

STATE OF MISSOURI
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

At a session of the Public Service
Commission held at its office
in Jefferson City on the 8th
day of June, 1990.

In the matter of the request for community optional service)
from Contel of Missouri, Inc.'s Foristell exchange to South-) Case No. TO-90-200
western Bell Telephone Company's Harvester exchange.)
)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

On February 20, 1990, the Commission received a petition signed by residents of the Foristell exchange of Contel of Missouri, Inc. (Contel) requesting extraexchange calling at less than toll rates from the Foristell exchange to the Harvester exchange served by Southwestern Bell Telephone Company.

By order issued March 6, 1990, the Commission directed Contel to perform a calling usage study covering one billing cycle in order to ascertain whether a sufficient community of interest exists between these exchanges to justify providing them with community optional service (COS).

On May 29, 1990, Contel filed the results of the calling usage study performed in said exchanges. The results of said study indicate that a community of interest does not exist between these exchanges.

By its Report And Order issued in Case No. TO-87-131, the Commission found that a community of interest would be demonstrated if a calling usage study showed that six calls per access line per month are made on the average from the petitioning exchange to the requested exchange and two-thirds of the customers in the petitioning exchange make two or more calls per month to the requested exchange. *In re the investigation of experimental extended measured service (EMS)*, Case No. TO-87-131 (December 29, 1989, clarified January 23, 1990).

The results of the calling usage study performed herein indicate that no more than 2.934 calls per access line per month were made on the average from the

petitioning exchange to the requested exchange and only 48.94 percent of the customers in the petitioning exchange made at least two calls per month to the requested exchange.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission determines that the above-referenced case should be dismissed.

It is therefore ordered:

1. That the above-referenced case be dismissed hereby
2. That this order shall become effective on the 18th day of June, 1990.

BY THE COMMISSION



Harvey G. Hubbs
Secretary

(S E A L)

Mueller, Rauch, McClure and
Letsch-Roderique, CC., Concur.
Steinmeier, Chm., Absent.