older installation years have longer average service lives than the 12 younger installation years. Under the life span approach, the original cost of 13 an older installation year is recovered during the average life of that 14 installation year. The original cost of a younger installation year is recovered 15 during its average life. In comparison, the use of a single average service life 16 and survivor curve that is somewhere between the longer lives of the older 17 installation year, and the shorter lives of the younger installation years, result 18 in the over recovery of cost for the older installation years and the under 19 recovery of cost for the younger installation years.

20

### 21 Q. WHAT IS THE POLICY OF OTHER REGULATORY COMMISSIONS 22 REGARDING THE LIFE SPAN APPROACH FOR WATER FACILITIES?

A. Virtually all other regulatory commissions use the life span approach for major
 facilities such as water treatment plants. Gannett Fleming has assisted

1		utilities in all 50 states, 10 Canadian provinces and 3 Canadian territories and
2		we are not aware of a jurisdiction that denies the life span approach for
3		treatment facilities such as the Missouri Public Service Commission.
4 5 6		IV. WHOLE LIFE VERSUS REMAINING LIFE
7	Q.	DOES STAFF RECOMMEND THE WHOLE LIFE TECHNIQUE IN THIS
8		CASE?
9	A.	Yes.
10		
11	Q.	DOES THE WHOLE LIFE TECHNIQUE MONITOR THE RELATIONSHIP OF
12		ORIGINAL COST TO ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION?
13	А	No, it does not.
14		
15	Q.	WHY IS IT IMPORTANT TO MONITOR THIS RELATIONSHIP?
16	A.	In order to insure full recovery of the service value of assets over the life of
17		the assets, the accumulated depreciation must be compared to the plant
18		investment. The remaining life method insures full recovery - no more, no
19		less, over the life of the investment in a systematic and rational manner.
20		
21	Q.	CAN YOU ILLUSTRATE THE WHOLE LIFE METHODOLOGY RECOVERY
22		PATTERN?
23	Α.	Yes. Assuming an account has a twenty-year average service life and zero
24		net salvage percent, then the rate is 5.00%. This rate will not change unless
25		the average service life is adjusted. Additionally, the whole life method does

not consider the ratio of the accumulated depreciation to the plant balance. In
other words, after 10 years of a 20-year service life, the accumulated reserve
should be 50% of the plant balance. However, if it is not due to the actual
activity the whole life rate does not adjust to make sure full recovery is
achieved after 20 years. Consequently, an unfair recovery pattern would
exist for both ratepayers and shareholders.

7

### 8 Q. WHY IS THE REMAINING LIFE METHODOLOGY SUPERIOR TO THE 9 WHOLE LIFE METHOD?

10 Α. Because the remaining life method insures full recovery, no more, no less, while the whole life method does not. A simple example illustrates why the 11 12 remaining life method is superior. Assume that there are three assets in an 13 account which live 2, 5 and 8 years; therefore, the average life is 5 years. 14 Each asset costs \$100 for a total account cost of \$300. Using the whole life 15 method, the rate is 20.0%, so through year 5, the recovery of the 2-year unit 16 is \$40, the 5-year unit is \$100, and the 8-year unit is \$100. A new study is performed after year 5 and the average life is determined to be 8 years, so 17 18 the whole life rate is 12.5% and the recovery for the final three years is 19 \$37.50. Consequently, using the whole life method, recovery is \$277.50 of 20 the \$300 in original cost, which fails to make the company whole.

Under the remaining life methodology, the average service life is still 5 years and the initial rate is 20.00%. Thus, the total accruals after 5 years is still \$240.00 and the two retirements totaling \$200 for an accumulated depreciation total of \$40.00. Therefore, the remaining value is \$60 to be

1		recovered over 3 years at a rate of 20.00%. Consequently, under the
2		remaining life method, full recovery is achieved at the end of life for the three
3		units.
4		
5	Q.	HAS STAFF AGREED TO REMAINING LIFE RATES FOR OTHER
6		UTILITIES IN MISSOURI?
7	Α.	Yes. Staff has agreed to the use of remaining life rates in recent proceedings
8		for Kansas City Power and Light Company as well as Ameren Missouri.
9		
10	Q.	IS THERE ANY REASON MISSOURI AMERICAN WATER COMPANY
11		SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN WHOLE LIFE RATES?
12	Α.	No. As a matter of fact, the use of the remaining life method would eliminate
13		a number of the issues raised by Staff regarding depreciation of Company
14		assets.
15 16 17		V. NEGATIVE RESERVE ADJUSTMENTS AND NEGATIVE RATE BASE
18	Q.	DO YOU AGREE WITH STAFF'S NEGATIVE RESERVE ADJUSTMENTS
19		AND NEGATIVE RATE BASE ISSUES?
20	Α.	No, I do not. These issues are specific to the division or account level of a
21		certain division which does not occur when developing rates at the Company
22		level. Staff has recommended depreciation rates by account for water and
23		sewer assets at the Company level and applied to the division level using the
24		whole life method which does not take into consideration the accumulated
25		depreciation. This is inappropriate. In contrast, the remaining life method

1

does take into account the accumulated depreciation level prior to applying rates to negative rate base or making adjustments to negative reserve.

3

2

### 4 Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN HOW YOU HAVE ADDRESSED THIS SITUATION?

5 Α. Yes. First, the Company is recommending in this case, as was done in prior 6 cases, to establish Company-wide depreciation rates and parameters for 7 water and sewer assets. Therefore, all water plant assets as well as all 8 associated accumulated depreciation amounts are combined at the account 9 level in order to properly assign the plant and reserve (accumulated 10 depreciation) amounts to be analyzed and depreciated. This is consistent 11 with group depreciation. The same is done for sewer assets. This process 12 eliminates the need for Staff's proposed negative reserve adjustments as well 13 as the negative rate base issue because the divisions with high reserve levels 14 are offset by divisions with lower reserve levels. As a result, the Company-15 wide relationship produces a reserve to plant ratio which is then depreciated 16 over the remaining life with a level of rate base which is generally positive for 17 each account and recovered over the remaining life of the Company-wide 18 remaining life. There is one water account with negative rate base, however, 19 the remaining life depreciation rate is 0.

20

#### 21 Q. WHY SHOULD THIS BE DONE?

A. First, all the divisions are under the same management so determinations of
 life and salvage parameters are based on the same informed judgment and
 combined statistical analyses. Second, the basis of group depreciation and

1		life analyses assumes that some assets will have lives longer than the
2		average and some will be retired before the average.
3		
4	Q.	DID EACH DIVISION HAVE THE SAME LIFE CHARACTERISTICS AND
5		ASSET BASE PRIOR TO CONSOLIDATION?
6	Α.	No.
7		
8	Q.	HAS THE USE OF THE WHOLE LIFE METHOD CAUSED THIS
9		SITUATION?
10	Α.	Yes. The whole life method is one of the key elements as to why this has
11		occurred. The whole life method calculates rates with no correcting element
12		of the reserve to plant. Thus, if you apply a Company composite life
13		parameter and associated rate to an asset base that is too old, then you will
14		over recover and create negative rate base.
15		
16	Q.	CAN YOU PROVIDE AN EXAMPLE AS TO HOW THIS COULD OCCUR?
17	Α.	Yes. I will use sewer Account 370, Receiving Wells (Account 363 in Staff
18		Report) as an example. This account has a 0 percent net salvage which is
19		recommended by both the Company and Staff, so the life component and
20		depreciation method are the key factors. Staff recommends a 10-year life, so
21		combined with the whole life method, a 10 percent rate is applied to all assets
22		regardless of age or reserve to plant ratio. There is \$281,494.25 surviving in
23		this account as of March 31, 2015 with \$150,427.07 plant investment older
24		than 10 years. This distribution of the surviving plant balance alone should
		Page 26 MAWC – RT-JOHN J. SPANOS

1 cause question to maintaining the 10-year life. Using Staff's recommendation 2 of a 10 percent depreciation rate, the amount of \$28,149 (\$281,494 \* .10) will 3 be depreciated each year. Consequently, \$15,043 of the \$28,149 annual 4 depreciation represents assets that should already be fully recovered using 5 the whole life method and Staff's 10-year life. If this were to continue for many years as Staff recommends by maintaining the 10-year life and whole 6 7 life method, it will not take long for the entire account to be over recovered 8 and a negative rate base to develop. Therefore, maintaining an average 9 service life that is inappropriate or has changed life characteristics, combined 10 with Staff's recommended whole life method created this situation.

11

### 12 Q. CAN YOU ELABORATE ON THE DIFFERENT ASSET BASES FOR EACH 13 DIVISION AS IT RELATES TO ACCOUNT 370, RECEIVING WELLS?

14 Α. Yes. As of March 31, 2015, there are six wastewater systems which total the 15 \$281,494.25 balance. These systems have various vintage balances which 16 Staff is depreciating at a 10 percent rate based on the Staff Report. However, the age of the systems vary quite a bit. The Anna Meadows receiving wells 17 18 consist of assets of vintage 1990 which means Staff recommends a 10 19 percent rate on assets that are 25 years old. In other words, a 10 percent 20 rate will be applied to \$52,480 of plant which most likely has already been 21 fully recovered. Additionally, the Ozark Meadows system has assets in 22 service from 2000, 2005 and 2007 with \$7,616.07 of the \$8,056.53 surviving 23 plant that is older than 10 years old. The Emerald Point wells are 2013 24 vintage and represent \$107,729 of the \$281,494.25 total account balance.

- 1 Consequently, applying a 10 percent rate to each of these divisions without 2 focusing on their age and past recovery level is not appropriate.
- 3

## 4 Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN HOW THE REMAINING LIFE WOULD HANDLE THIS 5 SITUATION?

Α. Yes. First, I will address this situation using the same life parameter of 10 6 7 years. In the remaining life calculation, the dispersion pattern (type curve) is 8 an element of recovery which means some assets live longer than 10 years 9 and others will not make it to 10 years. Therefore, depreciation is calculated 10 over the entire life cycle of the life and curve combination. Additionally, and 11 more importantly, the accumulated depreciation (book reserve) level as a 12 ratio of the plant in service is a component of the calculation. Therefore, the 13 depreciation rate is based on the original cost surviving (\$281,494.25) and the 14 book reserve (\$101,272). The remaining life rate is determined by recovering 15 \$180,222 (\$281,494 - \$101,272) over the remaining life by vintage. All 16 vintages that are fully depreciated or beyond the full life cycle of the life and 17 curve combination would have a resulting rate of 0 percent. In this account, 18 the resultant composite rate would then be less than 10 percent.

19

### 20 Q. IS WHOLE LIFE THE ONLY ISSUE IN THIS EXAMPLE?

A. No. The combination of the whole life method which does not consider the
 accumulated depreciation level and the outdated service life created this
 problem.

24

### 1 Q. WHY IS THE SERVICE LIFE AN ISSUE?

2 A. The 10-year service life was based on an old estimate of 10 years which 3 reflected judgment related to the life characteristics of receiving wells many 4 years ago. The initial expectation for receiving wells was a short life cycle, 5 however, the purpose or function of these wells today are much different. All 6 of these wells were acquired since the last study was conducted so Staff's 7 basis for a 10-year life was related to different assets than we have in service 8 Consequently, we have learned in this case that receiving wells will today. 9 last on average 30 years. Therefore, Staff has applied the 10 percent rate to 10 assets that are expected to survive on average three times longer. This type 11 of change in asset base and life characteristics that is applied to each division 12 without identifying the historical surviving age base of all divisions will cause a 13 negative rate base.

14

### 15 Q. DOES THIS MEAN THAT THE 10-YEAR LIFE WAS INAPPROPRIATE 16 WHEN IT WAS FIRST ESTABLISHED?

A. No. Actually, it should emphasize two points. First, depreciation
 studies and particularly, life analyses should be updated on a regular basis to
 incorporate new information or a different asset base. Second, applying a
 composite life characteristic of all divisions to separate division plant
 balances without considering the reserve to plant ratio or the age distribution
 of each division is inappropriate.

