



Commissioners

SHEILA LUMPE
Chair

M. DIANNE DRAINER
Vice Chair

CONNIE MURRAY

ROBERT G. SCHEMENAUER

KELVIN L. SIMMONS

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360
JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102
573-751-3234
573-751-1847 (Fax Number)
<http://www.psc.state.mo.us>

February 28, 2001

BRIAN D. KINKADE
Executive Director

GORDON L. PERSINGER
Director, Research and Public Affairs

WESS A. HENDERSON
Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG
Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. KOLILIS
Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

DANA K. JOYCE
General Counsel

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

FILED³

FEB 28 2001

RE: Case No. TA-2001-334

Missouri Public
Service Commission

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned case are an original and eight (8) conformed copies of a **UNANIMOUS STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT**.

This filing has been mailed or hand-delivered this date to all counsel of record.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely yours,

Eric William Anderson
Assistant General Counsel
(573) 751-7485
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
eanderso@mail.state.mo.us

EWA:ccl
Enclosure
cc: Counsel of Record

Schedule 1

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
STATE OF MISSOURI**

FILED³
FEB 28 2001

Missouri Public
Service Commission

In the Matter of the Application)
of Missouri State Discount Telephone)
for a Certificate of Authority to)
Provide Basic Local)
Telecommunications Service and)
Long Distance Service in the State)
of Missouri and to Classify Said)
Services and Missouri State Discount)
Telephone as Competitive.)

Case No. TA-2001-334

UNANIMOUS STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

Missouri State Discount Telephone (Applicant) initiated this proceeding on November 29, 2000, by filing an Application requesting a certificate of service authority to provide basic local exchange and interexchange telecommunications services in all of Missouri.

The Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) granted the Missouri Independent Telephone Company Group (MITG) and the Small Telephone Company Group (STCG) timely applications to intervene.

A. Standards and Criteria

1. Applicant is requesting to enter into all small LEC and large LEC areas of the state of Missouri. Applicant is requesting certification to provide basic local and interexchange telephone service. As to the small LEC areas Applicant agrees to comply with section 392.451 and provide the "essential local telecommunications services" listed in 4 CSR 240-31.010(5). As to the large LEC areas, for purposes of this Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement, the Parties agree that applications for basic local exchange

service authority in exchanges served by "large" local exchange companies (LECs)¹ should be processed in a manner similar to that in which applications for interexchange and local exchange authority currently are handled.

2. In determining whether Applicant's application for certificate of service authority should be granted, the Commission should consider Applicant's technical, financial, and managerial resources and abilities to provide basic local telecommunications service. Applicant must demonstrate that the basic local services it proposes to offer satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission, including but not limited to filing and maintaining basic local service tariffs with the Commission in the same manner and form as the Commission requires of incumbent local exchange carriers ("ILECs") with which Applicant seeks to compete. Further, Applicant agrees to meet the minimum basic local service standards, including quality of service and billing standards, as the Commission requires of the ILECs with which Applicants seeks to compete. Notwithstanding the provisions of §392.500 R.S.Mo. 2000², as a condition of certification and competitive classification, Applicant agrees that, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, Applicant's originating and terminating access rates will be capped at the levels authorized by the Commission in In the Matter of Access Rates to be Charged By Competitive Local Exchange Telephone Companies in the State of Missouri, Case No. TO-99-596. Accordingly, the parties acknowledge and agree that Applicant may submit tariffs providing for originating and/or terminating exchange access rates equal to or less than those of the directly competing ILEC in each exchange in which Applicant is authorized to provide basic local telecommunications

¹Large LECs are defined as LECs that serve 100,000 or more access lines, § 386.020 R.S.Mo. 2000. In Missouri, the current large LECs are SWBT, Sprint, and GTE and Spectra.

services. Additionally, Applicant agrees that if the directly competing ILEC, in whose service area Applicant is operating, decreases its originating and/or terminating access service rates, Applicant shall file an appropriate tariff amendment to reduce its originating and/or terminating access rates within thirty (30) days of the directly competing ILEC's reduction of its originating and/or terminating access rates in order to maintain the cap.³ Further, Applicant agrees to offer basic local telecommunications service as a separate and distinct service and has sufficiently identified the geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local service. Such area follows exchange boundaries of the ILECs in the same area and is no smaller than an exchange. Finally, Applicant agrees to provide equitable access to affordable telecommunications services, as determined by the Commission for all Missourians within the geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local service, regardless of residence or income. See §392.455.

