Exhibit No.:

Issue: Tariff Issues
Witness: Tim M. Rush
Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony
Sponsoring Party: Kansas City Power & Light Company
Case No.: EO-2012-0141
Date Testimony Prepared: April 4, 2012

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO.: EO-2012-0141

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

TIM M. RUSH

ON BEHALF OF

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Kansas City, Missouri April 2012

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

TIM M. RUSH

Case No. EO-2012-0141

| 1 | Q: | Please state your name and business address. |
|-----|----|---|
| 2 | A: | My name is Tim M. Rush. My business address is 1200 Main Street, Kansas City, |
| 3 | | Missouri 64105. |
| 4 | Q: | Are you the same Tim M. Rush who prefiled rebuttal testimony in this matter? |
| 5 | A: | Yes. |
| 6 | Q: | What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony? |
| 7 | A: | I will respond to some of the issues raised in the rebuttal testimony of Staff witness |
| 8 | | Michael S. Scheperle and in the December 7, 2011 Memorandum (Schedule MSS-2) |
| 9 | | from the Electric Meter Variance Committee ("Committee"). |
| 10 | Q: | What did the Committee and the Missouri Public Service Commission Staff |
| 11 | | ("Staff") recommend? |
| 12 | A: | The Staff and the Committee recommended the Missouri Public Service Commission |
| 13 | | ("Commission") grant relief so that Kansas City Power & Light Company ("KCP&L" or |
| 14 | | "Company") can provide master metering to the Cathedral Square Corporation ("CSC") |
| 4 = | | |
| 15 | | building located at 444 W. 12 th Street in Kansas City, Missouri, so long as the building is |
| 16 | | |
| | | building located at 444 W. 12 th Street in Kansas City, Missouri, so long as the building is |

| 1 | Q: | What is the Electric Meter Variance Committee? |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | A: | It is a committee established by 4 CSR 240-20.050(5)(C) to address variance requests |
| 3 | | made under this rule. Both Staff members and the Office of the Public Counsel serve on |
| 4 | | this Committee. |
| 5 | Q: | Did Staff and the Committee determine that separate metering for residential units |
| 6 | | at the Cathedral Square Towers building located at 444 W. 12 th Street in Kansas |
| 7 | | City, Missouri is not required by 4 CSR 240-20.050? |
| 8 | A: | Yes. The Staff and the Committee believe 4 CSR 240-20.050 does not apply in this |
| 9 | | situation due to the age of the CSC building. |
| 10 | Q: | Does the Company agree that 4 CSR 240-20.050 does not apply in this instance? |
| 11 | A: | Yes, the Company agrees the building was built prior to June 1, 1981, and as such 4 CSR |
| 12 | | 240-20.050 does not apply. |
| 13 | Q: | Do KCP&L's tariffs allow KCP&L to provide electric service to CSC through one |
| 14 | | master meter? |
| 15 | A: | Two of KCP&L's tariff provisions prevent the Company from providing electric service |
| 16 | | to the residents of the CSC building through the use of a master meter, as individual |
| 17 | | metering is required for multiple occupancy premises. The two subparts of KCP&L's |
| 18 | | General Rules and Regulations tariff that relate to the master metering question are 5.01 |
| 19 | | and 5.03 attached as my surrebuttal testimony as Schedules TMR-5 and TMR-6. |
| 20 | Q: | Does Staff agree that KCP&L's tariffs prohibit it from providing service to CSC |
| 21 | | through the use of a master meter? |
| 22 | A: | Yes. Staff and the Committee in its Memorandum, page 7 found, "Individual metering is |
| 23 | | required by KCPL's Tariff Subparts 5.01 and 5.03 unless an exception exists. In this |

