Exhibit No.: Issues: Normalized Billing Units Witness: James R. Pozzo Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Case No.: ER-2011-0028 Date Testimony Prepared: September 3, 2010

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. ER-2011-0028

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JAMES R. POZZO

ON

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

St. Louis, Missouri September, 2010

DIRECT TESTIMONY
OF
JAMES R. POZZO
CASE NO. ER-2011-0028
Q. Please state your name and business address.
A. James R. Pozzo, One Ameren Plaza, 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis,
Missouri 63103.
Q. By whom are you employed and in what position?
A. I am employed by Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE
("AmerenUE" or "Company") as a Rate Engineer in the Missouri Regulated Services
Department.
Q. Please describe your educational background, work experience and
the duties of your position.
A. I received the degree of Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering
from the University of Missouri-Rolla in December 1978. I began working at Union
Electric Company in January 1979 in the Power Operations Department, working as an
Engineer at the Ashley Plant for two years and at the Meramec Plant for five years.
During this time I was responsible for operations and maintenance support for assigned
plant equipment along with various other projects as assigned.
I transferred into Union Electric's Rate Engineering Department in September
1985. My current duties and responsibilities include assignments related to the
Company's gas and electric rates. This includes participation in regulatory proceedings,

Direct Testimony of James R. Pozzo

conducting rate analyses, developing and interpreting gas and electric tariffs, and
 performing other rate or regulatory projects as assigned.

3

Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony in this proceeding?

A. The purpose of my direct testimony is to develop weather normalized test year billing units for the Company's Missouri jurisdictional electric operations, to adjust revenues to reflect the rate increase implemented on June 21, 2010 as a result of the Company's last rate proceeding, to adjust for the number of days in the billing year and to account for customer growth through the proposed true-up period in this case (through February 28, 2011).

10

Q. Please explain what is meant by the term "billing unit."

11 A. A billing unit is a quantity of electric customers, and usage (kilowatt-12 hours), demand (kilowatts) or reactive demand (kilovar) data to which filed rates are 13 applied in determining customers' bills.

14

Q. Please describe the billing units used by AmerenUE.

15 AmerenUE uses the following billing units: a) customer count; A. 16 b) kilowatt-hours, which are energy units; c) kilowatts, which are demand units; and 17 d) kilovars, which are units of reactive demand. Depending on a customer's rate class, 18 two or more of these components are used to bill virtually all customers. The weather 19 normalized billing units I developed in this case are a compilation of the individual 20 customer billing units which occurred during the study period, adjusted to reflect normal 21 The study period is the test year consisting of the twelve months ending weather. 22 March 31, 2010. The weather normalized billing units were also adjusted for growth to 23 March 2010 and anticipated customer growth through February 2011, as noted earlier.

1 Q. What was the initial step you took in the development of the 2 Company's billing units for each customer class?

3 Existing Company reports contain aggregate kilowatt-hour sales and A. 4 revenues on a monthly basis for the Residential, Small General Service, Large General 5 Service, Small Primary Service, Large Primary Service and Large Transmission Service 6 rate classes. A more detailed monthly report provides the billing units that can be priced 7 at the Company's filed rates to calculate customer revenues. This report provides billing 8 data both by revenue month, which is the month for which the data was reported, and the 9 primary month, which is the month the data should have been reflected in customer bills. 10 I used this report to assemble the billing data in the proper primary month. I then applied 11 the rates in effect during the test year for each specific rate class to the billing units for 12 each class. This results in the "Calculated Revenue Prev" for each class.

Q. Do the revenues calculated from this process exactly match the revenues reported on the Company's books for the same time period?

A. While the comparison of calculated revenue and reported revenue match closely, there will always be some difference between the two. The difference results from billing adjustments which are made to a number of accounts each month due to corrected billings, and initial and final bills.