3. Applicant has submitted its Application without tariffs and seeks a temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(C). Applicant agrees to file its initial tariffs within 30 days of an approved interconnection agreement and serve all parties thereto with written notice at the time the initial tariffs are submitted to afford them an opportunity to participate in the tariff approval process. Applicant will provide copies of the tariff immediately to a requesting Party. Any service authority shall be regarded as conditional and shall not be exercised until such time as tariffs for services have become effective. When filing its initial basic local tariff, Applicant also shall file and serve a

² All RSMo citations are to RSMo 2000 unless otherwise indicated.

³ This provision shall not be construed to require Applicant to file a tariff amendment if: (1) Applicant has concurred in the directly competing ILEC's access tariff; or (2) Applicant's

written disclosure of all resale and/or interconnection agreements which affect its Missouri service areas; all portions of its Missouri service areas for which it does not have a resale and/or interconnection agreement with the ILEC; and an explanation of why such a resale and/or interconnection agreement is unnecessary for such areas.

4. Pursuant to § 392.420, Applicant has requested that the Commission waive the application of the following statutory provisions and rules to its basic local telecommunications services and interexchange service, and the Parties agree that the Commission should grant such request provided that § 392.200 should continue to apply to all of Applicant's services. In its application MSDT requested some statutory terms that are different than those listed below. MSDT agrees to amend its application to request the following waivers:

For MSDT's basic local service offerings:

Statutory Provisions

§ 392.210.2
§ 392.240(1)
§ 392.270
§ 392.280
§ 392.290
§ 392.300.2
§ 392.310
§ 392.320
§ 392.330
§ 392.340

Commission Rules

4 CSR 240-10.020
4 CSR 240-30.010(2)(C)
4 CSR 240-30.040
4 CSR 240-32.030(4)(C)
4 CSR 240-33.030
4 CSR 240-35

For MSDT's Interexchange and non-switched service offerings:

Statutory Provisions

§ 392.210.2
§ 392.240(1)
§ 392.270
§ 392.280
§ 392.290
§ 392.300.2
§ 392.310
§ 392.320
§ 392.330
§ 392.340

Commission Rules

4 CSR 240-10.020
4 CSR 240-30.010(2)(C)
4 CSR 240-30.040
4 CSR 240-33.030
4 CSR 240-35

corresponding originating and/or terminating access rates are not higher than the ILEC's originating and/or terminating access rates following the ILEC's reduction of rates.

5. In negotiating the remaining provisions of this Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement, the Parties employed the foregoing standards and criteria, which are intended to meet the requirements of existing law and §§392.440, 392.450, 392.451 and 392.455 R.S.Mo. 2000 regarding applications for certificates of local exchange service authority to provide or resell basic local telecommunications service.

B. Applicant Certification

6. Applicant hereby agrees that its Application should be deemed amended to include by reference the terms and provisions described in paragraphs 2-4 above.

7. Based upon its verified Application, as amended by this Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement, Applicant asserts, and no party makes a contrary assertion, that there is sufficient evidence from which the Commission can find and conclude that Applicant:

- a. possesses sufficient technical, financial and managerial resources and abilities to provide basic local telecommunications service and local exchange telecommunications service, including exchange access service and interexchange service;
- b. proposes and agrees to offer basic local services that satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission;
- c. has sufficiently identified the geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local telecommunications service, and such area follows exchange boundaries of the ILECs in the same areas, and such area is no smaller than an exchange;

- d. will offer basic local telecommunications service as a separate and distinct service;
 - e. has agreed to provide equitable access to affordable basic local telecommunications services, as determined by the Commission, for all Missourians within the geographic area in which Applicant proposes to offer basic local service, regardless of where they live or their income;
 - f. in small LEC areas will offer telecommunications service which the commission has determined are essential for purposes of qualifying for state universal service fund support found in 4 CSR 240-31.010(5)⁴ and will advertise the availability of such essential services and the charges therefor using media of general distribution in compliance with §392.451;
 - h. has sought authority which will serve the public interest.
8. Applicant asserts, and no Party opposes, that Applicant's Application and

⁴ 4 CSR 240-31.010 provides the definition of "essential local telecommunications services" as follows: (5) Essential local telecommunications services--Two (2)-way switched voice residential service within a local calling scope as determined by the commission, comprised of the following services and their recurring charges: (A) Single line residential service, including Touch-Tone dialing, and any applicable mileage or zone charges; (B) Access to local emergency services including, but not limited to, 911 service established by local authorities; (C) Access to basic local operator services; (D) Access to basic local directory assistance; (E) Standard intercept service; (F) Equal access to interexchange carriers consistent with rules and regulations of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC); (G) One (1) standard white pages directory listing; and (H) Toll blocking or toll control for qualifying low-income customers.