| 1 | | case, | case, no exception has been documented and KCPL's tariff clearly provides that KCPL | | | | | |
|----------------------------|----|--------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | may n | may not serve the residents of the CSC facility through a master meter" | | | | | |
| 3 | Q: | You | You stated earlier that Staff and the Committee recommended the Commission | | | | | |
| 4 | | grant | relief so that KCP&L can provide master metering to CSC. What was the | | | | | |
| 5 | | basis | of that recommendation if Staff agrees the Company cannot offer master | | | | | |
| 6 | | meter | ring due to its tariff? | | | | | |
| 7 | A: | Staff | and the Committee used a set of factors to reach their conclusions. As set forth in | | | | | |
| 8 | | the M | emorandum, the factors are: | | | | | |
| 9 10 | | 1. | Is individual metering of the multiple-occupancy building required by KCPL's tariff Subpart 5.01 and 5.03? | | | | | |
| 11 12 | | 2. | Do the occupant(s) of each unit have control over a portion of the electric energy used in such unit? | | | | | |
| 13 14 15 | | 3. | With respect to such portion of electric energy used in such unit, do the long-run benefits to the electric consumers in such building exceed the costs of purchasing and installing separate meters? | | | | | |
| 16 17 18 19 | | 4. | Would the granting of a variance be consistent with the goals of PURPA to increase conservation of electric energy, increase efficiency in the use of facilities and resources by electric utilities, and establish equitable retail rates for electric consumers? | | | | | |
| 20 21 22 23 24 | | 5. | Would the granting of a variance be in the public interest because it furthers a public policy objective in conjunction with other federal, state, or local government programs, such as subsidizing housing costs for low-income residents or promoting economic development in certain urban areas? | | | | | |
| 25 | Q: | What | did Staff and the Committee find when applying these five factors? | | | | | |
| 26 | A: | Factor | r 1. "Is individual metering of the multiple-occupancy building required by | | | | | |
| 27 | | KCPL | s tariff Subpart 5.01 and 5.03?" The conclusion as stated in Staff's memorandum | | | | | |
| 28 | | was " | was "yes". | | | | | |

Factor 2. "Do the occupant(s) of each unit have control over a portion of the electric energy used in such unit?" The conclusion as stated in Staff's memorandum was "yes".

Factor 3. "With respect to such portion of electric energy used in such unit, do the long-run benefits to the electric consumers in such building exceed the costs of purchasing and installing separate meters?" The conclusion as stated in Staff's memorandum was "no", "CSC neither maintains nor operates the meters. KCPL maintains and operates the meters."

Factor 4. "Would the granting of a variance be consistent with the goals of PURPA to increase conservation of electric energy, increase efficiency in the use of facilities and resources by electric utilities, and establish equitable retail rates for electric consumers?" The conclusion in Staff's memorandum was "Currently, the CSC building is <u>in compliance with PURPA mandated policies</u> which are designed (1) to increase conservation of electrical energy, (2) to increase efficiency in the use of facilities and resources by electric utilities, and (3) equitable retail rates for electric consumers... Granting of a variance will not necessarily increase or decrease conservation of electric energy, or increase efficiency in the use of facilities and resources by electric utilities... Granting a variance may be contrary to the goal of establishing equitable retail rates for electric consumers." (emphasis added)

Factor 5. "Would the granting of a variance be in the public interest because it furthers a public policy objective in conjunction with other federal, state, or local government programs, such as subsidizing housing costs for low-income residents or promoting economic development in certain urban areas?" The conclusion in Staff's

| 1 | | memorandum was "CSC is a non-profit corporation providing rental housing and related | | | | | |
|----|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | facilities for lower income families and elderly and handicapped families and elderly and | | | | | |
| 3 | | handicapped persons pursuant to Section 202 of the National Housing Act." | | | | | |
| 4 | Q: | Do you believe these factors and the conclusions contained in Staff and the | | | | | |
| 5 | | Committee's Memorandum support the recommendation to grant CSC the relief it | | | | | |
| 6 | | seeks? | | | | | |
| 7 | A: | No. It appears that only one factor, Factor 5, supported Staff's recommendation and | | | | | |
| 8 | | Factor 5 only supports the Staff's recommendation by equating "public interest" with | | | | | |
| 9 | | "public policy". In this instance, granting CSC's request will ultimately result in higher | | | | | |
| 10 | | rates for other customers. Any reduction in the electric rates CSC pays is ultimately | | | | | |
| 11 | | borne by the Company's remaining customers who may not agree a lower rate for CSC is | | | | | |
| 12 | | in the "public interest". | | | | | |
| 13 | Q: | Do other customers in KCP&L's service territory have similar situations to CSC? | | | | | |
| 14 | A: | I believe that other customers in KCP&L's service territory are similarly-situated in that | | | | | |
| 15 | | they are individually metered and may offer similar services as does CSC. | | | | | |
| 16 | Q: | Did the Staff or the Committee address the "un" availability of the Medium General | | | | | |
| 17 | | Service rate for CSC? | | | | | |
| 18 | A: | No. Neither address the fact that the rate would not be available for CSC. As presented | | | | | |
| 19 | | by CSC, the main savings comes from utilizing the Medium General Service rate. | | | | | |
| 20 | | Simply adding up all of the metered usage and billing under the existing Residential | | | | | |
| 21 | | Service rate for master metered facilities does provide some very small savings, but the | | | | | |
| 22 | | primary savings comes from the rate change. | | | | | |

