

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Application of Metrocall, Inc. for Approval of an
Interconnection Agreement with Southwestern Bell
Telephone Company Pursuant to the
Telecommunications Act of 1996

} Case No. IK-2003-0158
}

ORDER DIRECTING FILING

This order directs the applicant to supply further information.

On October 24, 2002, Metrocall, Inc., filed with the Missouri Public Service Commission its application for approval of an interconnection agreement with Southwestern Bell Telephone Company.

Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-2.060(1) states, in part:

(1) All applications shall comply with the requirements of these rules and shall include the following information:

...
(B) If any applicant is a Missouri corporation, a Certificate of Good Standing from the secretary of state;

...
(C) If any applicant is a foreign corporation, a certificate from the secretary of state that it is authorized to do business in Missouri;

...
(E) If any applicant does business under a fictitious name, a copy of the registration of the fictitious name with the secretary of state;

...
(G) If any applicant has submitted the applicable information as set forth in [subsections (1)(B), (C), or (E)] of this rule in a previous application, the same may be incorporated by reference to the case number in which the information was furnished, so long as such applicable information is current and correct;

...
(K) A statement indicating whether the applicant has any pending action or final unsatisfied judgments or decisions against it from any state or federal

agency or court which involve customer service or rates, which action, judgment or decision has occurred within three (3) years of the date of the application;

(L) A statement that no annual report or assessment fees are overdue....

Metrocall's application did not comply with those parts of Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-2.060(1) cited above in that it did not include: (1) If Metrocall is a Missouri corporation, a certificate of good standing from the Secretary of State; (2) If Metrocall is a foreign corporation, a certificate from the Secretary of State that it is authorized to do business in Missouri; (3) If Metrocall does business under a fictitious name, a copy of the registration of the fictitious name with the Missouri Secretary of State; (4) If Metrocall has submitted the applicable information as set forth in subsections (1)(B), (C), or (E) of the rule in a previous application, the reference to the case number in which the information was furnished, so long as such applicable information is current and correct; (5) A statement indicating whether Metrocall has any pending action or final unsatisfied judgments or decisions against it from any state or federal agency or court which involve customer service or rates, which action, judgment, or decision has occurred within three years of the date of the application; and (6) A statement that no annual report or assessment fees are overdue.

The Commission will require Metrocall to file a supplemental pleading that supplies the information requested above.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That Metrocall, Inc., must file, no later than November 8, 2002, a supplemental pleading in compliance with this order.

2. That this order will become effective on November 8, 2002.

BY THE COMMISSION

Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

(S E A L)

Bill Hopkins, Senior Regulatory Law Judge,
by delegation of authority under
Section 386.240, RSMo 2000,
as currently supplemented.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,
on this 29th day of October, 2002.