Exhibit No.:

Issues: Rate Design

Miscellaneous Tariff Changes

Witness: James M. Russo

Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Case No.: WR-2010-0111

SR-2010-0110

Date Testimony Prepared: January 21, 2010

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JAMES M. RUSSO

LAKE REGION WATER & SEWER COMPANY

CASE NO. WR-2010-0111 & SR-2010-0110

Jefferson City, Missouri January 2010

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	
3	DIRECT TESTIMONY
4	
5	OF
6	
7	JAMES M. RUSSO
8	
9	LAKE REGION WATER & SEWER COMPANY
10	
11	BACKGROUND OF WITNESS 1
12	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
13	RATE DESIGN
14	MISCELLANEOUS TARIFF CHANGES

DEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Lake Region Water Sewer Company's Application Implement a General Rate Increase Water and Sewer Service.	to)	Case No. SR-2010-0110 & WR-2010-0111

AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES M. RUSSO

STATE OF MISSOURI)
) s:
COUNTY OF COLE)

James M. Russo of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the following Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of pages of Direct Testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the following Direct Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

James M. Russo

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 20th day of January, 2010.

NOTARY C. SEAL S.

SUSAN L. SUNDERMEYER My Commission Expires September 21, 2010 Callaway County Commission #06942086

Notary Public

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY	
2 3	OF	
4 5	JAMES M. RUSSO	
6 7	LAKE REGION WATER & SEWER COMPANY	
8 9	CASE NO. WR-2010-0111 &	
10	SR-2010-0110	
11 12 13	Q. Please state your name and business address.	
14	A. James M. Russo, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.	
15	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?	
16	A. I am the Rate and Tariff Examination Supervisor in the Water & Sewer	
17	Department with the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission or Staff).	
18	BACKGROUND OF WITNESS	
19	Q. Please describe your educational background and other qualifications?	
20	A. I graduated from California State University-Fresno, Fresno, California, and	
21	received a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting. Prior to my employment with the	
22	Commission, local elected officials in county government employed me in various capacities.	
23	I was the assistant treasurer-tax collector for San Joaquin and El Dorado Counties in	
24	California. My responsibilities included all financial dealings of the counties and all	
25	accounting activities of the agency. In addition, I was the supervising accountant auditor in El	
26	Dorado County for two years. My division was responsible for internal audits of all county	
27	agencies, special districts, and franchise/lease agreements.	
28	Q. What has been the nature of your duties with the Commission?	

3

56

7 8 9

1011

12

13

14

15

1617

18

1920

21

23

22

- From April 1997 to December 2001, I worked in the Accounting Department A. of the Commission, where my duties consisted of directing and assisting with various audits and examinations of the books and records of public utilities operating within the State of Missouri under the jurisdiction of the Commission. From December 16, 2001 to August 2003, I was a Regulatory Auditor IV in the Energy Tariffs/Rate Design Department, where my duties consisted of analyzing applications, reviewing tariffs, and making recommendations based upon these evaluations. On August 16, 2003, I assumed the position of Rate and Tariff Examination Supervisor in the Water & Sewer Department where my duties consist of reviewing tariffs, preparing and analyzing cost of service and rate design, and performing accounting functions.
 - Q. Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?
- A. Yes. A list of cases in which I have filed testimony before this Commission is attached as Schedule 1 to my direct testimony.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Q. With reference to Case Nos. SR-2010-0110 and WR-2010-0111, have you made an examination and study of the material filed by Lake Region Water & Sewer Company (Lake Region or Company) relating to its proposed increase in water rates?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?
- A. The purpose of my direct testimony is to provide an overview of the Staff's position relating to rate design and two miscellaneous tariff issues.

RATE DESIGN

Q. Did the Company file a Class Cost of Service Study?

Direct Testimony of	
James M. Russo	

- A. No.
- Q. Does the Company have a position relating to rate design?
- A. Yes. The proposed rate increases included in the Company's initial filing on October 7, 2009 reflect an increase of 28% for the water operations, 51% for the Horseshoe Bend sewer operations, and 70% for the Shawnee Bend sewer operations. The tariff sheets included in the filing by the Company increase the current rates for each operation by the percentage listed above.

The Company filed revised increase amounts on December 7, 2009. The Company did not file revised tariff sheets. However, Staff has no reason to believe the Company has changed its position on rate design from the original filing.

- Q. What is Staff's position relating to rate design?
- A. Staff is proposing an increase in the current rates for each customer type by the percentage increase for the Company's water operations and the Shawnee Bend sewer operations. Staff is proposing changing the way the commercial customer charge is calculated for the Horseshoe Bend sewer operations and increasing the commodity charge for any remaining expenditures needing to be collected from Staff's Cost of Service as a result of this change.

MISCELLANEOUS TARIFF CHANGES

- Q. What is Staff's position on the current returned check charge in the Company's existing water and sewer tariffs?
 - A. Staff is proposing the current charge of \$15.00 be increased to \$25.00.

1

4

Q. What is Staff's position on the current language in the Company's existing water tariff for Disconnect for Non-payment, Special Request Service Termination or Reconnection Charge language additions?

- A. Staff believes the current language is deficient and only allows the Company to terminate or reconnect water service upon the request of the customer. Staff proposes that the language be expanded to allow the Company to disconnect a customer for non-payment.
 - Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
 - Yes it does. A.

RATE CASE PROCEEDING PARTICIPATION

JAMES M. RUSSO

COMPANY	CASE NO.
Union Electric Company	GR-97-393
Gascony Water Company	WA-97-510
St. Joseph Light and Power Company	EC-98-573
St. Joseph Light and Power Company	HR-99-245
St. Joseph Light and Power Company	GR-99-246
St. Joseph Light and Power Company	ER-99-247
UtiliCorp United Inc./St. Joseph Light and Power Company	EM-2000-292
UtiliCorp United Inc./Empire District Electric Company	EM-2000-369
Osage Water Company	WR-2000-557
Osage Water Company	SR-2000-556
Missouri Gas Energy	GR-2001-292
Southern Missouri Gas Company, L.P.	GR-2001-0388
Environmental Utilities	WA-2002-65
Laclede Gas Company	GR-2002-356
Laclede Gas Company	GA-2002-429
Missouri Gas Energy	GT-2003-0033
Aquila Networks L & P	GT-2003-0038
Southern Missouri Gas Company, L.P.	GT-2003-0031
Atmos Energy Corporation	GT-2003-0037
Fidelity Natural Gas, Inc.	GT-2003-0036
Laclede Gas Company	GT-2003-0032
Union Electric Company	GT-2003-0034
Union Electric Company	GR-2003-0517
Missouri Gas Energy	GT-2004-0049
Aquila Inc.	GR-2004-0072
Missouri Gas Energy	GC-2004-0216
Missouri Gas Energy	GC-2004-0305
Algonquin Water Resources of Missouri, LLC	WR-2006-0425

Missouri-American Water Company	WR-2007-0216
Missouri-American Water Company	SR-2007-0217
Timber Creek Sewer Company	SR-2008-0080
Missouri-American Water Company	WR-2008-0311
Missouri-American Water Company	SR-2008-0312