| 1 | Q: | Why does the Medium General Service rate provide a lower rate than the |
|--|----|---|
| 2 | | Residential Service rate for the same usage level? |
| 3 | A: | The reason for the difference is in the rate design and the usage characteristics of the |
| 4 | | specific customer types. The Medium General Service rate is not designed for residential |
| 5 | | customers and the data used in designing the rate did not include their usage profiles or |
| 6 | | cost causation characteristics. By contrast, the rate that CSC currently pays, including |
| 7 | | meter charges has been set by the Commission so that KCP&L can recover its investment |
| 8 | | and ongoing costs to serve CSC's building. |
| 9 | Q: | Did Staff and the Committee present any other information in support of its |
| 10 | | recommendations? |
| 11 | A: | Yes, beginning on page 9 of its Memorandum, Staff listed 6 additional considerations. |
| 12 | | The considerations and the conclusions from the Memorandum are: |
| 13 14 | | (1) Is the average age of the residents is well over 65 years, or the residents are physically or mentally impaired or disabled? |
| 15 16 17 | | Finding: The average age of the residents of the rental housing at CSC is seventy-four (74) years with 160 residents in 156 units. There are thirty-one (31) residents with physical disabilities and nineteen (19) mentally impaired. |
| 18 19 | | (2) Does the facility make available assistance with incidental Activities of Daily Living? |
| 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | | Finding: Numerous local organizations work closely with the CSC Service Coordinator to meet the needs of residents such as homemaking services, blood pressure checks, rides to and from appointments, and dinner's quarterly for residents. Services include regular health clinics, all utilities included in rent payment, high speed internet and cable available, controlled safety access, regular van and bus trips to grocery and convenience stores, trash pickup, full maintenance, laundry facilities, and numerous coordinated activities. |
| 27 28 | | (3) Do the facilities have special design features to accommodate the elderly, infirm or disabled? |
| 29 30 | | Finding: Currently, there are 31 residents with physical disabilities (10 with power chairs, 21 with walkers) and nineteen mentally impaired residents. Along |

| 1 2 3 4 | | with incidental activities described in (2) above, a communal dining facility is available to the residents, a large communal dining and resident's lounge, a large communal kitchen, a large lobby, a large fitness room, a crafts room, and a business center. | | | | |
|----------------------|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 5 | | (4) Is communal dining provided to residents? | | | | |
| 6 7 8 | | Finding: Communal dining is not provided on a daily basis; however, there is a large dining area and kitchen where communal meals are prepared for parties and on special occasions. | | | | |
| 9 | | (5) Do communal living areas make up a portion of the facility? | | | | |
| 10 11 12 13 | | Finding: Twenty-two percent of the facility involves the communal living area. A significant portion includes a large communal dining and residents' lounge, a large communal kitchen, a large lobby, a large fitness room, a crafts room, a business center, and a putting green. | | | | |
| 14 | | (6) Are the individual units relatively small? | | | | |
| 15 16 | | Finding: There are 144 single bedroom units and 12 two-bedroom units with 525 sq. ft. for a one bedroom and 625 sq. ft. for a two-bedroom living area. | | | | |
| 17 | Q: | Are any of the factors or additional considerations used by Staff and the Committee | | | | |
| 18 | | found or supported in any rule of the MPSC that you are aware of? | | | | |
| 19 | A: | Not that I am aware of. The Memorandum filed by Staff and the variance Committee | | | | |
| 20 | | refers to a Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement, previously approved by the | | | | |
| 21 | | Commission, granting a variance in Case Nos. EE-2004-0267 and EE-2004-0268 which | | | | |
| 22 | | involved a variation from 4 CSR 240-20.050. Staff's Suggestions in Support of the | | | | |
| 23 | | Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement, set forth six distinguishing characteristics which | | | | |
| 24 | | formed the basis it used to ultimately reach a Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement in | | | | |
| 25 | | both cases. | | | | |
| 26 | Q: | Was KCP&L a party to either of these cases? | | | | |
| 27 | A: | No. These were AmerenUE cases, and KCP&L was not a party to either case. | | | | |

