

**STATE OF MISSOURI  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
JEFFERSON CITY  
December 20, 2001**

**CASE NO: WA-2002-65**

**Office of the Public Counsel**  
P.O. Box 7800  
Jefferson City, MO 65102

**Gregory D. Williams**  
Attorney at Law  
Highway 5 at Lake Road 5-33  
PO Box 431  
Sunrise Beach, MO 65079  
**Presiding Commissioner**  
Camden County Courthouse  
1 Court Circle, PO Box 960  
Camdenton, MO 65020

**General Counsel**  
Missouri Public Service Commission  
P.O. Box 360  
Jefferson City, MO 65102

**Missouri Department of Natural Resources**  
205 Jefferson Street  
Jefferson City, MO 65101

**Loraine & Associates**  
Thomas E. Loraine  
4075 Highway 54, Suite 300  
Osage Beach, MO 65065

**Enclosed find certified copy of ORDER in the above-numbered case(s).**

**Sincerely,**



**Dale Hardy Roberts**  
**Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge**

**STATE OF MISSOURI  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

At a Session of the Public Service  
Commission held at its office in  
Jefferson City on the 20th day of  
December, 2001.

In the Matter of the Application of Environmental Utilities, )  
LLC, for Permission, Approval, and a Certificate of )  
Convenience and Necessity Authorizing It to Construct, )  
Install, Own, Operate, Control, Manage and Maintain ) **Case No. WA-2002-65**  
a Water System for the Public Located in Unincorporated )  
Portions of Camden County, Missouri (Golden Glade )  
Subdivision) )

**ORDER DENYING MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME**

On December 14, 2001, Hancock Construction Company filed a motion asking the Commission to shorten the time allowed for Environmental Utilities, LLC, to respond to a data request submitted by Hancock. Hancock indicates that it faxed the data request to Environmental Utilities' attorney on December 12. Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-2.090(2) requires that data requests be answered within twenty days. Twenty days from December 12 is January 1, 2002. Hancock requests that Environmental Utilities be required to answer the data request within fifteen days. Fifteen days from December 12 is December 27. The hearing in this case is set for January 7, 2002.

Hancock's motion does not contain any justification for its motion to shorten the time allowed for response to its data requests. While the hearing is rapidly approaching, there is no indication in the motion that Hancock's preparation for that hearing will be impaired if it receives a response to its data request on the twentieth day, Tuesday, January 1, 2002,

rather than the fifteenth day, Thursday, December 27, 2001. Hancock's motion also does not provide any reason why it could not have submitted its data requests to Environmental Utilities sooner, thus avoiding the need to shorten the time for response.

Hancock's motion simply does not provide a basis for the Commission to conclude that the already short time allowed for a response to a data request should be further shortened. Hancock's motion will be denied.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:**

1. That the Motion to Shorten Time filed by Hancock Construction Company is denied.
2. That this order shall become effective on December 27, 2001.

**BY THE COMMISSION**



**Dale Hardy Roberts**  
**Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge**

( S E A L )

Simmons, Ch., Murray, Lumpe, Gaw and Forbis, CC., concur

Woodruff, Senior Regulatory Law Judge

**STATE OF MISSOURI**

**OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and  
I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City,  
Missouri, this 20<sup>th</sup> day of Dec. 2001.

*Dale Hardy Roberts*

---

**Dale Hardy Roberts**  
**Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge**