1 2		VI. GENERAL PLANT AMORTIZATION
3	Q.	HAS GENERAL PLANT AMORTIZATION BEEN APPROVED IN PRIOR
4		CASES IN MISSOURI?
5	A.	Yes. In recent Kansas City Power and Light and Ameren Missouri
6		proceedings, General Plant Amortization was approved.
7		
8	Q.	DOES STAFF RECOMMEND THE SAME LIFE PERIODS THAT THE
9		COMPANY HAS IN THIS CASE?
10	A.	Yes. Staff recommends depreciation rates that are consistent with the
11		amortization periods recommended in the Company filing for all accounts that
12		amortization accounting is proposed.
13		
14	Q.	IF THE LIFE PARAMETERS ARE THE SAME, THEN WHAT IS THE ISSUE
15		FOR THESE GENERAL PLANT ACCOUNTS?
16	Α.	The issue seems to be Staff's desire to be able to change the life parameters
17		based on historical indications, however, no effort to review the historical
18		analyses or understand the nature of the assets in the account was
19		performed. Staff has just maintained the same life parameters that were
20		based on the 2008 Study which incorporated proposed amortization periods.
21		This is an important distinction because amortization periods were
22		determined based on an understanding of the nature of assets in each
23		account and their anticipated useful life. Thus, an inconsistency of applying
24		amortization periods, combined with whole life method to the asset base that

25 is maintained on an individual asset basis (specific asset retirements) only Page 30 MAWC – RT-JOHN J. SPANOS creates reserve imbalances and future reserve adjustments. This issue that Staff raises can be avoided with the proper implementation of general plant amortization. This is particularly evident if Staff continues to propose lives with the whole life method that are inconsistent with the surviving balances which has occurred in the Staff Report. In other words, if the lives proposed by Staff are applied to the full surviving plant balance of each account without segregating the vintage balances, then the annual expense will be too high.

8

#### 9 Q. IS GENERAL PLANT AMORTIZATION A NEW TECHNIQUE?

10 Α. No. The concept of amortization for General Plant was advanced by the 11 Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) in 1987 when it initiated a study of 12 retirement units for electric utilities. As a result of this study, the FPSC 13 established a \$500 capitalization criteria in 1987 (FPS Rule 25 - 6.0142 14 Uniform Retirement Units for Electric Utilities) and established 5- and 7-year 15 amortization periods for most general plant in 1991 (FPS Rule 25 - 6.04361 16 Subcategorization of Electric Plant for Depreciation Studies and Rate Design). 17

18

### 19Q.HAS THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION ("FERC")20APPROVED OF GENERAL PLANT AMORTIZATION?

A. Yes. In 1997 FERC established Accounting Release No. 15 (AR-15) to
 specifically address the merits of amortization accounting for certain general
 plant accounts.

24

### 1 Q. HAS THE GENERAL PLANT AMORTIZATION METHOD BEEN

### 2 IMPLEMENTED IN OTHER JURISDICTIONS?

- A. Yes. General Plant Amortization is utilized in some form by almost every
   other jurisdiction in the United States and every province in Canada. This
   includes electric, gas, water and wastewater utilities; some regulated by state
   jurisdictions and other also regulated by FERC.
- 7

### 8 Q. WHAT ARE THE BENEFITS OF GENERAL PLANT AMORTIZATION?

- 9
  - A. There are many benefits of the general plant amortization as follows:
- Depreciation rates and associated depreciation expense for each asset
   class will be stable over time.
- Once the method is implemented with an approved amortization 13 period, the recovery of these assets will not change.
- Once the accumulated depreciation is aligned with the amortization
   method, there will be no need for any further rebalancing and will not
   require additional ratemaking treatment.
- Ensures that only the exact cost of the asset will be recovered.
- Eliminates the need for extensive record keeping for a class of assets
   which are high volume and low dollar.
- 20

### 21 Q. DOES THE GENERAL PLANT AMORTIZATION METHOD ENSURE THAT

### 22 ASSETS ARE RETIRED AT THE END OF THEIR ASSIGNED LIFE?

- 23 A. Yes. As an example, if an asset has an amortization life of 20 years it will
- 24 automatically be retired once the asset reaches age 20.
- 25

### 26 Q. DOES THE GENERAL PLANT AMORTIZATION METHOD RESULT IN

### 27 **RECOVERY OF THE EXACT COST OF THE ASSET?**

A. Yes. The general plant amortization method ensures that only the exact cost
 of the asset will be recovered. If an asset cost \$ 1,000 then only \$ 1,000 will

- be recovered. This occurs because the asset will automatically be retired at
   the end of its amortizable life.
- 3

### 4 Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN HOW THE AMORTIZATION METHOD IS 5 IMPLEMENTED?

6 Α. Yes. First, an average service life or useful life is established for each asset 7 The useful life is determined based on the type of asset, the class. 8 functionality of the asset and the expected period of time the asset can reliably render service. An example of this would be desktop computers. A 9 10 reasonable useful life is 5 years. Second, all assets are booked by dollar 11 amount and year of installation. Third, each asset or vintage (year of 12 installation) is retired after it has been in service for the full amortization 13 period. In the example of desktop computers, that would be 5 years. This 14 means the assets will be depreciated for 5 years or at a 20% rate. Once the 15 asset (vintage) has reached its 5-year useful life and has been fully 16 depreciated, it is retired off the books. It is understood and accepted in this 17 methodology that some desktop computers will actually survive longer than 5 years and some will not stay in service for 5 years. However, the effort to 18 19 actually inventory all desktop computers each year in order to determine if the 20 5-year life is precise and then to revise the depreciation rate accordingly to 21 represent the actual dispersion pattern is not warranted. The fluctuation in 22 rates is not justified for the percentage of plant in service for all MAWC 23 assets. Additionally, the stable 20% rate is fair to all ratepayers.

24

### 1 Q. DO YOU LOSE A DEGREE OF ACCURACY WITH YOUR GENERAL

### 2 PLANT ASSET RECOVERY?

A. Not necessarily. If your useful life is properly established, then the recovery
 patterns will resemble the useful life in theory. Plus, the practice prior to
 amortization accounting represented many assets on the books longer than
 truly utilized.

7

### 8 Q. ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE FOUND THE

### 9 USE OF AMORTIZATION FOR GENERAL PLANT HARMFUL?

- 10 A. No, not at all. I am not aware of any utility that has implemented general 11 plant amortization and since gone back to depreciation, nor am I aware of any 12 regulatory body that has required the reversal of general plant amortization.
- 13

### 14 Q. WILL GENERAL PLANT AMORTIZATION REQUIRE A PERIODIC 15 RESERVE REBALANCING?

- A. No. Once the reserve is aligned to the surviving plant balance, then full
   recovery will occur in conjunction with the time the assets are on the books.
- 18

### 19Q.DO ALL ASSET CLASSES HAVE THE SAME AMORTIZATION PERIOD20AND ASSOCIATED RATE?

- A. No. Each asset class has an established useful life or amortization period
   that best represents the assets in the account.
- 23

## Q. ARE THE AMORTIZATION PERIODS UTILIZED BY MAWC SIMILAR TO OTHERS IN THE INDUSTRY?
1 A. Yes. The table below sets forth the industry ranges of amortization periods for

Water		Wastewater		
Account	Amortization Period (Industry Range)	Account	Amortization Period (Industry Range)	
340.1	15-20	390	15-20	
340.2	4-7	390.2	4-7	
340.3	8-15	392	20-25	
340.5	10-15	393	20-25	
342	20-25	394	15-20	
343	20-25	396	10-15	
344	15-20	397	15-25	
346.1	10-15			
346.2	10-15			
347	15-25			
348	15-25			

2 the asset classes MAWC currently has in service:

3

# 4 Q. CAN YOU SUMMARIZE THE POSITIONS RELATED TO GENERAL PLANT 5 AMORTIZATION ACCOUNTS?

Α. Yes. I will use water Account 340.10, Office Furniture and Equipment -6 Furniture, (Account 391.0 in Staff Report) as an example. 7 Staff has 8 recommended a 20-year life and 5 percent rate for all surviving balances as 9 of March 31, 2015. This information is based on the whole life technique and 10 the life of 20 years determined by a reasonable useful of these assets 11 established in the past few cases. The life is not based on historical 12 analyses, but judgment as to what is reasonable for these type of assets. 13 According to Staff's recommendation the 5 percent rate is applied to the 14 entire \$1,344,136.90 plant balance as of March 31, 2015 for an annual expense of \$67,207. This means assets that are from vintages 1983 through 15 16 2015 are depreciated at a 5 percent rate. Therefore, Staff continues to 17 support the 20-year life without past statistical analyses. Yet Staff wants the

Company to maintain property records of many property units with low dollar value, just in case Staff chooses to conduct statistical analyses in the future to justify a potentially new life. This is a lot of work for very little possible benefit which Staff has shown in their Report that they are not doing a statistical analysis anyway. Not only is Staff's application of lives to recovery inconsistent, but the required effort needed for a small percentage of the plant balance is unnecessary.

8 In contrast, my recommendations match the useful life with the plant 9 recovery, but it reduces property accounting time to monitor many small 10 property units with no benefit. See additional benefits on page 31 of this 11 testimony. I recommend a 20-year amortization period, however, the 5-12 percent rate is applied only to the vintage assets that are within 20 years, 13 1995 through 2015. The assets that are older than 1995, have a 0 percent 14 rate and will be retired as a component of amortization implementation. The 15 retirement will equally reduce plant and reserve balance since these assets have been fully recovered when properly implementing amortization 16 accounting. In future years, the only retirement recorded in the account is the 17 18 vintage that exceeds the amortization period. This proper application of 19 amortization accounting produces annual expense in the Study of \$46,853 for 20 Account 340.10. In addition to the consistent recovery of the appropriate 21 assets to their useful life, the amount of labor hours to maintain this practice is 22 drastically reduced.

1		VII. BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION ASSETS
2	Q.	HAS STAFF PROPOSED A RATE FOR BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION
3		(BT) ASSETS?
4	Α.	Yes. Staff proposes a 20-year life and 5 percent rate for Business
5		Transformation assets which represent software applications developed for all
6		American Water entities.
7		
8	Q.	WHAT IS THE BASIS FOR STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION?
9	A.	In the last case, the Company and Staff agreed to a 5 percent rate for these
10		assets before they were put into service in order to have a rate in place when
11		the software was actually placed in service. This rate was agreed upon until a
12		further understanding of the software application was known.
13		
14	Q.	HAVE OTHER AMERICAN WATER ENTITIES INSTALLED THE SAME BT
15		ASSETS AND HAD RATES ESTABLISHED?
16	A.	Yes. I am specifically familiar with three other American Water entities which
17		have had a 10-year amortization period and subsequent 10 percent rate
18		agreed upon.
19		VIII. <u>CONCLUSION</u>
20	Q.	CAN YOU SUMMARIZE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
21	A.	Yes. The Depreciation Study proposed by MAWC sets forth the most
22		appropriate methods and procedures for determining depreciation rates for
23		each account at the Company level. The depreciation rates are determined
24		using the most common remaining life method and includes statistical
		Page 37 MAWC – RT-JOHN J. SPANOS

analyses that incorporates the most recent plant activity and Company plans.
Additionally, the MAWC Depreciation Study includes the most widely
accepted general plant amortization method which the Missouri Staff has
accepted in recent cases for other Missouri companies.

5 In contrast, Staff has recommended the utilization of depreciation rates 6 and parameters that were agreed upon in the 2011 rate case which reflected 7 historical data through December 2008. Also, Staff recommends the whole 8 life method that does not monitor or self-correct the reserve variance which is 9 the basis for negative rate base or reserve adjustments. The resulting 10 negative rate base and negative reserve adjustments would not exist at the 11 Company level if properly applying the rates at the designed level. Finally, 12 Staff applies whole life rates based on amortization periods for certain general 13 plant accounts, but does not accept the manner at which those rates are 14 determined.