request for authority to provide basic local telecommunications services and local exchange telecommunications services (including exchange access service) should be granted. All services authorized herein should be classified as competitive telecommunications services provided that the requirements of § 392.200 continue to apply, and Applicant shall remain classified as a competitive telecommunications company. Applicant asserts, and no Party opposes, that such services will be subject to sufficient competition by the services of the ILECs to justify a lesser degree of regulation of Applicant's services consistent with the protection of ratepayers and the promotion of the public interest. Such classification should become effective upon the tariffs for the services becoming effective. Such authority should be conditional, not to be exercised until such time as tariffs for those services have been filed (together with the written disclosure as stipulated above) and have become effective. The Commission's Order should state the foregoing conditions substantially as follows:

The service authority and service classification herein granted are subject to the requirements of §392.200 R.S.Mo. 2000 and are conditional and shall not be exercised until such time as tariffs for services have become effective.

The Parties agree that Applicant's switched access services may be classified as competitive services. The Parties further agree that Applicant's intrastate switched exchange access services are subject to §392.200. Any increases in intrastate switched access service rates above the maximum switched access service rates as set forth in paragraph 2 herein shall be made pursuant to §§392.220 and 392.230 and not §§392.500 and 392.510. Applicant agrees that if the directly competing ILEC, in whose service area Applicant is operating, decreases its originating and/or terminating access rates, Applicant shall file an appropriate tariff amendment to reduce its originating and/or

terminating access rates in the directly competing ILEC's service area within thirty (30) days of the directly competing ILEC's reduction of its originating and/or terminating access rates in order to maintain the cap.⁵ The Commission's Order should state the foregoing conditions substantially as follows:

The service authority and service classification for switched exchange access granted herein is expressly conditioned on the continued applicability of §392.200 R.S.Mo. and the requirement that any increases in switched access service rates above the maximum switched access service rates set forth herein shall be made pursuant to §§392.220 and 392.230 R.S.Mo. and not §§392.500 and 392.510 R.S.Mo. Applicant agrees that if the directly competing ILEC, in whose service area Applicant is operating, decreases its originating and/or terminating access service rates, Applicant shall file an appropriate tariff amendment to reduce its originating and/or terminating access rates in the directly competing ILEC's service area within thirty (30) days of the directly competing ILEC's reduction or its originating and/or terminating access rates in order to maintain the cap. Applicant will not be required to file a tariff amendment if: (1) Applicant has concurred in the directly competing ILEC's access tariff; or (2) Applicant's existing originating and/or terminating access rates are not higher than the directly competing ILEC's originating and/or terminating access rates following the ILEC's reduction of rates.

9. Applicant's request for a temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(C)⁶, which requires applications to include a proposed tariff with a 45-day effective date, is not opposed by the Parties and should be granted, because at the time of filing its Application, Applicant does not yet have approved a resale or interconnection agreement with any ILEC. Applicant agrees that at such time as all facts necessary for the development of tariffs become known, it will submit the tariff(s) within 30 days of an approved interconnection agreement, with a minimum 45-day proposed effective date, to the Commission for its approval, together with the written disclosure as stipulated above.

⁵ See Footnote 3.

Applicant shall serve notice to all parties and participants in this docket of the filing of its tariff(s) at the time filed with the Commission and serve the tariff(s) with the aforesaid written disclosure and shall upon request immediately provide any Party with a copy of Applicant's proposed tariff(s). The Commission's order should state these obligations as conditions to the temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(C), substantially as follows:

Applicant's request for temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(C) is hereby granted. Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of an Order approving an interconnection agreement with any underlying carrier, applicant shall submit its tariff for Commission approval. Such tariff(s) shall have a minimum 45-day effective date and the Applicant shall serve written notice upon the Parties hereto of such submittal and shall provide copies of such tariff(s) to such Parties immediately upon request. When filing its initial basic local service tariff in this docket, the Applicant also shall file and serve upon the Parties hereto a written disclosure of all resale or interconnection agreements which affect its Missouri service areas; all portions of its Missouri service areas for which it does not have a resale or interconnection agreement with the ILEC; and its explanation of why such resale or interconnection agreement is unnecessary for any such areas.

10. Applicant's request for waiver of the application of the following rules and statutory provisions as they relate to the regulation of Applicant's basic local and interexchange telecommunications services should be granted:

For MSDT's basic local service offerings:

Statutory Provisions

§ 392.210.2

§ 392.240(1)

§ 392.270

§ 392.280

§ 392.290

§ 392.300.2

§ 392.310

§ 392.320

§ 392.330

§ 392.340

Commission Rules

4 CSR 240-10.020

4 CSR 240-30.010(2)(C)

4 CSR 240-30.040

4 CSR 240-32.030(4)(C)

4 CSR 240-33.030

4 CSR 240-35

⁶ MSDT actually requested temporary waiver of "4 SCR 240.060(4)(H)" and described the 45 day effective date. As this section does not exist it is the parties understanding that the section meant to be cited is 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(c).