| 1 | Q: | Has KCP&L agreed these factors and considerations should be used to determine | | | |
|----|----|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | | the outcome of this case or any case? | | | |
| 3 | A: | No it has not. | | | |
| 4 | Q: | Do you believe that these factors and considerations are appropriate for the request | | | |
| 5 | | by CSC? | | | |
| 6 | A: | While I appreciate all of the work being performed at CSC, I am not sure how CSC's | | | |
| 7 | | charitable mission is itself a basis for granting CSC's variance from its tariffed rate. If | | | |
| 8 | | CSC ultimately ends up with a lower rate, then other customers' bills will need to | | | |
| 9 | | increase. The Commission must take into account the effect granting the variance will | | | |
| 10 | | have on other customers. Additionally, other residential facilities in KCP&L's service | | | |
| 11 | | territory may also want to finance the upgrade of their facilities in the same way that CSC | | | |
| 12 | | proposes. The Commission should consider how its decision in this case will encourage | | | |
| 13 | | similar requests and exacerbate rate switching. | | | |
| 14 | Q: | What were Mr. Scheperle's rebuttal testimony findings as it pertains to CSC? | | | |
| 15 | A: | Yes. Mr. Scheperle makes the following conclusions for CSC on page 2: | | | |
| 16 | | • Find it is in the public interest that KCPL provide master-metered electrical | | | |
| 17 | | service to [Cathedral Square Towers, located at 444 W. 12 th Street in Kansas | | | |
| 18 | | City, Missouri (CST)] CST on the appropriate general rates so long as CST is | | | |
| 19 | | being operated by an Internal Revenue Code (IRC) § 501(c)(3) qualified | | | |
| 20 | | organization and used to provide subsidized housing to low-income elderly | | | |
| 21 | | and/or disabled individuals who pay fixed rent inclusive of utilities; and | | | |
| 22 | | • Implement that finding by ordering KCPL to revise its tariff to permit the | | | |
| 23 | | Commission to grant variances from KCPL's General Rules and Regulations | | | |

which require individual metering to residential units such as those at CST at the request of KCPL, a customer of KCPL or a potential customer of KCPL when it is in the public interest to do so and, after the tariff is revised, find that service to CST qualifies for that variance.

Do you agree with the conclusions of the Staff?

Q:

A:

Q:

A:

No, I do not. Staff's recommendation indicates that CSC should receive the requested master metering and be placed on the appropriate general service rate so long as they meet certain qualifications. As I have pointed out in my rebuttal testimony, if CSC becomes a master metered customer, it would not qualify for any of the general service rates. This is because none of the general service rates are available for customers whose primary use is for residential purposes. This is found in the "Availability" section of the tariffs.

Staff's recommendation also requests that the Commission should order KCP&L to revise its tariff to permit the Commission to grant variances from KCP&L's tariff, which require individual metering to residential units. Why do you believe that Staff is requesting a tariff be filed which allows variances in metering?

As I have previously testified, I believe that a variance is required for CSC to be master metered. I believe that it does not qualify for master metering without a variance. Both the Company and customer have a substantial investment in the metering at CSC that was installed when the facility was initially built. If this were a new facility being built today, with all of the same conditions, it would be required that individual meters would be required for each unit, unless a variance were filed and approved by the Commission.

| 1 | | This requirement is found in the Company's General Rules and Regulations under the |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | metering section 6.03, Sheet 1.22 which is attached as Schedule TMR-7. |
| 3 | Q: | Would other customers in the service territory qualify for similar treatment if a |
| 4 | | variance were established as recommended by Staff? |
| 5 | A: | Yes. I suspect many other customers and facilities are similarly situated like CSC. As |
| 6 | | stated in the metering section of the Company's General Rules and Regulations, |
| 7 | | individual metering for residential customers has been required since June 1981. Prior to |
| 8 | | 1981, residential units either were individually metered, like CSC, or master metered, as |
| 9 | | CSC is requesting. |
| 10 | Q: | If residential facilities prior to 1981 were master metered, what rate were they |
| 11 | | placed on? |
| 12 | A: | They were placed on the residential rate with a multiplier for how many residential units |
| 13 | | were connected to the meter. This is described in the residential tariff under the |
| 14 | | "Availability" section. The provision became no longer available after June 1, 1981. |
| 15 | | The section coincides with the metering section which requires individual meters for each |
| 16 | | customer after June 1, 1981. |
| 17 | Q: | Do you have other residential customers who are master metered where |
| 18 | | construction of the facility was before June 1, 1981? |
| 19 | A: | Yes. |
| 20 | Q: | What rate are these customers on? |
| 21 | A: | These customers are on the residential rate with a multiplier for the number of residential |
| 22 | | units served beyond the meter. |