15

# 16 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

17 A. Yes, it does.

JJS-R2

MISSOURI AMERICAN WATER COMPANY ACCOUNT 304.20 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - POWER AND PUMPING ORIGINAL AND SMOOTH SURVIVOR CURVES



# ACCOUNT 304.20 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - POWER AND PUMPING

# ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE

PLACEMENT BAND 1888-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
0.0	19,410,347 19,389,884	409 7,661	0.0000	1.0000 0.9996	100.00 100.00
1.5 2.5	19,204,146	1,913	0.0001	0.9999	99.96
3.5	18,662,556 17,670,199	2,938	0.0002	0.9998	99.95
4.5	17,530,353	17,059 16,871	0.0010	0.9990	99.93
5.5	17,347,303	206,337	0.0010 0.0119	0.9990 0.9881	99.84 99.74
6.5	16,508,082	13,691	0.0008	0.9992	98.55
7.5	15,795,007	35,469	0.0022	0.9978	98.47
8.5	15,299,117	13,175	0.0009	0.9991	98.25
9.5	15,255,174	47,429	0.0031	0.9969	98.17
10.5	15,206,058	14,856	0.0010	0.9990	97.86
11.5	15,165,954	6,093	0.0004	0.9996	97.77
12.5	14,581,093	14,791	0.0010	0.9990	97.73
13.5	14,536,351	8,723	0.0006	0.9994	97.63
14.5	9,900,004	35,356	0.0036	0.9964	97.57
15.5	9,463,902	58,955	0.0062	0.9938	97.22
16.5 17.5	8,779,404	21,433	0.0024	0.9976	96.61
18.5	7,459,957 7,359,418	61,174 14,755	0.0082 0.0020	0.9918 0.9980	96.38 95.59
19.5	7,237,218	30,179	0.0042	0.9958	95.40
20.5	7,083,602	34,609	0.0049	0.9951	95.00
21.5	6,101,201	23,074	0.0038	0.9962	94.53
22.5	6,038,881	9,526	0.0016	0.9984	94.18
23.5	4,946,564	3,341	0.0007	0.9993	94.03
24.5	4,725,922	35,075	0.0074	0.9926	93.97
25.5	4,014,048	3,424	0.0009	0.9991	93.27
26.5	3,830,118	14,405	0.0038	0.9962	93.19
27.5	3,665,426	34,421	0.0094	0.9906	92.84
28.5	3,076,501	23,468	0.0076	0.9924	91.97
29.5	2,911,446	13,236	0.0045	0.9955	91.26
30.5	2,842,169	20,111	0.0071	0.9929	90.85
31.5	2,810,927	57,761	0.0205	0.9795	90.21
32.5	2,687,496	7,663	0.0029	0.9971	88.35
33.5	2,635,948	16,533	0.0063	0.9937	88.10
34.5	2,506,443	2,166	0.0009	0.9991	87.55
35.5	2,385,572	27,041	0.0113	0.9887	87.47
36.5	2,310,506	5,406	0.0023	0.9977	86.48
37.5	2,304,801	116,324	0.0505	0.9495	86.28
38.5	2,188,671	4,102	0.0019	0.9981	81.92

# ACCOUNT 304.20 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - POWER AND PUMPING

# ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1888-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
39.5	2,150,443	6,592	0.0031	0.9969	81.77
40.5	2,134,446	8,951	0.0042	0.9958	81.52
41.5	2,070,590	5,887	0.0028	0.9972	81.18
42.5	2,058,323	722	0.0004	0.9996	80.95
43.5	2,039,281	34,633	0.0170	0.9830	80.92
44.5	1,998,068	11,168	0.0056	0.9944	79.54
45.5	1,955,946	11,845	0.0061	0.9939	79.10
46.5	1,906,049	5,120	0.0027	0.9973	78.62
47.5	1,888,905	3,695	0.0020	0.9980	78.41
48.5	1,848,565	1,076	0.0006	0.9994	78.26
49.5	1,820,794	2,520	0.0014	0.9986	78.21
50.5	1,816,840	6,538	0.0036	0.9964	78.10
51.5	1,784,502	2,304	0.0013	0.9987	77.82
52.5	1,761,015	726	0.0004	0.9996	77.72
53.5	1,720,117	3,421	0.0020	0.9980	77.69
54.5	1,633,068	693	0.0004	0.9996	77.53
55.5	1,629,022	1,505	0.0009	0.9991	77.50
56.5	1,622,407	309	0.0002	0.9998	77.43
57.5	1,588,711	12,957	0.0082	0.9918	77.42
58.5	1,377,336	242	0.0002	0.9998	76.78
59.5	546,475	4,993	0.0091	0.9909	76.77
60.5	470,329	3,758	0.0080	0.9920	76.07
61.5	462,724	160	0.0003	0.9997	75.46
62.5	462,564	3,944	0.0085	0.9915	75.44
63.5	458,193	14,100	0.0308	0.9692	74.79
64.5	436,359	23,348	0.0535	0.9465	72.49
65.5	366,702	6,167	0.0168	0.9832	68.61
66.5	319,781	236	0.0007	0.9993	67.46
67.5	296,199	633	0.0021	0.9979	67.41
68.5	293,522	1,550	0.0053	0.9947	67.26
69.5	284,714	631	0.0022	0.9978	66.91
70.5	274,133	1,444	0.0053	0.9947	66.76
71.5	270,179	157	0.0006	0.9994	66.41
72.5	269,223	264	0.0010	0.9990	66.37
73.5	266,757	552	0.0021	0.9979	66.31
74.5	266,069	36,593	0.1375	0.8625	66.17
75.5	229,473	3,661	0.0160	0.9840	57.07
76.5	222,016	5,100	0.0230	0.9770	56.16
77.5	216,766	236	0.0011	0.9989	54.87
78.5	216,267	126	0.0006	0.9994	54.81

# ACCOUNT 304.20 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - POWER AND PUMPING

# ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1888-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
79.5 80.5 81.5 82.5 83.5	216,085 214,360 212,385 210,873 77,235	1,722 675 672 7,605 302	0.0080 0.0031 0.0032 0.0361 0.0039	0.9920 0.9969 0.9968 0.9639 0.9961	54.78 54.34 54.17 54.00 52.05
84.5 85.5 86.5 87.5 88.5	76,933 73,249 73,173 73,112 67,333	300 76 27 27	0.0039 0.0010 0.0004 0.0004 0.0000	0.9961 0.9990 0.9996 0.9996 1.0000	51.85 51.64 51.59 51.57 51.55
89.5 90.5 91.5 92.5 93.5	67,333 66,328 66,328 58,574 53,269	1,005 7,755 508	0.0149 0.0000 0.1169 0.0087 0.0000	0.9851 1.0000 0.8831 0.9913 1.0000	51.55 50.78 50.78 44.85 44.46
94.5 95.5 96.5 97.5 98.5	53,269 51,603 51,603 51,603 51,603	l,667	0.0313 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.9687 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	44.46 43.07 43.07 43.07 43.07
99.5 100.5 101.5 102.5 103.5	51,283 48,408 48,408 41,585 40,464	2,875 6,823 2,715	0.0561 0.0000 0.1409 0.0000 0.0671	0.9439 1.0000 0.8591 1.0000 0.9329	43.07 40.65 40.65 34.92 34.92
104.5 105.5 106.5 107.5 108.5	33,508 32,891 32,891 32,891 32,891 32,891	546 6,502	0.0163 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.1977	0.9837 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.8023	32.58 32.05 32.05 32.05 32.05 32.05
109.5 110.5 111.5 112.5 113.5 114.5 115.5 116.5 117.5 118.5	26,389 26,389 16,514 16,514 16,514 10,478 10,478 6,726 6,726 6,726	2,509	0.0000 0.0951 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 0.9049 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	25.71 25.71 23.27 23.27 23.27 23.27 23.27 23.27 23.27 23.27 23.27 23.27 23.27

# ACCOUNT 304.20 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - POWER AND PUMPING

# ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1888-2014

#### EXPERIENCE BAND 1939-2014

.

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
119.5	6,726		0.0000	1.0000	23.27
120.5	6,726		0.0000	1.0000	23.27
121.5	6,726	181	0.0270	0.9730	23.27
122.5	2,100		0.0000	1.0000	22.64
123.5	2,100		0.0000	1.0000	22.64
124.5	2,100		0.0000	1.0000	22.64
125.5	2,100	2,100	1.0000		22.64
126.5					

# ACCOUNT 304.20 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - POWER AND PUMPING

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE

PLACEMENT BAND 1888-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
0.0	16,406,458	15	0.0000	1.0000	100.00
0.5	16,513,773	6,499	0.0004	0.9996	100.00
1.5	16,362,554	773	0.0000	1.0000	99.96
2.5	15,944,153	2,938	0.0002	0.9998	99.96
3.5	15,002,088	9,334	0.0006	0.9994	99.94
4.5	15,042,031	16,135	0.0011	0.9989	99.88
5.5	15,026,069	187,693	0.0125	0.9875	99.77
6.5	14,256,960	12,985	0.0009	0.9991	98.52
7.5	13,409,129	32,113	0.0024	0.9976	98.43
8.5	12,925,761	1,394	0.0001	0.9999	98.20
9.5	12,932,345	47,348	0.0037	0.9963	98.19
10.5	12,918,861	12,399	0.0010	0.9990	97.83
11.5	12,952,212	4,467	0.0003	0.9997	97.73
12.5	12,371,781	14,141	0.0011	0.9989	97.70
13.5	12,334,638	8,086	0.0007	0.9993	97.59
14.5	7,709,956	33,390	0.0043	0.9957	97.52
15.5	7,313,380	58,150	0.0080	0.9920	97.10
16.5	6,674,840	21,433	0.0032	0.9968	96.33
17.5	5,381,359	53,900	0.0100	0.9900	96.02
18.5	5,327,104	4,326	0.0008	0.9992	95.06
19.5	5,245,876	24,037	0.0046	0.9954	94.98
20.5	5,111,299	30,502	0.0060	0.9940	94.55
21.5	4,167,406	18,603	0.0045	0.9955	93.98
22.5	4,216,267	8,444	0.0020	0.9980	93.56
23.5	3,147,369	1,439	0.0005	0.9995	93.37
24.5	3,019,808	28,587	0.0095	0.9905	93.33
25.5	2,322,603	3,374	0.0015	0.9985	92.45
26.5	2,146,448	12,853	0.0060	0.9940	92.31
27.5	2,017,903	33,636	0.0167	0.9833	91.76
28.5	1,678,838	22,910	0.0136	0.9864	90.23
29.5	2,395,726	12,377	0.0052	0.9948	89.00
30.5	2,398,304	13,608	0.0057	0.9943	88.54
31.5	2,376,810	57,461	0.0242	0.9758	88.04
32.5	2,253,695	7,513	0.0033	0.9967	85.91
33.5	2,206,522	16,190	0.0073	0.9927	85.62
34.5	2,103,549	1,521	0.0007	0.9993	84.99
35.5	2,030,688	26,941	0.0133	0.9867	84.93
36.5	2,006,916	5,406	0.0027	0.9973	83.81
37.5	2,024,812	116,324	0.0574	0.9426	83.58
38.5	1,904,992	4,102	0.0022	0.9978	78.78

ACCOUNT 304.20 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - POWER AND PUMPING

# ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1888-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE	RETMT	SURV	PCT SURV BEGIN OF
INTERVAL	AGE INTERVAL	INTERVAL	RATIO	RATIO	INTERVAL
39.5	1,874,488	6,592	0.0035	0.9965	78,61
40.5	1,863,166	8,911	0.0048	0.9952	78.33
41.5	1,803,303	5,887	0.0033	0.9967	77.96
42.5	1,791,992	722	0.0004	0.9996	77.70
43.5	1,776,109	34,513	0.0194	0.9806	77.67
44.5	1,735,540	4,426	0.0025	0.9975	76.16
45.5	1,701,287	8,233	0.0048	0.9952	75.97
46.5	1,654,155	4,760	0.0029	0.9971	75.60
47.5	1,631,019	3,695	0.0023	0.9977	75.38
48.5	1,591,053	1,076	0.0007	0.9993	75.21
49.5	1,563,464	2,520	0.0016	0.9984	75.16
50.5	1,554,186	6,538	0.0042	0.9958	75.04
51.5	1,523,823	2,304	0.0015	0.9985	74.73
52.5	1,502,888	726	0.0005	0.9995	74.61
53.5	1,596,607	2,831	0.0018	0.9982	74.58
54.5	1,510,149	693	0.0005	0.9995	74.44
55.5	1,509,534	1,505	0.0010	0.9990	74.41
56.5	1,502,919	91	0.0001	0.9999	74.34
57.5	1,469,502	12,957	0.0088	0.9912	74.33
58.5	1,263,905	242	0.0002	0.9998	73.68
59.5	468,621	4,993	0.0107	0.9893	73.66
60.5	392,474	3,758	0,0096	0.9904	72.88
61.5	384,869	160	0.0004	0.9996	72.18
62.5	384,709	3,944	0.0103	0.9897	72.15
63.5	385,460	14,100	0.0366	0.9634	71.41
64.5	363,626	23,348	0.0642	0.9358	68.80
65.5	293,970	6,167	0.0210	0.9790	64.38
66.5	247,048	236	0.0010	0.9990	63.03
67.5	223,466	633	0.0028	0.9972	62.97
68.5	220,789	1,550	0.0070	0.9930	62.79
69.5	212,301	237	0.0011	0.9989	62.35
70.5	207,251	1,444	0.0070	0.9930	62.28
71.5	203,297	157	0.0008	0.9992	61.85
72.5	202,341	264	0.0013	0.9987	61.80
73.5	200,996	254	0.0013	0.9987	61.72
74.5	207,842	36,593	0.1761	0.8239	61.64
75.5	171,864	2,478	0.0144	0.9856	50.79
76.5	173,112		0.0000	1.0000	50.05
77.5	172,962	236	0.0014	0.9986	50.05
78.5	172,463	126	0.0007	0.9993	49.99