For MSDT's Interexchange and non-switched service offerings:

Statutory Provisions

§ 392.210.2
§ 392.240(1)
§ 392.270
§ 392.280
§ 392.290
§ 392.300.2
§ 392.310
§ 392.320
§ 392.330
§ 392.340

Commission Rules

4 CSR 240-10.020
4 CSR 240-30.010(2)(C)
4 CSR 240-30.040
4 CSR 240-33.030
4 CSR 240-35

11. This Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement has resulted from extensive negotiations among the signatories and the terms thereof are interdependent. In the event the Commission does not adopt this Stipulation in total, this Stipulation and Agreement shall be void, and no signatory shall be bound by any of the agreements or provisions hereof. The stipulations herein are specific to the resolution of this proceeding and are made without prejudice to the rights of the signatories to take other positions in other proceedings.

12. In the event the Commission accepts the specific terms of this Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement, the Parties and participants waive with respect to the issues resolved herein their respective rights pursuant to §536.080.1 to present testimony, to cross-examine witnesses, to present oral argument or written briefs, their respective rights to the reading of the transcript by the Commission pursuant to §536.080.2, and their respective rights to seek rehearing pursuant to §386.500 and their respective rights to seek judicial review pursuant to §386.510. The Parties agree to cooperate with Applicant

and with each other in presenting this Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement for approval to the Commission and shall take no action, direct or indirect, in opposition to the request for approval of the Applicant's Application made herein.

13. The Staff shall file suggestions or a memorandum in support of this Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement and the other parties shall have the right to file responsive suggestions or prepared testimony. All responsive suggestions, prepared testimony, or memorandum shall be subject to the terms of any Protective Order that may be entered in this case.

14. The Staff also shall have the right to provide, at any agenda meeting at which this Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement is noticed to be considered by the Commission, whatever oral explanation the Commission requests; provided that Staff shall provide, to the extent reasonably practicable, the other Parties and participants with advance notice of when the Staff shall respond to the Commission's request for such explanation once such explanation is requested from the Staff. Staff's oral explanation shall be subject to public disclosure, except to the extent that it refers to matters that are privileged or protected by disclosure pursuant to any protective order that may be issued in this case.

15. The Office of the Public Counsel is a signatory to this Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement for the sole purpose of stating that it has no objection to this Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement.

16. Finally, Applicant will comply with all applicable Commission rules and regulations, except those which specifically are waived by the Commission.

WHEREFORE, the signatories respectfully request the Commission to issue its Order approving the terms of this Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement and issue its Order granting applicant a certificate of service authority to provide basic local exchange service, a certificate of service authority to provide interexchange telecommunications service, and classification as requested by Applicant subject to the conditions described above, at its earliest convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE
General Counsel



Eric William Anderson
Assistant General Counsel
Missouri Bar No. 47253

Attorney for the Staff of the
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-7485 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
eanderso@mail.state.mo.us



Harry L. Thielepape
Missouri State Discount Telephone
804 Elkins Lake
Huntsville, Texas 77340
(936) 435-1400 (Telephone)
(936) 293-8522 (Fax)

Michael Dandino by ewA

Michael Dandino, Mo. Bar No. 24590
Office of the Public Counsel
P.O. Box 7800
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751- 5559
(573) 751-5562 (fax)

Attorney for the
Office of the Public Counsel

Craig S. Johnson by ewA

Craig S. Johnson, Mo. Bar No. 28179
Andereck, Evans, Milne, Peace & Johnson
The Col. Dawin Marmaduke House
700 East Capitol
P.O. Box 1438
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 634-3422
(573) 634-7822 (fax)

Attorney for MITG

Sondra B. Morgan by ewA

Sondra B. Morgan, Mo. Bar No. 35482
Brydon, Swearngen & England, PC
312 E. Capitol Ave., P.O. Box 456
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 635-7166
(573) 635-0427 FAX

Attorney for STCG

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed or hand-delivered to all counsel of record as shown on the attached service list this 28th day of February, 2001.

EW

**Service List for
Case No. TA-2001-334
February 28, 2001 (ccl)**

**Office of the Public Counsel
P.O. Box 7800
Jefferson City, MO 65102**

**Craig Johnson
Andereck, Evans, Milne, Peace & Baumhoer
305 East McCarty St., P.O. Box 1438
Jefferson City, MO 65102**

**Harry L. Thielepape
Missouri State Discount Telephone
804 Elkins Lake
Huntsville, TX 77340**

**Sondra B. Morgan
Brydon, Swearngen & England P.C.
312 E. Capitol Avenue
P. O. Box 456
Jefferson City, MO 65102**