## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In re: The Master Resale Agreement Between
Sprint Missouri, Inc., d/b/a Sprint, and
DSLnet Communications, LLC d/b/a DSL.net

)

Case No. BK-2002-1038

## ORDER DIRECTING NOTICE AND ORDER MAKING DSLNET COMMUNICATIONS, LLC D/B/A DSL.NET A PARTY

Syllabus: This order requires the Data Center to send notice, makes DSL.net a party, sets an intervention date, and requires the Staff to file a memorandum.

On April 22, 2002, Sprint Missouri, Inc., d/b/a Sprint, filed an application with the Missouri Public Service Commission for approval of an interconnection agreement (i.e., a master resale agreement) with DSLnet Communications, LLC d/b/a DSL.net under the provisions of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. Sprint states that there are no unresolved issues and that the agreement complies with Section 252(e) of the Act in that it is not discriminatory to nonparty carriers and is consistent with the public interest.

Although DSL.net is a party to the agreement, it did not join in the application. Because DSL.net is a necessary party to a full and fair adjudication of this matter, the Commission will add DSL.net as a party to this case.

The Act provides that an interconnection or resale agreement must be approved unless the state commission finds that the agreement discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement, or that implementation of the agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.<sup>1</sup>

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 47 U.S.C. 252(e).

The Commission finds that proper persons should be allowed 20 days from the issuance of this order to file a motion for hearing. The *Deffenderfer* case held that the requirement of a hearing is met when an opportunity to be heard has been provided and no proper party has requested the opportunity to present evidence.<sup>2</sup>

Section 252(e)(4) of the Act provides that if the Commission has not approved an agreement within 90 days after submission, the agreement will be deemed approved. Therefore, the Commission will proceed with this case expeditiously and, if there are no requests for a hearing, relief may be granted based on the petition. The Commission finds that notice of this application should be sent to all interexchange and local exchange telecommunications companies.

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- 1. That the Data Center of the Missouri Public Service Commission must send notice to all interexchange and local exchange telecommunications companies.
  - 2. That DSLnet Communications, LLC d/b/a DSL.net is made a party to this case.
- 3. That any party wishing to request a hearing must do so by filing a pleading no later than May 23, 2002, with:

Dale Hardy Roberts, Secretary Missouri Public Service Commission Post Office Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360

and send copies to:

Lisa Creighton Hendricks, Esq. 6450 Sprint Parkway Mail Stop: KSOPHN0212-2A253 Overland Park, KS 66251

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> State ex rel. Rex Deffenderfer Enterprises, Inc. v. Public Service Commission, 776 S.W.2d 494, 496 (Mo. App. 1989).

Legal Department DSLnet Communications, LLC d/b/a DSL.net 545 Long Warf Drive, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor New Haven, Connecticut 06511

and:

Office of the Public Counsel Post Office Box 7800 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-7800

- 4. That the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission must file a memorandum advising either approval or rejection of this agreement and giving the reasons therefor no later than June 3, 2002.
- 5. That all pleadings must be filed in paper form. After the paper copies of the pleadings are properly filed, however, all parties are also encouraged to file copies of their pleadings electronically. Electronically-submitted documents may be provided on computer disk or submitted by e-mail to the law judge at <a href="mailto:bhopkins@mail.state.mo.us">bhopkins@mail.state.mo.us</a> in a file saved in Word, WordPerfect, or ASCII format with an extension of \*.doc, \*.wpd, or \*.txt. (Copies of exhibits, attachments, schedules, etc., need not be sent electronically; only pleadings should be sent electronically.)

6. That this order will become effective on May 13, 2002.

BY THE COMMISSION

Ask Hard Roberts

Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Law Judge

(SEAL)

Bill Hopkins, Senior Law Judge, by delegation of authority under Section 386.240, RSMo 2000, as currently supplemented.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 3rd day of May, 2002.