

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of the Consideration of Adoption)
of the PURPA Section 111(d)(18) Consideration)
of Smart Grid Investments Standard as Required) Case No. EW-2009-0290
by Section 1307 of the Energy Independence and)
Security Act of 2007.)

In the Matter of the Consideration of Adoption)
of the PURPA Section 111(d)(16) Integrated)
Resource Planning Standard as Required by) Case No. EW-2009-0291
Section 532 of the Energy Independence and)
Security Act of 2007.)

In the Matter of the Consideration of Adoption)
of the PURPA Section 111(d)(17) Rate Design)
Modifications to Promote Energy Efficiency) Case No. EW-2009-0292
Investments Standard as Required by Section)
532 of the Energy Independence and Security)
Act of 2007.)

In the Matter of the Consideration of Adoption)
of the PURPA Section 111(d)(19) Smart Grid)
Information Standard as Required by Section) Case No. EW-2009-0293
1307 of the Energy Independence and Security)
Act of 2007.)

**MISSOURI INDUSTRIAL ENERGY CONSUMERS' REPLY
TO PROCEDURAL RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers, by and through counsel, and for its reply to the parties' October 26 procedural recommendations states as follows:

Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers (MIEC) supports separation of the Integrated Resource Planning Standard, Energy Efficiency Standard and Smart Grid standards into separate cases.

It is also important to have a full base of information upon which to evaluate these standards. Particularly with respect to Smart Grid and Energy Efficiency, full evidentiary

proceedings are needed. To develop an appropriate fact base for consideration of these standards and proper implementation, MIEC believes the standards be considered in the context of a traditional contested evidentiary hearing in which parties file testimony, appear and are cross-examined under oath, and where all parties have the right to seek information through discovery. An informal workshop setting is not suitable for developing an appropriate evidentiary base and considering what is likely to be the varying opinions of the participants. An evidentiary hearing is best suited for this purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

BRYAN CAVE, LLP

By: /s/ Diana M. Vuylsteke

Diana M. Vuylsteke, # 42419

211 N. Broadway, Suite 3600

St. Louis, Missouri 63102

Telephone: (314) 259-2543

Facsimile: (314) 259-2020

E-mail: dmvuylsteke@bryancave.com

Attorney for The Missouri Industrial
Energy Consumers

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been hand-delivered, transmitted by e-mail or mailed, First Class, postage prepaid, this 13th day of November 2009, to all parties on the Commission's service list in this case.

/s/ Diana M. Vuylsteke