#### ACCOUNT 304.20 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - POWER AND PUMPING

# ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1888-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
79.5	172,581	1,722	0.0100	0.9900	49.95
80.5	170,856	675	0.0039	0.9961	49.45
81.5	181,936	672	0.0037	0.9963	49.26
82.5	180,424	7,605	0.0422	0.9578	49.07
83.5	46,786		0.0000	1.0000	47.01
84.5	52,822	300	0.0057	0.9943	47.01
85.5	49,138		0.0000	1.0000	46.74
86.5	54,677	27	0.0005	0.9995	46.74
87.5	54,616	27	0.0005	0.9995	46.72
88.5	48,838		0.0000	1.0000	46.69
89.5	48,838	1,005	0.0206	0.9794	46.69
90.5	47,833		0.0000	1.0000	45.73
91.5	47,833	7,523	0.1573	0.8427	45.73
92.5	44,936	508	0.0113	0.9887	38.54
93.5	46,133		0.0000	1.0000	38.10
94.5	46,133	1,667	0.0361	0.9639	38.10
95.5	44,467		0.0000	1.0000	36.73
96.5	51,603		0.0000	1.0000	36.73
97.5	51,603		0.0000	1.0000	36.73
98.5	51,603		0.0000	1.0000	36,73
99.5	51,283	2,875	0.0561	0.9439	36.73
100.5	48,408		0.0000	1.0000	34.67
101.5	48,408	6,823	0.1409	0.8591	34.67
102.5	41,585		0.0000	1.0000	29.78
103.5	40,464	2,715	0.0671	0.9329	29.78
104.5	33,508	546	0.0163	0.9837	27.78
105.5	32,891		0.0000	1.0000	27.33
106.5	32,891		0.0000	1.0000	27.33
107.5	32,891		0.0000	1.0000	27.33
108.5	32,891	6,502	0.1977	0.8023	27.33
109.5	26,389		0.0000	1.0000	21.93
110.5	26,389	2,509	0.0951	0.9049	21.93
111.5	16,514		0.0000	1.0000	19.84
112.5	16,514		0.0000	1.0000	19.84
113.5	16,514		0.0000	1.0000	19.84
114.5	10,478		0.0000	1.0000	19.84
115.5	10,478		0.0000	1.0000	19.84
116.5	6,726		0.0000	1.0000	19.84
117.5	6,726		0.0000	1.0000	19.84
118.5	6,726		0.0000	1.0000	19.84

# ACCOUNT 304.20 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - POWER AND PUMPING

# ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1888-2014

.

.

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
119.5 120.5 121.5 122.5 123.5 124.5 125.5 126.5	6,726 6,726 6,726 2,100 2,100 2,100 2,100	181 2,100	0.0000 0.0270 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 1.0000	1.0000 1.0000 0.9730 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	19.84 19.84 19.31 19.31 19.31 19.31 19.31

MISSOURI AMERICAN WATER COMPANY ACCOUNT 304.30 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - WATER TREATMENT ORIGINAL AND SMOOTH SURVIVOR CURVES



# ACCOUNT 304.30 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - WATER TREATMENT

# ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE

PLACEMENT BAND 1898-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE	RETMT	SURV	PCT SURV BEGIN OF
INTERVAL	AGE INTERVAL	INTERVAL	RATIO	RATIO	INTERVAL
0.0	100 500 310	2.0.2		1 0 0 0 0	
0.0	108,502,317	303	0.0000	1.0000	100.00
0.5	106,228,132	1,789	0.0000	1.0000	100.00
1.5	105,777,490	216,414	0.0020	0.9980	100.00
2.5	104,651,117	9,016	0.0001	0.9999	99.79
3.5	102,730,601	29,582	0.0003	0.9997	99.78
4.5	101,766,083	98,562	0.0010	0.9990	99.76
5.5	100,762,388	62,287	0.0006	0.9994	99.66
6.5	83,656,349	20,498	0.0002	0.9998	99.60
7.5	76,156,897	73,845	0.0010	0.9990	99.57
8.5	74,773,243	64,928	0.0009	0.9991	99.48
9.5	74,633,180	73,099	0.0010	0.9990	99.39
10.5	73,785,782	109,115	0.0015	0.9985	99.29
11.5	73,401,629	452,676	0.0062	0.9938	99.15
12.5	67,153,769	37,242	0.0006	0.9994	98.53
13.5	65,246,541	101,894	0.0016	0.9984	98.48
14.5	38,552,876	76,683	0.0020	0.9980	98.33
15.5	38,358,228	60,966	0.0016	0.9984	98.13
16.5	35,545,742	189,417	0.0053	0.9947	97.97
17.5	34,015,500	42,001	0.0012	0.9988	97.45
18.5	32,973,501	89,544	0.0027	0.9973	97.33
19.5	30,993,759	45,839	0.0015	0.9985	97.07
20.5	29,508,330	273,633	0.0093	0.9907	96.92
21.5	21,785,535	58,998	0.0027	0.9973	96.03
22.5	21,323,528	50,361	0.0024	0.9976	95.77
23.5	20,832,548	110,946	0.0053	0.9947	95.54
24.5	20,566,142	46,282	0.0023	0.9977	95.03
25.5	17,724,871	379,962	0.0214	0.9786	94.82
26.5	17,215,899	32,855	0.0019	0.9981	92.78
27.5	16,928,448	19,009	0.0011	0.9989	92.61
28.5	16,125,160	14,758	0.0009	0.9991	92.50
29.5	13,971,571	11,556	0.0008	0.9992	92.42
30.5	13,946,619	202,700	0.0145	0.9855	92.34
31.5	13,739,936	19,819	0.0014	0.9986	91.00
32.5	13,705,195	8,823	0.0006	0.9994	90.87
33.5	13,685,413	43,199	0.0032	0.9968	90.81
34.5	13,625,723	38,012	0.0028	0.9972	90.52
35.5	13,592,936	118,929	0.0087	0.9913	90.27
36.5	13,444,584	57,681	0.0043	0.9957	89.48
37.5	12,397,308	30,973	0.0045	0.9975	89.10
38.5	12,363,885	33,804	0.0023	0.9973	88.87
	12,000,000	55,004	0.0021	0.210	00.07

# ACCOUNT 304.30 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - WATER TREATMENT

# ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1898-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
39.5	12,321,898	9,499	0.0008	0.9992	88.63
40.5	12,264,870	9,592	0.0008	0.9992	88.56
41.5	11,292,064	26,503	0.0023	0.9977	88.49
42.5	11,258,916	22,836	0.0020	0.9980	88.29
43.5	8,203,102	40,319	0.0049	0.9951	88.11
44.5	8,144,191	1,111	0.0001	0.9999	87.67
45.5	8,132,693	52,000	0.0064	0.9936	87.66
46.5	4,869,394	30,090	0.0062	0.9938	87.10
47.5	4,515,499	10,265	0.0023	0.9977	86.56
48.5	4,502,638	4,648	0.0010	0.9990	86.37
49.5	4,449,695	10,038	0.0023	0.9977	86.28
50.5	3,538,597	50,566	0.0143	0.9857	86.08
51.5	3,487,743	10,040	0.0029	0.9971	84.85
52.5	3,472,264	6,833	0.0020	0.9980	84.61
53.5	3,465,748	219	0.0001	0.9999	84.44
54.5	3,127,464	22,941	0.0073	0.9927	84.44
55.5	3,010,424	2,892	0.0010	0.9990	83.82
56.5	3,006,278	30,306	0.0101	0.9899	83.74
57.5	2,975,871	109,405	0.0368	0.9632	82.89
58.5	2,610,221	912	0.0003	0.9997	79.85
59.5	1,798,994	15,724	0.0087	0.9913	79.82
60.5	1,777,576	6,447	0.0036	0.9964	79.12
61.5	875,941	222,355	0.2538	0.7462	78.83
62.5	653,566	497	0.0008	0.9992	58.82
63.5	652,545	3,421	0.0052	0.9948	58.78
64.5	648,688		0.0000	1.0000	58.47
65.5	648,687	3,285	0.0051	0.9949	58.47
66.5	645,402	41	0.0001	0.9999	58.17
67.5	602,994	100	0.0002	0.9998	58.17
68.5	602,895	186	0.0003	0.9997	58.16
69.5	602,611	7	0.0000	1.0000	58.14
70.5	601,860	1,084	0.0018	0.9982	58.14
71.5	600,776	192	0.0003	0.9997	58.04
72.5	600,397	1,751	0.0029	0.9971	58.02
73.5	598,643	691	0.0012	0.9988	57.85
74.5	619,945	34,578	0.0558	0.9442	57.78
75.5	266,275	129	0.0005	0.9995	54.56
76.5	264,283	116	0.0004	0.9996	54.53
77.5	261,964	3,342	0.0128	0.9872	54.51
78.5	223,097		0.0000	1.0000	53.81

# ACCOUNT 304.30 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - WATER TREATMENT

# ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1898-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
79.5 80.5 81.5 82.5	222,745 222,111 221,581	477 773	0.0021 0.0000 0.0035	0.9979 1.0000 0.9965	53.81 53.70 53.70
83.5 84.5 85.5	218,723 218,059 218,059 196,065	663	0.0030 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.9970 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	53.51 53.35 53.35 53.35
86.5 87.5 88.5	196,027 121,243 98,282	3,965 172	0.0000 0.0327 0.0018	1.0000 0.9673 0.9982	53.35 53.35 51.60
89.5 90.5 91.5 92.5	70,641 70,299 40,523 40,523	342 395	0.0048 0.0056 0.0000 0.0000	0.9952 0.9944 1.0000 1.0000	51.51 51.26 50.98 50.98
93.5 94.5 95.5 96.5 97.5	40,523 40,523 40,523 40,523 40,523 40,438	85	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0021 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.9979 1.0000	50.98 50.98 50.98 50.98 50.98
98.5 99.5 100.5	40,438 40,438 40,438 40,438		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	50.87 50.87 50.87 50.87
101.5 102.5 103.5 104.5 105.5 106.5	33,068 31,024 31,024 31,024 31,024 31,024	2,045	0.0618 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.9382 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	50.87 47.72 47.72 47.72 47.72 47.72
107.5 108.5 109.5	31,024 31,024 30,987	37	0.0000 0.0012 0.0000	1.0000 0.9988 1.0000	47.72 47.72 47.67
110.5 111.5 112.5	30,987 1,819	1,210	0.0000 0.6648	1.0000 0.3352	47.67 47.67 15.98

#### ACCOUNT 304.30 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - WATER TREATMENT

# ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE

PLACEMENT BAND 1898-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
0.0	94,546,126		0.0000	1.0000	100.00
0.5	92,303,584	468	0.0000	1.0000	100.00
1.5	91,901,219	215,020	0.0023	0.9977	100.00
2.5	90,762,612	8,805	0.0001	0.9999	99.77
3.5	88,862,658	23,325	0.0003	0.9997	99.76
4.5	88,038,628	98,332	0.0011	0.9989	99.73
5.5	87,100,633	62,110	0.0007	0.9993	99.62
6.5	70,064,001	19,011	0.0003	0.9997	99.55
7.5	63,394,638	69,771	0.0011	0.9989	99.52
8.5	62,038,700	17,004	0.0003	0.9997	99.41
9.5	61,957,369	68,612	0.0011	0.9989	99.38
10.5	61,170,277	100,935	0.0017	0.9983	99.27
11.5	61,706,917	450,295	0.0073	0.9927	99.11
12.5	55,458,857	36,919	0.0007	0.9993	98.39
13.5	56,593,201	100,391	0.0018	0.9982	98.32
14.5	29,933,341	74,615	0.0025	0.9975	98.15
15.5	29,903,782	57,899	0.0019	0.9981	97.90
16.5	30,349,016	187,114	0.0062	0.9938	97.71
17.5	29,171,047	38,301	0.0013	0.9987	97.11
18.5	28,139,904	85,078	0.0030	0.9970	96.98
19.5	26,217,678	43,489	0.0017	0.9983	96.69
20.5	25,664,120	272,859	0.0106	0.9894	96.53
21.5	17,945,495	58,394	0.0033	0.9967	95.50
22.5	17,501,246	44,919	0.0026	0.9974	95.19
23.5	17,018,471	106,145	0.0062	0.9938	94.95
24.5	17,159,151	40,717	0.0024	0.9976	94.36
25.5	14,438,408	377,330	0.0261	0.9739	94.13
26.5	13,935,332	30,382	0.0022	0.9978	91,67
27.5	13,683,520	16,250	0.0012	0.9988	91.47
28.5	13,287,887	13,876	0.0010	0.9990	91.36
29.5	12,053,993	10,037	0.0008	0.9992	91.27
30.5	12,048,492	202,444	0.0168	0.9832	91.19
31.5	12,822,971	7,048	0.0005	0.9995	89.66
32.5	12,812,113	8,823	0.0007	0.9993	89.61
33.5	12,795,891	42,649	0.0033	0.9967	89.55
34.5	12,741,573	36,912	0.0029	0.9971	89.25
35.5	12,680,000	118,929	0.0094	0.9906	88.99
36.5	12,530,916	57,681	0.0046	0.9954	88.16
37.5	11,526,889	28,065	0.0024	0.9976	87.75
38.5	11,497,556	33,804	0.0029	0.9971	87.54