| 1 | Q: | If a variance for master metering CSC were granted, would it be possible for them |
|---|----|---|
| 2 | | to be billed on the residential rate with a multiplier for the number of units? |
| 3 | A: | Since CSC was not served like this prior to June 1, 1981, I believe that a variance would |

A: Since CSC was not served like this prior to June 1, 1981, I believe that a variance would be required to establish the customer as a residential customer with a multiplier for the number of units. This would be consistent with other apartments similarly situated.

4

5

- 6 Q: So it appears that if a meter variance is allowed which provides CSC with master
 7 metered service, then another variance is necessary to determine which rate is
 8 appropriate for that service. Is this correct?
- 9 A: Yes. I believe this to be correct. The General Service rates have two provisions ("For 10 electric service through one meter to a customer using electric service for purposes other 11 than those included in the availability provisions of the Residential Service Rate 12 Schedule." "Service will not be supplied where the ultimate use is primarily for 13 residential purposes.") that do not allow residential customers to qualify for the rate. See 14 Schedule TMR-1. The Residential rates have a provision for master metered facilities, 15 but limits availability to mastered metered building serviced as such prior to June 1, 16 1981. This was obviously set out that way because residential customers after June 1, 17 1981, required individual metering.
- 18 Q: Do you support Staff's recommendation that a tariff revision be made by KCP&L so that tariff variances can be made?
- A: No. I believe that a variance can be granted by this Commission without a tariff provision that sets out the criteria. The Company has been involved with numerous tariff variance requests which have been approved by the Commission. Those variances are set

- 1 out on P.S.C. Mo. No. 2 Sheet 1.71. Each variance has its specific circumstances. I do
- 2 not believe a generic variance tariff is necessary.
- 3 Q: Mr. Scheperle indicates that he has reviewed Mr. Flathman's testimony and agrees
- 4 that CSC would qualify for the Medium General Service rate schedule if the
- 5 Commission allows service to be master metered. Do you agree?
- 6 A: As I have previously stated, I do not agree. The Company's General Service rate
- 7 schedules (Small, Medium, and Large) all state that the rates are not available to
- 8 customers where the primary use is for residential purposes.
- 9 Q: Please summarize your surrebuttal testimony.
- 10 A: The Company believes that the current metering and billing for CSC is consistent with all
- of the existing tariffs of the Company and a variance should not be granted as good cause
- for a variance has not been shown. Should the Commission determine that a variance
- should be granted, KCP&L's Medium General Service tariff would not apply. CSC's
- Application describes its facilities as "residential units". KCP&L's Medium General
- Service tariff specifically states, "Service will not be supplied where the ultimate use is
- primarily for residential purposes." Whether or not CSC has one master meter as it has
- 17 requested or continues to receive service through individual meters for each unit, the only
- tariff it qualifies for is Residential Service. Removing the existing meters will not change
- the rate that CSC's pays.
- 20 Q: What do you recommend for CSC in this case?
- 21 A: I believe that a meter variance is not appropriate in this case and that the customer is
- being well served by the Company consistent with all other facilities similarly situated. I

believe that the request should be denied because CSC has not shown good cause for a variance.

However, if the Commission believes that it is appropriate to provide a meter variance which would allow CSC to be master metered, then I believe that another variance is necessary to determine what rate this customer would be billed under. If the meter variance were ordered, I believe that a variance should be granted for CSC to be billed on the residential rate with a multiplier of the number of units. This would be consistent with other similarly situated master metered facilities served prior to June 1, 1981.