19

Q. Did you analyze all of the rate classes using the billing unit reports?

A. No, I analyzed all but two of the rate classes in the same way. I used more detailed data for the Large Primary Service class, obtaining individual customer data. This was done because the Large Primary Service class contains only approximately seventy customers who are generally the largest customers. The Large Transmission

3

Direct Testimony of James R. Pozzo

1 Class contains only one customer, so I used actual bills to complete the data for this class. 2 This customer had also experienced operational problems due to a major storm in January 3 2009, so the data used for this class was for the full operational billing units from the time 4 period prior to the storm. 5 Q. Was there an adjustment made to reflect the rate increase on June 21, 2010? 6 7 A. Yes, as noted earlier, I priced the actual billing units for the test year at the rates that were in effect on March 1, 2009, and again at the rates for the increase 8 9 implemented on June 21, 2010. The difference in these two amounts was the amount that 10 the actual revenues were adjusted to annualize actual revenue for the rate increase. 11 **O**. Was the Lighting class rate increase adjustment calculated using the 12 same method as was used for the other rate classes? 13 No, the Lighting class rate increase adjustment was calculated using the A. 14 Lighting percent increase for all of the months in the test year. 15 Q. After you verified the billing units associated with the Company's 16 reported revenues and annualized the results to reflect the June 21, 2010 rate 17 increase, how were these billing units and revenues adjusted to reflect normal weather? 18 19 A. I used weather adjustment ratios provided in the direct testimony of 20 Company witness Steven M. Wills for each billing month to adjust the monthly reported 21 sales to weather normalized sales. The kilowatt-hours in all of the rate blocks were 22 adjusted by the weather ratios and the resulting units were priced at the June 2010 rates to 23 develop normalized billing units and revenues.

4

1Q.How were the billing units and revenues adjusted to a 365 day test2year?

A. The annual kWh adjustment for each rate class provided by Mr. Wills was used to factor all the kWhs in each rate class in order to adjust to a 365 day test year. The revenue impact from this adjustment was calculated from the kWh adjustments.

6

Q. How were the billing units adjusted for customer growth?

7 A. The weather normalized billing units were adjusted for customer growth 8 by multiplying the monthly usage per customer by the customer counts as of March 2010, 9 and then again using forecast customer counts for February 2011, the end of the proposed 10 true-up period, to calculate the customer growth through February 2011. The resulting 11 revenue, calculated from the 365-day adjustment and the growth adjusted billing units, 12 was then used to adjust the normalized billing units to calculate the total growth adjusted 13 revenues. The growth adjusted normal monthly billing units were then divided into the 14 summer and winter billing periods for presentation on Schedules JRP-E1 through 15 JRP-E6, attached hereto. Schedule JRP-E7 is a summary of the billing unit kilowatt-16 hours and revenues. These weather normalized and growth adjusted revenues and billing 17 units are used by Company witness Wilbon L. Cooper in his development of the 18 Company's proposed rates in this case. The normalized and growth adjusted revenues are 19 also used by Company witness Gary S. Weiss as an adjustment to revenues in Mr. Weiss' 20 cost of service study.

21

Q. What was the result of your billing units analysis?

A. My analysis provides the normal billing units to be used to develop
proposed rates. Annualizing the rate increase implemented in June 21, 2010, accounted

Direct Testimony of James R. Pozzo

1	for a positive \$230.7 million adjustment to revenues. The study also shows that revenues
2	related to weather normalization must be increased by \$16.5 million. An adjustment of
3	\$0.2 million is required to adjust to a 365 day test year. An adjustment of \$21.1 million
4	is needed to account for growth through February 2011. All of these adjustments were
5	utilized by Mr. Weiss in his cost of service study.

- 6 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 7 A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area.

Case No. ER-2011-0028

AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES R. POZZO

)

STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss CITY OF ST. LOUIS)

James R. Pozzo, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:

1. My name is James R. Pozzo. I work in the City of St. Louis, Missouri,

and I am employed by Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE as a Rate Engineer.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Direct

Testimony on behalf of AmerenUE consisting of 6 pages, Schedules JRP-E1 through

JRP-E7, all of which have been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above referenced dealect

the above-referenced docket.

3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached

testimony to the questions therein propounded are true and correct.

James R. Pozz

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 3 day of September, 2010.