#### ACCOUNT 304.30 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - WATER TREATMENT

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1898-2014

EXPERIENCE BAND 1985-2014

>

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
39.5	11,457,440	9,499	0.0008	0.9992	87.28
40.5	11,398,190	9,561	0.0008	0.9992	87.21
41.5	10,425,631	26,503	0.0025	0.9975	87.13
42.5	10,393,047	22,836	0.0022	0.9978	86.91
43.5	7,338,950	40,193	0.0055	0.9945	86.72
44.5	7,280,637	1,111	0.0002	0.9998	86.25
45.5	7,606,458	52,000	0.0068	0.9932	86.23
46.5	4,346,469	27,798	0.0064	0.9936	85.64
47.5	4,014,646	10,265	0.0026	0.9974	85.10
48.5	4,051,478	4,648	0.0011	0.9989	84.88
49.5	3,999,239	10,038	0.0025	0.9975	84.78
50.5	3,088,775	50,566	0.0164	0.9836	84.57
51.5	3,038,451	10,040	0.0033	0.9967	83.18
52.5	3,027,521	6,547	0.0022	0.9978	82.91
53.5	3,232,522	219	0.0001	0.9999	82.73
54.5	2,894,237	22,941	0.0079	0.9921	82.72
55.5	2,777,197	2,392	0.0009	0.9991	82.07
56.5	2,773,590	30,306	0.0109	0.9891	82.00
57.5	2,820,366	109,405	0.0388	0.9612	81.10
58.5	2,473,712	912	0.0004	0.9996	77.96
59.5	1,722,709	15,724	0.0091	0.9909	77.93
60.5	1,701,291	6,447	0.0038	0.9962	77.22
61.5	829,037	222,355	0.2682	0.7318	76.92
62.5	606,662	497	0.0008	0.9992	56.29
63.5	605,641	3,421	0.0056	0.9944	56.25
64.5	601,784		0.0000	1.0000	55.93
65.5	601,783	3,285	0.0055	0.9945	55.93
66.5	598,498	41	0.0001	0.9999	55.62
67.5	556,090	100	0.0002	0.9998	55.62
68.5	556,075	186	0.0003	0.9997	55.61
69.5	555,791	7	0.0000	1.0000	55.59
70.5	555,040	1,084	0.0020	0.9980	55.59
71.5	561,326	192	0.0003	0.9997	55.48
72.5	565,069	413	0.0007	0.9993	55.46
73.5	564,653	691	0.0012	0.9988	55.42
74.5	585,955	34,578	0.0590	0.9410	55.35
75.5	232,285	129	0.0006	0.9994	52.09
76.5	230,293	116	0.0005	0.9995	52.06
77.5	227,974	3,342	0.0147	0.9853	52.03
78.5	189,107		0.0000	1.0000	51.27

# ACCOUNT 304.30 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - WATER TREATMENT

# ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1898-2014

.

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
79.5 80.5 81.5 82.5 83.5	188,756 188,122 216,759 215,758 215,094	477 773 663	0.0025 0.0000 0.0036 0.0031 0.0000	0.9975 1.0000 0.9964 0.9969 1.0000	51.27 51.14 51.14 50.96 50.80
84.5 85.5 86.5 87.5 88.5	215,094 193,100 196,027 121,243 98,282	3,965 172	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0327 0.0018	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.9673 0.9982	50.80 50.80 50.80 50.80 49.14
89.5 90.5 91.5 92.5 93.5 94.5 95.5	70,641 70,299 40,523 40,523 40,523 40,523 40,523	342 395	0.0048 0.0056 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.9952 0.9944 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	49.05 48.82 48.54 48.54 48.54 48.54 48.54 48.54
96.5 97.5 98.5 99.5 100.5 101.5	40,523 40,438 40,438 40,438 40,438 40,438 33,068	85 2,045	0.0021 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0618	0.9979 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.9382	48.54 48.44 48.44 48.44 48.44 48.44 48.44
102.5 103.5 104.5 105.5 106.5 107.5 108.5	31,024 31,024 31,024 31,024 31,024 31,024 31,024 31,024	37	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0012	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.9988	45.44 45.44 45.44 45.44 45.44 45.44 45.44 45.44 45.44
109.5 110.5 111.5 112.5	30,987 30,987 1,819	1,210	0.0000 0.0000 0.6648	1.0000 1.0000 0.3352	45.39 45.39 45.39 15.21

MISSOURI AMERICAN WATER COMPANY ACCOUNT 304.61 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - OFFICE BUILDINGS ORIGINAL AND SMOOTH SURVIVOR CURVES



# ACCOUNT 304.61 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - OFFICE BUILDINGS

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE

PLACEMENT BAND 1888-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
0.0	13,873,000		0.0000	1.0000	100.00
0.5	12,285,024		0.0000	1.0000	100.00
1.5	10,807,276	21,731	0.0020	0.9980	100.00
2.5	9,370,646	7,808	0.0008	0.9992	99.80
3.5	8,640,394	2,325	0.0003	0.9997	99.72
4.5	8,410,449	34,022	0.0040	0.9960	99.69
5.5	8,216,818	46,386	0.0056	0.9944	99.29
6.5	2,954,092	28,886	0.0098	0.9902	98.73
7.5	2,020,242	20,916	0.0104	0.9896	97.76
8.5	1,944,685	1,662	0.0009	0.9991	96.75
9.5	1,930,952	8,714	0.0045	0.9955	96.66
10.5	1,918,169	35,366	0.0184	0.9816	96.23
11.5	1,866,625	57,218	0.0307	0.9693	94.45
12.5	1,786,938	858,969	0.4807	0.5193	91.56
13.5	919,713	8,886	0.0097	0.9903	47.55
14.5	902,229	882	0.0010	0.9990	47.09
15.5	894,675	23,406	0.0262	0.9738	47.04
16.5	822,788	4,767	0.0058	0.9942	45.81
17.5	779,483	12,098	0.0155	0.9845	45.55
18.5	653,107	4,939	0.0076	0.9924	44.84
19.5	617,824	16,816	0.0272	0.9728	44.50
20.5	532,968	16,026	0.0301	0.9699	43.29
21.5	490,324	8,348	0.0170	0.9830	41.99
22.5	474,634	3,227	0.0068	0.9932	41.27
23.5	464,494	2,516	0.0054	0.9946	40.99
24.5	381,984	3,195	0.0084	0.9916	40.77
25.5	378,789	8,822	0.0233	0.9767	40.43
26.5	357,115	1,660	0.0046	0.9954	39.49
27.5	355,455	443	0.0012	0.9988	39.30
28.5	298,118	4,967	0.0167	0.9833	39,25
29.5	291,623		0.0000	1.0000	38.60
30.5	289,477	5,708	0.0197	0.9803	38.60
31.5	309,639	3,594	0.0116	0.9884	37.84
32.5	272,208		0.0000	1.0000	37.40
33.5	271,284	8,378	0.0309	0.9691	37.40
34.5	262,907	23,633	0.0899	0.9101	36.24
35.5	231,691	61	0.0003	0.9997	32.99
36.5	219,706		0.0000	1.0000	32.98
37.5	219,706		0.0000	1.0000	32.98
38.5	219,706	6,769	0.0308	0.9692	32.98

# ACCOUNT 304.61 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - OFFICE BUILDINGS

# ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1888-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
39.5 40.5 41.5 42.5	212,510 212,510 210,289 210,289	917	0.0000 0.0043 0.0000	1.0000 0.9957 1.0000	31.96 31.96 31.82
43.5 44.5 45.5	131,068 131,068 130,542	229	0.0000 0.0000 0.0017 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 0.9983 1.0000	31.82 31.82 31.82 31.77
46.5 47.5 48.5	130,542 130,542 130,430	2,311	0.0000 0.0000 0.0177	1.0000 1.0000 0.9823	31.77 31.77 31.77
49.5 50.5 51.5 52.5	118,152 116,572 65,992 32,197	2,365 32,433	0.0200 0.0000 0.4915 0.0000	0.9800 1.0000 0.5085 1.0000	31.21 30.58 30.58 15.55
53.5 54.5 55.5	32,197 32,197 7,347 7,347		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	15.55 15.55 15.55
56.5 57.5 58.5	7,051 7,002 5,056	1,946	0.0000 0.2780 0.0000	1.0000 0.7220 1.0000	15.55 15.55 11.23
59.5 60.5 61.5	4,492 4,492 4,492	95	0.0000 0.0000 0.0211	1.0000 1.0000 0.9789	11.23 11.23 11.23
62.5 63.5 64.5 65.5	4,397 4,370 4,370 4,370	27	0.0061 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.9939 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	10.99 10.92 10.92 10.92
66.5 67.5 68.5	4,370 4,370 4,370		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	10.92 10.92 10.92
69.5 70.5 71.5	4,370 4,370 4,370	407	0.0000 0.0000 0.0931	1.0000 1.0000 0.9069	10.92 10.92 10.92
72.5 73.5 74.5 75.5	3,963 3,963 3,963 3,963 3,963		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	9.91 9.91 9.91 9.91
76.5 77.5 78.5	3,963 3,963 3,963 3,963		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	9.91 9.91 9.91 9.91

## ACCOUNT 304.61 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - OFFICE BUILDINGS

# ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1888-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL		RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
79.5	3,879		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
80.5	3,879		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
81.5	3,879		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
82.5	3,879		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
83.5	3,879		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
84.5	3,879		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
85.5	3,879		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
86.5	3,173		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
87.5	2,306		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
88.5	2,306		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
89.5	2,306		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
90.5	2,306		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
91.5	2,084		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
92.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
93.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
94.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
95.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
96.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
97.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
98.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
99.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
100.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
101.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
102.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
103.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
104.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
105.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
106.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
107.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
108.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
109.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
110.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
111.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
112.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
113.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
114.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
115.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
116.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
117.5	945		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
118.5	945		0.0000	1.0000	9.91

# ACCOUNT 304.61 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - OFFICE BUILDINGS

# ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1888-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
119.5	945		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
120.5	945		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
121.5	945		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
122.5	945		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
123.5	945		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
124.5	945		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
125.5	945		0.0000	1.0000	9.91
126.5					9.91

# ACCOUNT 304.61 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - OFFICE BUILDINGS

# ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE

PLACEMENT BAND 1888-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
0.0 0.5 1.5 2.5	13,482,818 11,897,404 10,459,004 9,064,222	21,731 7,808	0.0000 0.0000 0.0021 0.0009	1.0000 1.0000 0.9979 0.9991	100.00 100.00 100.00 99.79
3.5 4.5	8,340,916 8,113,002	2,176 33,932	0.0003 0.0042	0.9997 0.9958	99.71 99.68
5.5 6.5	7,929,297 2,678,495	46,386 28,886	0.0058 0.0108	0.9942 0.9892	99.26 98.68
7.5 8.5	1,746,747 1,674,462	19,134 1,203	0.0110 0.0007	0.9890 0.9993	97.62 96.55
9.5 10.5	1,662,521 1,653,713	8,385 23,874	0.0050	0.9950	96.48 95.99
11.5	1,616,126 1,538,205	57,218 858,969	0.0144 0.0354 0.5584	0.9856 0.9646 0.4416	93.99 94.61 91.26
13.5 14.5	759,872 742,470	8,886 882	0.0117	0.9883	40.30
15.5 16.5	735,081 663,479	23,406 4,001	0.0318	0.9682	39.78 38.51
17.5 18.5	628,124 501,859	12,098 4,483	0.0193	0.9807 0.9911	38.28 37.54
19.5	479,372	16,238	0.0339	0.9661	37.21
20.5 21.5	397,322 410,164	16,026 8,348	0.0403	0.9597	35.95 34.50
22.5 23.5	437,728 428,276	2,539 2,516	0.0058	0.9942 0.9941	33.79 33.60
24.5 25.5 26.5	373,061 369,866 348,488	3,195 8,822 284	0.0086 0.0239 0.0008	0.9914 0.9761 0.9992	33.40 33.12
27.5	352,315 295,136	443 4,967	0.0013	0.9992 0.9987 0.9832	32.33 32.30 32.26
29.5	288,307		0.0000	1.0000	31.72
30.5 31.5 32.5	286,161 306,323 268,882	5,708 3,594	0.0199 0.0117 0.0000	0.9801 0.9883 1.0000	31.72 31.08 30.72
33.5 34.5	268,892 267,995 259,618	8,378 23,633	0.0313	0.9687	30.72 30.72 29.76
35.5 36.5	228,402 216,417	23,033	0.0003	0.9997	27.05
37.5 38.5	216,417 216,417 216,417	6,769	0.0000 0.0313	1.0000 1.0000 0.9687	27.04 27.04 27.04