10 Q: Does that conclude your testimony?

11 A: Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

| In the Matter of the Application of The Cathedral Square Corporation, a Missouri Non-Profit Corporation, For a Variance from Kansas City Power & Light Company's General Rules and Regulations Requiring Individual Metering | Case No. EO-2012-0141 | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| AFFIDAVIT (| OF TIM M. RUSH | | | | | |
| STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF JACKSON) | | | | | | |
| Tim M. Rush, being first duly sworn or | n his oath, states: | | | | | |
| 1. My name is Tim M. Rush. I w | ork in Kansas City, Missouri, and I am employed | | | | | |
| by Kansas City Power & Light Company as Di | rector, Regulatory Affairs. | | | | | |
| 2. Attached hereto and made a | part hereof for all purposes is my Surrebuttal | | | | | |
| Testimony on behalf of Kansas City Power & I | Light Company consisting of thickeen | | | | | |
| (<u>\\3</u>) pages, having been prepared in writter | n form for introduction into evidence in the above- | | | | | |
| captioned docket. | captioned docket. | | | | | |
| 3. I have knowledge of the matter | s set forth therein. I hereby swear and affirm that | | | | | |
| my answers contained in the attached testimor | ny to the questions therein propounded, including | | | | | |
| any attachments thereto, are true and accurat | e to the best of my knowledge, information and | | | | | |
| belief. | | | | | | |
| Subscribed and sworn before me this day of April, 2012. | | | | | | |
| My commission expires: Flb. 4 20 | Otary Public NICOLE A. WEHRY Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missourt Commissioned for Jackson County My Commission Expires: February 04, 2015 Commission Number: 11391200 | | | | | |

| | FORM NO. 13 | P. S. C. MO. No. | 2 | Second | (POHYMAN) | SHEET No. | 1.18 | |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|-----------|--|
| | Canc | elling P. S. C. MO. No. | 2 | First | (2000 6 000) | SHEET No | 1.18 | |
| | KANSAS CITY P | OWER & LIGHT COM | PANY | For Rate A | (Revised) Teas No. | 1 and No. 3 | | |
| | Nome of xxv | ing Corporation or Municipalit | 7 | | Community | , Town or City | 1 | |
| | | | | *************************************** | RE | GEIVE | 0 | |
| | | | RULES AND RE | | (continu | FC 1 2 1980 | | |
| | | | ING ELECTRIC | | | ontinued) MISSOURI | | |
| | 4.13 PR | ORATION OF DEMAND | CHARGES: In | the event | the Profit | Service Count | aission ' | |
| | of damage to a Act of God or employed at the by the Custome applicable for than six (6) a Customer. | doad requirements are temporarily curtailed or substantially reduced because of damage to or destruction of the Customer's premises or equipment due to an act of God or because of a labor strike of the Customer's own employees employed at the premises of the Customer, the Company shall, upon request by the Customer, prorate the demand charges which would otherwise be applicable for the electric service supplied to the Customer during not more than six (6) months of curtailed or reduced load requirements of the customer. 4.14 PARALIEL OPERATION: No Customer shall operate or permit operation of electric generating equipment in parallel with electric service | | | | | | |
| 2/ 781 | supplied by the service agreement | ne Company except ment. | as may be pe | rmitted und | ler the Cu | istomer's | | |
| | | 5. MULTI | PLE OCCUPANO | Y PREMISES | | | | |
| I FORM BT | provided in the any multiple of electric serving shall be utiling installation. | DIVIDUAL METERING is Rule 5, the occupancy premises eas the Custome zed by the Custom ocated in or on toplied pursuant to | cupant of ea will be ind r of the Comer only for the separate | ch separate ividually m pany, which operation o premises fo | e premises metered and melectric of the Cus or which s | s in or on ad supplied service tomer's such electri | | |
| 5.02 PUBLIC SERVICE METERING FOR LESSOR: All public service use or on any multiple occupancy premises, where each separate premises the is individually metered by the Company, will be separately metered and under an applicable non-residential rate schedule. Such public service use may include the electric requirements of all common areas and equipment in or on such multiple occupancy premises and the electric require of any separate premises therein occupied by the lessor or manager. Which is not any building used for residential purposes, the public service use consists solely of incidental hall or entrance way lighting, such public service use may for billing purposes be combined with the residential of any separate premises therein so designated by the lessor. | | | | | | | led ts | |
| | | | | 1 | F1 | LED | | |

Public Service Commission

DATE EFFECTIVE January 19 198

JAN 19 1811

ISSUED BY I.. C. Rasmussen Vice President 1330 Baltimore, Kansas City, Mo.