Notary Public

My commission expires:



Residential Service Rate AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending March 2010 Growth to February 2011

Billing Components		Present
Summer (June - Sep	tember)	
<u></u>	<u></u>	
Customer Charge	Per Month	\$8.03
Customer Charge TO	DD Per Month	\$16.84
Energy Charge:		
All Kwh	Cents per Kwh	9.67 ¢
TOD On Pea	ak Cents per Kwh	14.06 ¢
TOD Off Pea	ak Cents per Kwh	5.76 ¢
Winter (October - Ma	<u>ay)</u>	
Customer Charge	Per Month	\$8.03
Customer Charge T(DD Per Month	\$16.84
Energy Charge:		
0- 750 Kwh	Cents per Kwh	6.87¢
All Kwh Ove	r 750 Cents per Kwh	4.61 ¢
TOD On Pea	ak Cents per Kwh	8.30 ¢
TOD Off Pea	ak Cents per Kwh	4.10 ¢

	Units	Rate	\$1,000	
Summer				
Customer Charge	4,159,561	\$8.03	\$33,401	
Customer Charge TOD	143	\$16.84	\$2	
Mwh	4,711,199	\$0.09670	\$455,573	
TOD On Peak Mwh	75	\$0.14060	\$11	
TOD Off Peak Mwh	133	\$0.05760	\$8	
	4,711,407	_	\$488,995	
Winter				
Customer Charge	8,332,577	\$8.03	\$66,911	
Customer Charge TOD	292	\$16.84	\$5	
0-750 Mwh	5,015,439	\$0.06870	\$344,561	
Over 750 Mwh	4,200,388	\$0.04610	\$193,638	
TOD On Peak Mwh	126	\$0.08300	\$10	
TOD Off Peak Mwh	290	\$0.04100	\$12	
Total MWH	9,216,243	_	\$605,136	
Total Res	13,927,650		\$1,094,131	

Small General Service Rate Comparison AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending March 2010 Growth to February 2011

Billing Components	-	Present
Summer (June - Septemb	ber)	
Customer Charge:		
Single Phase Service	Per Month	\$9.33
Three Phase Service	Per Month	\$18.61
Single Phase Service TOD	Per Month	\$18.65
Three Phase Service TOD	Per Month	\$37.24
Lighting Cust Chrg	Per Month	\$5.17
Energy Charge:		
All Kwh	Cents per Kwh	9.20 ¢
TOD On Peak	Cents per Kwh	13.66 ¢
TOD Off Peak	Cents per Kwh	5.56 ¢
<u>Winter (October - May)</u>		
Customer Channel		
Customer Charge:	Dor Month	¢0.00
Single Phase Service	Per Month	୍ବ ୩୦ ଜୀ ଜୀ ଜଣ
Single Phase Service TOD	Per Month	\$10.01 \$19.65
Three Phase Service TOD	Per Month	\$10.00
Lighting Cust Chra	Per Month	φ37.24 ¢5.17
Eighting Cust Chig		ψΟ.17
Base Use	Cents per Kwh	6 86 ¢
Seasonal Use	Cents per Kwh	3.96 ¢
TOD On Peak	Cents per Kwh	8.99 ¢
TOD Off Peak	Cents per Kwh	4.12 ¢

Proof of Revenue			
	Units	Rate	1000's
Summer			
Customer Charge - Single Phase	359,924	\$9.33	\$3,358
Customer Charge - Three Phase	151,034	\$18.61	\$2,811
Single Phase Service TOD	1,511	\$18.65	\$28
Three Phase Service TOD	320	\$37.24	\$12
Lighting Cust Chrg	23,016	\$5.17	\$119
Mwh	1,227,775	\$0.0920	\$112,955
TOD On Peak Mwh	6,859	\$0.1366	\$937
TOD Off Peak Mwh	12,041	\$0.0556	\$669
Summer Total MWH	1,246,675	_	\$120,890
Winter			
Customer Charge - Single Phase	720,298	\$9.33	\$6,720
Customer Charge - Three Phase	302,523	\$18.61	\$5,630
Single Phase Service TOD	3,287	\$18.65	\$61
Three Phase Service TOD	704	\$37.24	\$26
Lighting Cust Chrg	46,032	\$5.17	\$238
Winter Base Mwh	1,827,617	\$0.0686	\$125,375
Winter Seasonal Mwh	478,269	\$0.0396	\$18,939
TOD On Peak Mwh	13,220	\$0.0899	\$1,188
TOD Off Peak Mwh	24,390	\$0.0412	\$1,005
Winter Total MWH	2,343,496		\$159,183
 Total	3,590,171		\$280,073

Large General Service Rate Comparison AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending March 2010 Growth to February 2011