## ACCOUNT 304.61 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - OFFICE BUILDINGS

# ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1888-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
39.5	209,221	917	0.0000	1.0000	26.20
40.5	209,221		0.0044	0.9956	26.20
41.5	206,769		0.0000	1.0000	26.08
42.5	207,176		0.0000	1.0000	26.08
43.5	127,955	229	0.0000	1.0000	26.08
44.5	127,955		0.0018	0.9982	26.08
45.5	127,429		0.0000	1.0000	26.03
46.5	127,429		0.0000	1.0000	26.03
47.5	127,429		0.0000	1.0000	26.03
48.5	127,317	2,311	0.0182	0.9818	26.03
49.5	115,123	2,365	0.0205	0.9795	25.56
50.5	112,598		0.0000	1.0000	25.04
51.5	62,018	32,433	0.5230	0.4770	25.04
52.5	28,223		0.0000	1.0000	11.94
53.5	28,223		0.0000	1.0000	11.94
54.5	3,373		0.0000	1.0000	11.94
55.5	3,373		0.0000	1.0000	11.94
56.5	3,878		0.0000	1.0000	11.94
57.5	4,696	1,946	0.4144	0.5856	11.94
58.5	2,750		0.0000	1.0000	6.99
59.5	2,186	95	0.0000	1.0000	6.99
60.5	2,186		0.0000	1.0000	6.99
61.5	2,408		0.0395	0.9605	6.99
62.5	2,323	27	0.0116	0.9884	6.72
63.5	2,296		0.0000	1.0000	6.64
64.5	2,296		0.0000	1.0000	6.64
65.5 66.5 67.5 68.5	2,296 2,296 2,296 2,296 2,296		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	6.64 6.64 6.64 6.64
69.5 70.5	2,296 2,296 2,296		0.0000	1.0000	6.64 6.64
71.5 72.5 73.5	2,296 1,889 1,889	407	0.1773 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000	6.64 5.46 5.46 5.46
74.5 75.5 76.5 77.5	1,889 2,787 2,787 2,787 2,787		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	5.46 5.46 5.46 5.46
78.5	2,787		0.0000	1.0000	5.46

## ACCOUNT 304.61 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - OFFICE BUILDINGS

## ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1888-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
79.5	2,703		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
80.5	2,703		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
81.5	2,703		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
82.5	2,703		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
83.5	2,703		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
84.5	2,703		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
85.5	2,703		0.0000	1.0000	5,46
86.5	1,997		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
87.5	1,361		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
88.5	1,361		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
89.5	1,361		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
90.5	1,361		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
91.5	1,139		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
92.5	1,129		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
93.5	1,129		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
94.5	1,129		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
95.5	1,129		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
96.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
97.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
98.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
99.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
100.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
101.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
102.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
103.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
104.5	2,074		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
105.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
106.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
107.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
108.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
109.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
110.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
111.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
112.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
113.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
114.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
115.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
116.5	1,176		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
117.5	945		0.0000	1.0000	5.46
118.5	945		0.0000	1.0000	5.46

# ACCOUNT 304.61 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - OFFICE BUILDINGS

# ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

#### PLACEMENT BAND 1888-2014

#### EXPERIENCE BAND 1985-2014

•

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
119.5 120.5 121.5 122.5 123.5	945 945 945 945 945 945		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	5.46 5.46 5.46 5.46 5.46 5.46
124.5 125.5 126.5	945 945		0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000	5.46 5.46 5.46

MISSOURI AMERICAN WATER COMPANY ACCOUNT 304.70 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - SHOP AND GARAGE ORIGINAL AND SMOOTH SURVIVOR CURVES



# ACCOUNT 304.70 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - SHOP AND GARAGE

# ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE

PLACEMENT BAND 1897-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
0.0	3,110,826		0.0000	1.0000	100.00
0.5	3,110,750		0.0000	1.0000	100.00
1.5	3,107,870		0.0000	1.0000	100.00
2.5	2,270,725		0.0000	1.0000	100.00
3.5	2,251,322		0.0000	1.0000	100.00
4.5	2,042,386		0.0000	1.0000	100.00
5.5	2,024,290	454,741	0.2246	0.7754	100.00
6.5	604,546	4,154	0.0069	0.9931	77.54
7.5	599,443	4,282	0.0071	0.9929	77.00
8.5	583,094	112	0.0002	0.9998	76.45
9.5	582,982	3,690	0.0063	0.9937	76.44
10.5	542,085	1,188	0.0022	0.9978	75.95
11.5	480,469	564	0.0012	0.9988	75.79
12.5	443,640	2,556	0.0058	0.9942	75.70
13.5	453,363		0.0000	1.0000	75.26
14.5	427,787	15,066	0.0352	0.9648	75.26
15.5	412,722		0.0000	1.0000	72.61
16.5	404,034	1,612	0.0040	0.9960	72.61
17.5	394,932		0.0000	1.0000	72.32
18.5	356,322	34	0.0001	0.9999	72.32
19.5	350,721	4,942	0.0141	0.9859	72.32
20.5	344,671	4,630	0.0134	0.9866	71.30
21.5	328,076	429	0.0013	0.9987	70.34
22.5	287,113	425	0.0015	0.9985	70.25
23.5	244,316		0.0000	1.0000	70.14
24.5	244,316		0.0000	1.0000	70.14
25.5	225,049	2,072	0.0092	0.9908	70.14
26.5	222,854	456	0.0020	0.9980	69.50
27.5	222,149		0.0000	1.0000	69.35
28.5	218,496		0.0000	1.0000	69.35
29.5	214,992	2,133	0.0099	0.9901	69.35
30.5	209,493	2,396	0.0114	0.9886	68.67
31.5	204,374		0.0000	1.0000	67.88
32.5	202,841		0.0000	1.0000	67.88
33.5	202,720	1,134	0.0056	0.9944	67.88
34.5	201,586		0.0000	1.0000	67.50
35.5	201,550	3,390	0.0168	0.9832	67.50
36.5	186,223		0.0000	1.0000	66.37
37.5	186,223	227	0.0012	0.9988	66.37
38.5	185,996		0.0000	1.0000	66.29

ACCOUNT 304.70 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - SHOP AND GARAGE

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1897-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE	RETMT	SURV	PCT SURV BEGIN OF
INTERVAL	AGE INTERVAL	INTERVAL	RATIO	RATIO	INTERVAL
39.5	185,996	79	0.0004	0.9996	66.29
40.5	185,917	, ,	0.0000	1.0000	66.26
41.5	186,434	662	0.0035	0.9965	66.26
42.5	185,111	002	0.0000	1.0000	66.02
43.5	185,111		0.0000	1.0000	66.02
44.5	184,649	2,295	0.0124	0.9876	66.02
44.5	118,311	3,747	0.0124	0.9683	65.20
	114,565	3,545	0.0317	0.9691	63.14
46.5	•	5,545	0.0000	1.0000	61.18
47.5	111,019		0.0000	1.0000	61.18
48.5	111,019		0.0000	1.0000	01.10
49.5	111,019	673	0.0061	0.9939	61.18
50.5	104,841		0.0000	1.0000	60.81
51.5	92,177	284	0.0031	0.9969	60.81
52.5	90,422		0.0000	1.0000	60.62
53.5	73,730	161	0.0022	0.9978	60.62
54.5	73,568		0.0000	1.0000	60.49
55.5	72,105	465	0.0065	0.9935	60.49
56.5	71,601	1,370	0.0191	0.9809	60.10
57.5	49,389		0.0000	1.0000	58.95
58.5	47,820		0.0000	1.0000	58.95
59.5	47,820		0.0000	1.0000	58.95
60.5	46,379	323	0.0070	0.9930	58.95
61.5	46,055	0	0.0000	1.0000	58.54
62.5	46,038		0.0000	1.0000	58,54
63.5	46,038	102	0.0022	0.9978	58.54
64.5	45,613		0.0000	1.0000	58.41
65.5	44,162		0.0000	1.0000	58.41
66.5	44,162	1,399	0.0317	0.9683	58.41
67.5	42,679		0.0000	1.0000	56.56
68.5	42,679	22	0.0005	0.9995	56.56
69.5	42,657	1,034	0.0242	0.9758	56.53
70.5	41,622		0.0000	1.0000	55.16
71.5	41,622		0.0000	1.0000	55.16
72.5	41,622		0.0000	1.0000	55.16
73.5	41,602		0.0000	1.0000	55.16
74.5	41,400	28	0.0007	0.9993	55.16
75.5	17,909	20	0.0000	1.0000	55.12
76.5	17,909		0.0000	1.0000	55.12
77.5	17,909		0.0000	1.0000	55.12
	17,909		0.0000	1.0000	55.12
78.5	17,505		5.0000	<b>T</b> .0000	

#### ACCOUNT 304.70 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - SHOP AND GARAGE

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1897-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
79.5 80.5 81.5 82.5 83.5 84.5 85.5 86.5 87.5 88.5	17,909 17,909 17,909 17,909 17,909 17,909 17,909 17,909 17,909 17,909		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12
89.5 90.5 91.5 92.5 93.5 94.5 95.5 96.5 97.5 98.5	4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12
99.5 100.5 101.5 102.5 103.5 104.5 105.5 106.5 107.5 108.5	4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12
109.5 110.5 111.5 112.5 113.5 114.5 115.5 116.5 117.5	4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12 55.12

## ACCOUNT 304.70 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - SHOP AND GARAGE

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE

PLACEMENT BAND 1897-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
0.0	2,899,995		0.0000	1.0000	100.00
0.5	2,907,491		0.0000	1.0000	100.00
1.5	2,907,333		0.0000	1.0000	100.00
2.5	2,071,722		0.0000	1.0000	100.00
3.5	2,053,004		0.0000	1.0000	100.00
4.5	1,846,492		0.0000	1.0000	100.00
5.5	1,828,431	454,741	0.2487	0.7513	100.00
6.5	424,015		0.0000	1.0000	75.13
7.5	423,178	4,282	0.0101	0.9899	75.13
8.5	406,829	112	0.0003	0.9997	74.37
9.5	406,717	3,690	0.0091	0.9909	74.35
10.5	365,820	1,188	0.0032	0.9968	73.67
11.5	308,381	564	0.0018	0.9982	73.44
12.5	271,617	2,556	0.0094	0.9906	73.30
13.5	269,061		0.0000	1.0000	72.61
14.5	244,405	15,066	0.0616	0.9384	72.61
15.5	298,047		0.0000	1.0000	68.14
16.5	289,359	1,612	0.0056	0.9944	68.14
17.5	283,803		0.0000	1.0000	67.76
18.5	245,192	34	0.0001	0.9999	67.76
19.5	239,592	4,942	0.0206	0.9794	67.75
20.5	239,720	4,630	0.0193	0.9807	66.35
21.5	235,789	429	0.0018	0.9982	65.07
22.5	200,044	425	0.0021	0.9979	64.95
23.5	173,939		0.0000	1.0000	64.81
24.5	174,101		0.0000	1.0000	64.81
25.5	156,298	2,072	0.0133	0.9867	64.81
26.5	154,606	456	0.0030	0.9970	63.95
27.5	175,583		0.0000	1.0000	63.76
28.5	173,499		0.0000	1.0000	63.76
29.5	169,996	2,133	0.0125	0.9875	63.76
30.5	165,938	2,396	0.0144	0.9856	62.96
31.5	160,819		0.0000	1.0000	62.05
32.5	159,303		0.0000	1.0000	62.05
33.5	159,182	1,134	0.0071	0.9929	62.05
34.5	158,418		0.0000	1.0000	61.61
35.5	159,933	3,390	0.0212	0.9788	61.61
36.5	144,606		0.0000	1.0000	60.31
37.5	146,145	227	0.0016	0.9984	60.31
38.5	145,918		0.0000	1.0000	60.21

#### ACCOUNT 304.70 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - SHOP AND GARAGE

# ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1897-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
39.5	145,940	79	0.0005	0.9995	60.21
40.5	147,506		0.0000	1.0000	60.18
41.5	143,328	662	0.0046	0.9954	60.18
42.5	142,005		0.0000	1.0000	59.90
43.5	143,004		0.0000	1.0000	59.90
44.5	142,743	2,295	0.0161	0.9839	59.90
45.5	100,080	3,747	0.0374	0.9626	58.94
46.5	96,333	3,545	0.0368	0.9632	56.73
47.5	92,787		0.0000	1.0000	54.64
48.5	92,787		0.0000	1.0000	54.64
49.5	92,787	673	0.0073	0.9927	54.64
50.5	86,609		0.0000	1.0000	54.25
51.5	73,945	284	0.0038	0.9962	54.25
52.5	72,190		0.0000	1.0000	54.04
53.5	55,498	161	0.0029	0.9971	54.04
54.5	55,336		0.0000	1.0000	53.88
55.5	53,873	465	0.0086	0.9914	53.88
56.5	53,369	1,370	0.0257	0.9743	53.42
57.5	31,157		0.0000	1.0000	52.05
58.5	30,847		0.0000	1.0000	52.05
59.5	43,125		0.0000	1.0000	52.05
60.5	41,684	323	0.0078	0.9922	52.05
61.5	41,360	0	0.0000	1.0000	51.64
62.5	41,344		0.0000	1.0000	51.64
63.5	41,344	102	0.0025	0.9975	51.64
64.5	40,918		0.0000	1.0000	51.51
65,5	39,467		0.0000	1.0000	51.51
66.5	39,467	1,399	0.0355	0.9645	51.51
67.5	37,984		0.0000	1.0000	49.69
68.5	37,984	22	0.0006	0.9994	49.69
69.5	37,963	1,034	0.0272	0.9728	49.66
70.5	36,927		0.0000	1.0000	48.31
71.5	36,927		0.0000	1.0000	48.31
72.5	36,927		0.0000	1.0000	48.31
73.5	36,907		0.0000	1.0000	48.31
74.5	36,705	28	0.0008	0.9992	48.31
75.5	13,214		0.0000	1.0000	48.27
76.5	13,214		0.0000	1.0000	48.27
77.5	13,214		0.0000	1.0000	48.27
78.5	13,214		0.0000	1.0000	48.27
## ACCOUNT 304.70 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - SHOP AND GARAGE

## ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1897-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
79.5 80.5 81.5 82.5 83.5 84.5 85.5	13,214 13,214 13,214 13,214 13,214 13,214 13,214 13,214		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	48.27 48.27 48.27 48.27 48.27 48.27 48.27
86.5 87.5 88.5	13,214 17,909 16,650		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	48.27 48.27 48.27
89.5 90.5 91.5 92.5 93.5	4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	48.27 48.27 48.27 48.27 48.27 48.27
94.5 95.5 96.5 97.5	4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	48.27 48.27 48.27 48.27 48.27
98.5 99.5 100.5 101.5	4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	48.27 48.27 48.27 48.27
102.5 103.5 104.5 105.5 106.5	4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	48.27 48.27 48.27 48.27 48.27 48.27
107.5 108.5 109.5	4,695 4,695 4,695		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	48.27 48.27 48.27
110.5 111.5 112.5 113.5 114.5	4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695 4,695		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	48.27 48.27 48.27 48.27 48.27 48.27
115.5 116.5 117.5	4,695 4,695 4,695		0.0000	1.0000 1.0000	48.27 48.27 48.27

MISSOURI AMERICAN WATER COMPANY ACCOUNT 304.80 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - MISCELLANEOUS ORIGINAL AND SMOOTH SURVIVOR CURVES



## ACCOUNT 304.80 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - MISCELLANEOUS

## ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE

PLACEMENT BAND 1897-2008

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
0.0	4,999,249		0,0000	1.0000	100.00
0.5	5,000,368	38,557	0.0077	0.9923	100.00
1.5	4,963,035	3,932	0.0008	0.9992	99.23
2.5	4,962,205	41,625	0.0084	0.9916	99.15
3.5	4,922,040	27,331	0.0056	0.9944	98.32
4.5	4,894,934	94,174	0.0192	0.9808	97.77
5.5	4,800,760	72,889	0.0152	0.9848	95.89
6.5	4,704,316	9,387	0.0020	0.9980	94.44
7.5	4,629,325	74,138	0.0160	0.9840	94.25
8.5	4,416,670	46,166	0.0105	0.9895	92.74
9.5	4,344,055	75,053	0.0173	0.9827	91.77
10.5	4,301,437	8,690	0.0020	0.9980	90.18
11.5	4,261,310	211	0.0000	1.0000	90.00
12.5	4,234,926	14,491	0.0034	0.9966	90.00
13.5	3,897,623	29,167	0.0075	0.9925	89.69
14.5	2,039,306	2,891	0.0014	0.9986	89.02
15.5	1,830,024	4,344	0.0024	0.9976	88.89
16.5	1,834,303	20,685	0.0113	0.9887	88.68
17.5	1,812,893	17,614	0.0097	0.9903	87.68
18.5	1,854,000	347,947	0.1877	0.8123	86.83
19.5	1,497,692	1,892	0.0013	0.9987	70.53
20.5	661,304	477	0.0007	0.9993	70.44
21.5	660,837	1,075	0.0016	0.9984	70.39
22.5	651,140	3,359	0.0052	0.9948	70.28
23.5	642,900	1,268	0.0020	0.9980	69.92
24.5	582,910	5,530	0.0095	0.9905	69.78
25.5	577,501	31,366	0.0543	0.9457	69.12
26.5	549,770	398,477	0.7248	0.2752	65.36
27.5	151,293	215	0.0014	0.9986	17.99
28.5	151,117	30	0.0002	0.9998	17.96
29.5	150,087	1,754	0.0117	0.9883	17.96
30.5	148,333	17,511	0.1181	0.8819	17.75
31.5	132,112		0.0000	1.0000	15.65
32.5	112,382	150	0.0013	0.9987	15.65
33.5	112,206		0.0000	1.0000	15.63
34.5	112,206		0.0000	1.0000	15.63
35.5	112,206	32,376	0.2885	0.7115	15.63
36.5	80,056		0.0000	1.0000	11.12
37.5	80,056	4,370	0.0546	0.9454	11.12
38.5	75,686		0.0000	1.0000	10.51

#### ACCOUNT 304.80 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - MISCELLANEOUS

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1897-2008

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
39.5	75,686		0.0000	1.0000	10.51
40.5	75,686		0.0000	1.0000	10.51
41.5	76,468		0.0000	1.0000	10.51
42.5	76,468		0.0000	1.0000	10.51
43.5 44.5 45.5 46.5 47.5	76,468 75,866 75,866 75,866 75,866	602 4,592	0.0079 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0605	0.9921 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.9395	10.51 10.43 10.43 10.43 10.43
48.5 49.5 50.5	70,594 67,056 67,056	3,538 7,692	0.0501 0.0000 0.1147	0.9499 1.0000 0.8853	9.80 9.31 9.31
51.5	59,364	3,181	0.0000	1.0000	8.24
52.5	59,364		0.0000	1.0000	8.24
53.5	59,364		0.0000	1.0000	8.24
54.5	54,353		0.0585	0.9415	8.24
55.5	48,569	296	0.0000	1.0000	7.76
56.5	48,569		0.0000	1.0000	7.76
57.5	48,569		0.0000	1.0000	7.76
58.5	48,569		0.0061	0.9939	7.76
59.5 60.5 61.5 62.5	48,273 48,206 48,154 48,075	68 52	0.0014 0.0011 0.0000 0.0000	0.9986 0.9989 1.0000 1.0000	7.71 7.70 7.69 7.69
63.5	39,414	133	0.0034	0.9966	7.69
64.5	39,281		0.0000	1.0000	7.67
65.5	39,281		0.0000	1.0000	7.67
66.5	39,281	197	0.0050	0.9950	7.67
67.5	39,084		0.0000	1.0000	7.63
68.5	38,358		0.0000	1.0000	7.63
69.5 70.5 71.5 72.5	38,358 37,779 22,414 22,414	580 38 250	0.0151 0.0010 0.0000 0.0112	0.9849 0.9990 1.0000 0.9888	7.63 7.51 7.51 7.51
73.5 74.5 75.5 76.5	22,164 22,164 10,228 9,140	11,936 32	0.0000 0.5385 0.0031 0.0000	1.0000 0.4615 0.9969 1.0000	7.42 7.42 3.42 3.41
77.5	9,140		0.0000	1.0000	3.41
78.5	8,603		0.0000	1.0000	3.41

#### ACCOUNT 304.80 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS - MISCELLANEOUS

#### ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1897-2008

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
79.5 80.5 81.5 82.5 83.5 84.5 85.5 86.5 87.5	8,603 8,603 8,603 8,603 8,509 8,509 8,157 8,052 6,488	1,690	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.2605	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.7395	3.41 3.41 3.41 3.41 3.41 3.41 3.41 3.41
88.5 90.5 91.5 92.5 93.5 94.5 95.5 96.5 97.5 98.5	4,349 4,349 4,349 4,349 4,349 4,349 4,349 4,349 4,349 4,349 4,349 4,349 4,349	1,635	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.3759	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.6241	2.52 2.52 2.52 2.52 2.52 2.52 2.52 2.52
99.5 100.5 101.5 102.5 103.5 104.5 105.5 106.5 107.5 108.5	2,714 2,714 2,617 2,254 1,472 1,451 1,451 1,451 160 160	782	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0000\\ 0.0000\\ 0.3467\\ 0.0000\\ 0.0000\\ 0.0000\\ 0.0000\\ 0.0000\\ 0.0000\\ 0.0000\\ 0.0000\\ 0.0000\\ 0.0000\\ \end{array}$	1.0000 1.0000 0.6533 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	1.58 1.58 1.58 1.03 1.03 1.03 1.03 1.03 1.03
109.5 110.5 111.5 112.5	160- 160 160		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	1.03 1.03 1.03 1.03

MISSOURI AMERICAN WATER COMPANY ACCOUNT 306 LAKE, RIVER AND OTHER INTAKES ORIGINAL AND SMOOTH SURVIVOR CURVES



## ACCOUNT 306 LAKE, RIVER AND OTHER INTAKES

## ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE

PLACEMENT BAND 1880-2011

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
0.0 0.5 1.5 2.5 3.5 4.5 5.5 6.5 7.5 8.5	8,602,842 8,602,842 8,602,842 8,592,567 2,144,721 2,133,577 2,108,732 2,022,771 1,528,170 1,528,170	10,275 1,900 11,144 24,844 21,193 5,623	0.0000 0.0012 0.0002 0.0052 0.0116 0.0101 0.0028 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 0.9988 0.9998 0.9948 0.9884 0.9884 0.9899 0.9972 1.0000 1.0000	100.00 100.00 99.88 99.86 99.34 98.18 97.20 96.93 96.93
9.5 10.5 11.5 12.5 13.5 14.5 15.5 16.5 17.5 18.5	1,530,325463,308428,500428,108449,395419,630418,630328,223328,223	764,059 34,808 473 3,268 29,765 1,000 90,407	0.4993 0.0751 0.0011 0.0076 0.0662 0.0024 0.2160 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.5007 0.9249 0.9989 0.9924 0.9338 0.9976 0.7840 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	96.93 48.53 44.89 44.84 44.49 41.55 41.45 32.50 32.50 32.50
19.5 20.5 21.5 22.5 23.5 24.5 25.5 26.5 27.5 28.5	328,223 326,339 326,339 324,808 275,842 275,876 241,441 208,800 209,032 209,166	1,884 3,240 48,966 34,435 34,435 3,635 8,039	0.0057 0.0000 0.0099 0.1508 0.0000 0.1248 0.1426 0.0000 0.0174 0.0384	0.9943 1.0000 0.9901 0.8492 1.0000 0.8752 0.8574 1.0000 0.9826 0.9616	32.50 32.31 32.31 31.99 27.17 27.17 23.78 20.39 20.39 20.03
29.5 30.5 31.5 32.5 33.5 34.5 35.5 36.5 37.5 38.5	201,731 201,834 201,956 210,932 200,865 200,865 216,714 231,476 243,668 244,320	147 784 10,068 7,268 100 954 6,032	0.0000 0.0007 0.0039 0.0477 0.0000 0.0000 0.0335 0.0004 0.0039 0.0247	1.0000 0.9993 0.9961 0.9523 1.0000 1.0000 0.9665 0.9996 0.9961 0.9753	19.26 19.26 19.25 19.17 18.26 18.26 18.26 17.64 17.64 17.57

## ACCOUNT 306 LAKE, RIVER AND OTHER INTAKES

## ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1880-2011

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
39.5	239,716		0.0000	1.0000	17.13
40.5	245,052	6,173	0.0252	0.9748	17.13
41.5	250,485	1,000	0.0040	0.9960	16.70
42.5	260,121		0.0000	1.0000	16.64
43.5	260,121	8,667	0.0333	0.9667	16.64
44.5	258,255		0.0000	1.0000	16.08
45.5	258,255	63,877	0.2473	0.7527	16.08
46.5	196,684	146	0.0007	0.9993	12.10
47.5	196,703		0.0000	1.0000	12.10
48.5	196,703	1,593	0.0081	0.9919	12.10
49.5	195,110		0.0000	1.0000	12.00
50.5	195,693		0.0000	1.0000	12.00
51.5	195,693	4,461	0.0228	0.9772	12.00
52.5	191,233		0.0000	1.0000	11.72
53.5	193,382		0.0000	1.0000	11.72
54.5	194,582		0.0000	1.0000	11.72
55.5	194,582		0.0000	1.0000	11.72
56.5	194,582	13,985	0.0719	0.9281	11.72
57.5	150,316		0.0000	1.0000	10.88
58.5	165,962	3,755	0.0226	0.9774	10.88
59.5	162,207		0.0000	1.0000	10.63
60.5	162,207		0.0000	1.0000	10.63
61.5	162,207		0.0000	1.0000	10.63
62.5	162,207		0.0000	1.0000	10.63
63.5	162,207		0.0000	1.0000	10.63
64.5	162,207		0.0000	1.0000	10.63
65.5	162,207		0.0000	1.0000	10.63
66.5	162,207		0.0000	1.0000	10.63
67.5	162,207	2,036	0.0126	0.9874	10.63
68.5	160,171	830	0.0052	0.9948	10.50
69.5	159,341		0.0000	1.0000	10.45
70.5	159,341	4,144	0.0260	0.9740	10.45
71.5	155,197		0.0000	1.0000	10.18
72.5	155,197		0.0000	1.0000	10.18
73.5	155,197	162	0.0010	0.9990	10.18
74.5	155,035	27,126	0.1750	0.8250	10.16
75.5	127,909		0.0000	1.0000	8.39
76.5	127,909		0.0000	1.0000	8.39
77.5	127,909		0.0000	1.0000	8.39
78.5	127,909		0.0000	1.0000	8.39