1980

DATE OF ISSUE December

| FORM NO. 1 | 3 | P. S. C. MO. No | 2 | Second | Xxigingk } | SHEET No. 1 | .19 | |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--------------|--|
| | Cancelling | P. S. C. MO. No | 2 | First | MARKET) | SHEET No1 | .19 | |
| ** *** ******* ***** | | & LIGHT COMPA | NY | For Rate | Revised S Areas No. | 1 and No. 3 | | |
| Nom | e of Issuing Corp | poration or Municipality | | ax | REG | EIVED | _ | |
| | | | | REGULATIONS IC SERVICE | (continu | 1 _d 3 1980 | | |
| | | | | NCY PREMISES | Public Serv | ISSOURH) vice Commissio | n | |
| and 5.07 | hereof, t | AND DISTRIBUTION AND COMPANY WILL Stribution by t | l not supp | oly electric | O III NULE | 5 5+05 - 5-06 | <u> </u> | |
| (a) | "Resale" shall mean the furnishing of electric service by a Customer to another person under any arrangement whereby the Customer makes a specific or separate charge for the electric service so furnished, either in whole or in part, and whether the amount of such charge is determined by submetering, remetering, estimating or rebilling as an additional, flat, or excess charge, or otherwise. | | | | | | | |
| (b) "Redistribution" shall mean the furnishing of electric sethe Customer (i) to another building occupied by the Customer for a separate business enterprise, or (ii) to premises occupied by another person, whether or not such are owned, leased or controlled by the Customer, without specific or separate charge for the electric service so furnished. With respect to any multiple-occupancy premi Company will not suply electric service to the owner, le operator thereof, as the Customer of the Company, and peredistribution by such Customer to his office or resident tenants therein, except for those premises being supplies service on the effective date of this schedule. The resagainst "redistribution" may be waived by the Company who operation of certain types of multiple occupancy premise in whole or in part, makes it impractical for the Companjudgment, to separately meter and supply electric service occupant as a Customer of the Company. Such exceptions include: (i) An operation catering predominantly to transie as hotels, motels, and hospitals; | | | | | | Customer and by the to separate uch premises out making a so emises, the lessee, or permit dential lied such restriction where the ises, either pany, in its vice to each as may | | |
| (ii) An operation where the individual dwelling quarters are not equipped with kitchen and bathroom facilities, such as recognized rooming houses, dormitories, old folks' homes, orphanages and eleemosynary institutions; | | | | | | | _ | |
| | (iii) | office or compremises least adjustable and to conform to | mercial posted to off the need: | urposes wher ice or comme to rearrang s of the ter | e the sepa rcial JANa ement or r ants Salt Public Serv | its ace relocation relocation ice Commission | 7 | |
| DATE OF | ISSUE Dece | mber 5 | 1980 | DATE EF | FECTIVE | month day | 1981 yeer | |
| ISSUED BY | *************************************** | Rasmussen | Vice | President | 1330 Balti | more, Kansas C | ity, Mo. | |

| FORM NO. 13 | P. S. C. MO. No2 | Second Shippingk SHEET No. 1.20 | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Салсе | elling P. S. C. MO. No2 | First (XORNORM) SHEET No. 1.20 | | |
| KANSAS CITY PO | OWER & LIGHT COMPANY | For Rate Areas No. 1 and No. 3 | | |
| Name of (ssui) | ng Corporation or Municipality | Community, Town or City | | |
| | | DEGENVEN | | |
| | GENERAL RULES AND APPLYING TO ELECTR | IC SERVICE (continued) | | |
| | MULTIPLE OCCUPANO | Y PREMISES (continued) | | |
| 5.03 RES | ALE AND REDISTRIBUTION: (c | ontinued) MISSOURI Public Service Commission | | |
| deems it would be impractical to rearrange wiring to conform to any such changes; | | | | |
| (iv) An operation of a transient mobile home court (see Rule 14.02) where electric service is supplied by the Company to the operator, as the Customer of the Company, pursuant to an applicable rule or rate schedule of the Company. | | | | |
| In cases where redistribution is permitted under this Rule 5.03, the Company will supply electric service to the owner, lessee, or operator of such multiple occupancy premises, as the Customer of the Company, under an applicable rate schedule and the Customer may, by redistribution, furnish electric service to his tenants in or on such multiple occupancy premises on a rent inclusion basis; i.e., as an incident of the tenancy and without a specific or separate charge for the electric service so furnished by the Customer to his tenant, or a variable rental on account thereof. | | | | |
| 5.04 RENT INCLUSION BY LESSOR: Any lessor of a multiple occupancy premise may, by prior arrangement with the Company, elect to receive, and pay to the Company, the electric service bills of his tenants in such premises whose separate premises therein are individually metered and supplied electric service by the Company, provided that each such tenancy includes electric service on a rent inclusion basis. Under such an arrangement the Company may consider the lessor as the Customer for billing and collection purposes but shall individually meter the separate premises of each such tenant. | | | | |
| 5.05 PRESENT RESALE PRACTICES: In those few instances where on January 10, 1966, a Customer was engaged, as the lessor of multiple occupancy premises, in the resale of electric service to his tenants therein, such practice as established by the Customer and in effect on January 10, 1966, shall be permitted to continue; provided that, | | | | |
| (a) if su time Custo charg than elect | ch electric service to the for any reason, the Custome mer at such multiple occupa e each tenant therein no mo | Customer is terminated at any r, upon reconnection, prime and ncy premises shall thereafted re for resale electric service d by the Company for Annal 9 1001 metered and supplied by the prime | | |