## ACCOUNT 306 LAKE, RIVER AND OTHER INTAKES

## ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1880-2011

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
79.5	127,909		0.0000	1.0000	8.39
80.5	127,909		0.0000	1.0000	8.39
81.5	127,909		0.0000	1.0000	8.39
82.5	127,909		0.0000	1.0000	8.39
83.5	127,909		0.0000	1.0000	8.39
84.5	127,909		0.0000	1.0000	8.39
85.5	127,909		0.0000	1.0000	8.39
86.5	127,909		0.0000	1.0000	8.39
87.5	127,909	2,756	0.0215	0.9785	8.39
88.5	125,153		0.0000	1.0000	8.21
89.5	125,153		0.0000	1.0000	8.21
90.5	125,153	791	0.0063	0.9937	8.21
91.5	124,362	1,086	0.0087	0.9913	8.15
92.5	123,276	269	0.0022	0.9978	8.08
93.5	123,007	9,761	0.0794	0.9206	8.06
94.5	113,246		0.0000	1.0000	7.42
95.5	113,246		0.0000	1.0000	7.42
96.5	113,246	15,850	0.1400	0.8600	7.42
97.5	97,397	19,562		0.7991	6.39
98.5	77,633	12,291	0.1583	0.8417	5.10
99.5	65,342	1,640	0.0251	0.9749	4.30
100.5	63,702	1,428	0.0224	0.9776	4.19
101.5	62,273	3,488	0.0560	0.9440	4.09
102.5	58,786	11,837	0.2014	0.7986	3.86
103.5	46,948	14,405	0.3068	0.6932	3.09
104.5	32,543	212	0.0065	0.9935	2.14
105.5	32,331	6,823	0.2110	0.7890	2.13
106.5	25,508	7.0	0.0000	1.0000	1.68
107.5	25,508	16	0.0006	0.9994	1.68
108.5	25,492	166	0.0065	0.9935	1.68
109.5	25,326	709	0.0280	0.9720	1.66
110.5	24,617		0.0000	1.0000	1.62
111.5	24,617	380	0.0154	0.9846	1.62
112.5	21,159		0.0000		1.59
113.5	21,159		0.0000	1.0000	1.59
114.5	21,159	2,149	0.1016	0.8984	1.59
115.5	19,010	1,200	0.0631	0.9369	1.43
116.5	17,810	167	0.0094	0.9906	1.34
117.5	17,643		0.0000	1.0000	1.33
118.5	17,643		0.0000	1.0000	1.33

# ACCOUNT 306 LAKE, RIVER AND OTHER INTAKES

## ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1880-2011

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
119.5	17,643	14,937	0.8466	0.1534	1.33
120.5	2,706		0.0000	1.0000	0.20
121.5	2,706	2,112	0.7806	0.2194	0.20
122.5	583		0.0000	1.0000	0.04
123.5	583		0.0000	1.0000	0.04
124.5	583		0.0000	1.0000	0.04
125.5	583	561	0.9623	0.0377	0.04
126.5					0.00

MISSOURI AMERICAN WATER COMPANY ACCOUNT 309 SUPPLY MAINS ORIGINAL AND SMOOTH SURVIVOR CURVES



## ACCOUNT 309 SUPPLY MAINS

## ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE

PLACEMENT BAND 1880-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
0.0	22,438,182	846	0.0000	1.0000	100.00
0.5	22,318,696		0.0000	1.0000	100.00
1.5	22,309,504	134	0.0000	1.0000	100.00
2.5	22,309,371	1,157	0.0001	0.9999	100.00
3.5	21,529,863	2,020	0.0001	0.9999	99.99
4.5	21,496,565	840	0.0000	1.0000	99.98
5.5	21,280,587	5,982	0.0003	0.9997	99.98
6.5	21,147,222	13,110	0.0006	0.9994	99.95
7.5	17,296,884	30,763	0.0018	0.9982	99.89
8.5	17,289,854	11,476	0.0007	0.9993	99.71
9.5	17,253,504	26,892	0.0016	0.9984	99.64
10.5	17,260,663	9	0.0000	1.0000	99.49
11.5	17,236,375	16,824	0.0010	0.9990	99.49
12.5	17,100,811	900	0.0001	0.9999	99.39
13.5	17,100,164	983	0.0001	0.9999	99.39
14.5	7,213,921	2,071	0.0003	0.9997	99.38
15.5	7,208,446	27,178	0.0038	0.9962	99.35
16.5	7,120,792	3,685	0.0005	0.9995	98.98
17.5	7,086,052	9,709	0.0014	0.9986	98.93
18.5	7,076,226	12,057	0.0017	0.9983	98.79
19.5	7,026,900	9,535	0.0014	0.9986	98.62
20.5	6,957,279	2,948	0.0004	0.9996	98.49
21.5	4,379,408	1,124	0.0003	0.9997	98.45
22.5	4,338,215	7,617	0.0018	0.9982	98.42
23.5	4,127,367	3,032	0.0007	0.9993	98.25
24.5	4,101,685	1,611	0.0004	0.9996	98.18
25.5	4,069,991	2,219	0.0005	0.9995	98.14
26.5	4,044,976	1,244	0.0003	0.9997	98.08
27.5	3,914,714	10,138	0.0026	0.9974	98.05
28.5	3,873,560	2,709	0.0007	0.9993	97.80
29.5	3,068,851		0.0000	1.0000	97.73
30.5	2,735,888	862	0.0003	0.9997	97.73
31.5	2,733,142	1,000	0.0004	0.9996	97.70
32.5	2,649,686	296	0.0001	0.9999	97.66
33.5	2,680,394	2,866	0.0011	0.9989	97.65
34.5	2,609,114		0.0000	1.0000	97.55
35.5	2,605,286	1,115	0.0004	0.9996	97.55
36.5	2,603,789		0.0000	1.0000	97.51
37.5	2,546,497	368	0.0001	0.9999	97.51
38.5	2,540,558	1,159	0.0005	0.9995	97.49

## ACCOUNT 309 SUPPLY MAINS

## ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

#### PLACEMENT BAND 1880-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
39.5	2,537,701	156,814	0.0618	0.9382	97.45
40.5	2,377,793	998	0.0004	0.9996	91.43
41.5	2,167,670	3,919	0.0018	0.9982	91.39
42.5	2,121,798	44,180	0.0208	0.9792	91.22
43.5	1,645,251	2,467	0.0015	0.9985	89.32
44.5	1,640,941	1,753	0.0011	0.9989	89.19
45.5	1,637,989	53,362	0.0326	0.9674	89.10
46.5	1,133,315	7,258	0.0064	0.9936	86.19
47.5	1,114,205	82,798	0.0743	0.9257	85.64
48.5	1,031,407	38	0.0000	1.0000	79.28
49.5	1,031,301	366	0.0004	0.9996	79.27
50.5	926,915		0.0000	1.0000	79.25
51.5	910,705	6,852	0.0075	0.9925	79.25
52.5	895,868	2,236	0.0025	0.9975	78.65
53.5	772,261	21,053	0.0273	0.9727	78.45
54.5	689,115		0.0000	1.0000	76.31
55.5	612,923	102	0.0002	0.9998	76.31
56.5	612,821		0.0000	1.0000	76.30
57.5	608,918		0.0000	1.0000	76.30
58.5	527,075	91	0.0002	0.9998	76.30
59.5	355,698	225	0.0006	0.9994	76.29
60.5	349,082		0.0000	1.0000	76.24
61.5	349,082	23,572	0.0675	0.9325	76.24
62.5	315,875	5,138	0.0163	0.9837	71.09
63.5	310,737		0.0000	1.0000	69.94
64.5	310,737		0.0000	1.0000	69.94
65.5	310,737		0,.0000	1.0000	69.94
66.5	310,737	1,444	0.0046	0.9954	69.94
67.5	279,842	253	0.0009	0.9991	69.61
68.5	279,589	29,667	0.1061	0.8939	69.55
69.5	248,983	12,300	0.0494	0.9506	62.17
70.5	236,684		0.0000	1.0000	59.10
71.5	236,684		0.0000	1.0000	59.10
72.5	236,684		0.0000	1.0000	59.10
73.5	236,684	59	0.0002	0.9998	59.10
74.5	236,625		0.0000	1.0000	59.08
75.5	236,625		0.0000	1.0000	59.08
76.5	236,625	117	0.0005	0.9995	59.08
77.5	236,507		0.0000	1.0000	59.05
78.5	236,507	14,628	0.0619	0.9381	59.05
		, -			

## ACCOUNT 309 SUPPLY MAINS

## ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1880-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
79.5 80.5 81.5	221,879 221,879 221,879		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	55.40 55.40 55.40
82.5 83.5	221,879 221,879		0.0000	1.0000 1.0000	55.40 55.40
84.5 85.5	221,321 163,057	58,264	0.2633 0.0000	0.7367 1.0000	55.40 40.82
86.5 87.5	163,057 163,057		0.0000	1.0000	40.82
88.5	163,057	30	0.0002	0.9998	40.82
89.5 90.5	163,027 159,822	3,205	0.0197 0.0000	0.9803	40.81 40.01
91.5 92.5 93.5	159,822 159,678	144	0.0009	0.9991 1.0000	40.01 39.97
94.5	159,678 159,678	34,101	0.0000	1.0000 0.7864	39.97 39.97
95.5 96.5	125,577 125,577		0.0000	1.0000 1.0000	31.43 31.43
97.5 98.5	125,577 125,577		0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000	31.43 31.43
99.5 100.5	125,577 124,848	729	0.0058 0.0000	0.9942 1.0000	31.43 31.25
101.5	124,848		0.0000	1.0000	31.25
102.5 103.5	105,799 105,799		0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 1.0000	31.25 31.25
104.5 105.5	105,799 75,467	30,331	0.2867 0.0005	0.7133 0.9995	31.25 22.29
106.5 107.5	75,428 71,853	3,575	0.0474 0.0000	0.9526 1.0000	22.28 21.22
108.5	71,853	293	0.0041	0.9959	21.22
109.5 110.5	71,561 71,561		0.0000	1.0000	21.14 21.14
111.5 112.5	71,561 71,561 45,422	42	0.0000	1.0000 0.9994	21.14 21.14 21.12
113.5 114.5 115.5	45,432 45,432 41,521	3,911	0.0000 0.0861 0.0000	1.0000 0.9139 1.0000	21.13 21.13 19.31
116.5 117.5	41,521 41,451	70	0.0017 0.0000	0.9983 1.0000	19.31 19.27
118.5	41,451		0.0000	1.0000	19.27

## ACCOUNT 309 SUPPLY MAINS

## ORIGINAL LIFE TABLE, CONT.

PLACEMENT BAND 1880-2014

AGE AT BEGIN OF INTERVAL	EXPOSURES AT BEGINNING OF AGE INTERVAL	RETIREMENTS DURING AGE INTERVAL	RETMT RATIO	SURV RATIO	PCT SURV BEGIN OF INTERVAL
119.5	41,451	29,368	0.7085	0.2915	19.27
120.5	12,083		0.0000	1.0000	5.62
121.5	12,083		0.0000	1.0000	5.62
122.5	12,083		0.0000	1.0000	5.62
123.5	5,215		0.0000	1.0000	5.62
124.5	5,215		0.0000	1.0000	5.62
125.5	5,215		0.0000	1.0000	5.62
126.5	5,215		0.0000	1.0000	5.62
127.5	5,215	52	0.0100	0.9900	5.62
128.5	5,162		0.0000	1.0000	5.56
129.5	5,162	4	0.0007	0.9993	5.56
130.5	5,159		0.0000	1.0000	5.56
131.5	5,159		0.0000	1.0000	5.56
132.5	5,159	82	0.0158	0.9842	5.56
133.5	5,077		0.0000	1.0000	5.47
134.5					5.47