DATE OF ISSUE December

ISSUED BY I.- C. Rasmussen Vice President

Schedule TMR-6

DATE EFFECTIVE January 19 1981

1330 Baltimore, Kansas City, Mo.

| _ | |
|----------|---|
| X | |
| 6/84) | |
| | |
| Rev | |
| Œ | |
| | |
| 2 | |
| ŏ | |
| Ĭ | |
| 2 | |
| 661H002 | |
| = | 1 |
| Form | |
| IL. | |
| Ļ | |
| CP | |

| | n c c vo v. 2 | Eisth / A | |
|--------|--|---|--|
| RM NO. | . 13 P. S. C. MO. No2 | Fifth SHEET No1.22 | |
| | Cancelling P. S. C. MO. No | Fourth -Original SHEET No. 1.22 | |
| | S CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY Name of Issuing Corporation or Municipality | ForRate Area No. 1-Urban Area & | |
| | | Rate Area No. 3-Suburban Area | |
| | | RECEIVED | |
| | GENERAL RULES AND APPLYING TO ELECT | | |
| | | (- (())) 1934 | |
| | 6. METERI | ING (continued). PUBLIC SERVICE COMM. | |
| 6.01 | METER INSTALLATION: (continued) | | |
| | Nothing in the preceding sentence shall preclud relocating meters at the Company's expense who result of changes in Company's operating practic | en such relocation becomes necessary as a | |
| 6.02 | MULTIPLE METERING: When more than one meter installation is used to measure the electric service supplied by the Company to a customer, a separate bill in accordance with the applicable rate schedule will be rendered for the electric service supplied through each meter installation. The Company may combine consumption of electric service registered, and render a single bill, for the same class of electric service supplied to a Customer at his premises through two or more meter installations if, at the option of the Company, such multiple metering is installed as a convenience to the Company or because it is more economical for the Company to do so. | | |
| 6.03 | MULTIPLE-OCCUPANCY BUILDINGS: When a building, construction of which began after June 1, 1981, is occupied by more than one Customer, the Company will set as many meters as there are separate Customers within the building, and will furnish electric service conductors to the building sufficient to supply the requirements of all Customers within the building. The internal building wiring and meter board shall be so arranged as to permit individual metering of each separate premises and the installation of the Company's meters immediately adjacent to each other. | | |
| 6.04 | METER READING: The Company uses the its service territory which is divided into meter provided herein or in applicable rate schedules of district will be read monthly on or about the same be the basis for the Company's billing for electric latter reading. The Company reserves the right the Company changes a meter reading district at (9) days or more in a billing cycle, notice will be godays prior to the date the affected customers Residential customers whose meters are inacce. Company and make appointment for a special reon a weekday. | of the Company, each meter in each such a day of the month, and such readings shall be considered as a service during the period ending with the at to redesignate meter reading districts. If and the change results in a change of nine given to each affected customer at least 15 areceive a bill based on the new cycle. | |
| | on a neckuay. | FILED | |
| | | NOV 1 6 1994 | |
| | | MISSOURI | |
| 200 | | Public Service Commission | |
| TE OF | ISSUE October 7, 1994 DA | ATE EFFECTIVENovember 16, 1994 | |
| UED E | BY S. W. Cattron Vice Preside | int 1201 Walnut, Kansas City, Mo. | |