<u> Summer (June - September)</u>		
Customer Charge Per Month	\$79.89	
Customer Charge TOD Per Month	\$96.73	
Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	\$00.10	
First 150 kWh per KW	8.89 ¢	
Next 200 kWh per KW	6.69 ¢	
All over 350 kWh per KW	4.50 ¢	
TOD On Peak Adjust. per Kwh	1.05 ¢	
TOD Off Peak Adjust. per Kwh	-0.59 ¢	
Demand		
Per KW of Billing Demand	\$4.15	
Winter (October - May)		
Customer Charge Per Month	\$79.89	
Customer Charge TOD Per Month	\$96.73	
Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)		
First 150 kWh per KW	5.60 ¢	
Next 200 kWh per KW	4.15 ¢	
All over 350 kWh per KW	3.26 ¢	
Seasonal Energy Charge	3.26 ¢	
TOD On Peak Adjust. per Kwh	0.32 ¢	
TOD Off Peak Adjust. per Kwh	-0.18 ¢	
Demand		
	• • • •	

	Units	Rate	\$1.000
Summer			
Customer Charge	40,477	\$79.89	\$3,234
Customer Charge TOD	127	\$96.73	\$12
Summer Energy Mwh			
0-150 hours	1,172,089	\$0.0889	\$104,199
151-350 hours	1,265,443	\$0.0669	\$84,658
Over 350 hours	505,946	\$0.0450	\$22,768
Seasonal	-375	\$0.0000	\$0
TOD On Peak	2,656	\$0.0105	\$28
TOD Off Peak	3,949	-\$0.0059	-\$23
Demand	8,498,894	\$4.15	\$35,270
			\$250,145
Winter			
Customer Charge	80,343	\$79.89	\$6,419
Customer Charge TOD	252	\$96.73	\$24
Winter Energy Mwh			
0-150 hours	1,916,223	\$0.0560	\$107,308
151-350 hours	2,071,824	\$0.0415	\$85,981
Over 350 hours	885,783	\$0.0326	\$28,877
Seasonal	404,827	\$0.0326	\$13,197
TOD On Peak	4,115	\$0.0032	\$13
TOD Off Peak	6,402	-\$0.0018	-\$12
Demand	15,606,076	\$1.54	\$24,033
			\$265,841
	8,221,760		\$515,986

Small Primary Service Rate Comparison AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending March 2010 Growth to February 2011

Billing Components	Present
Summer (June - September)	
Customer Charge Per Month	\$259.27
Customer Charge TOD Per Month	\$276.11
Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	
First 150 kWh per KW	8.59¢
Next 200 kWh per KW	6.47¢
All over 350 kWh per KW	4.35 ¢
TOD On Peak Adjust. per Kwh	0.77¢
TOD Off Peak Adjust. per Kwh	-0.43 ¢
Demand	
Per KW of Billing Demand	\$3.44
Billing Kvars	30 ¢
Rider B 34kv	,
Per KW	99 ¢
Rider B 138kv	
Per KW	117 ¢
Winter (October - May)	
Customer Charge Per Month	\$259.27
Customer Charge TOD Per Month	\$276.11
Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	<i><i><i></i></i></i>
First 150 kWh per KW	5.41 <i>d</i> .
Next 200 kWh per KW	4.02 <i>d</i> .
All over 350 kWh per KW	3.15 ¢
Seasonal Energy Charge	3.15 ¢
TOD On Peak Adjust, per Kwh	0.29 <i>d</i> .
TOD Off Peak Adjust, per Kwh	-0.15 ¢
Demand	0.10 φ
Per KW of Billing Demand	\$1 25
Billing Kvars	30 d.
Rider B 34kv	ου φ
Per KW	99 <i>d</i> :
Rider B 138kv	ου φ
Per KW	117 <i>d</i> :
	117.4

	Units	Rate	\$1,000
Summer			
Customer Charge	2,538	\$259.27	\$658
Customer Charge TOD	38	\$276.11	\$10
Summer Energy Mwh			
0-150 hours	412,391	\$0.0859	\$35,424
151-350 hours	506,766	\$0.0647	\$32,788
Over 350 hours	351,444	\$0.0435	\$15,288
Seasonal	-26	\$0.0000	\$0
TOD On Peak	8,144	\$0.0077	\$63
TOD Off Peak	12,278	-\$0.0043	(\$53)
Demand	2,817,662	\$3.44	\$9,693
Billing Kvars	556,710	\$0.30	\$167
Rider B 34kv	287,279	\$0.99	(\$284)
Rider B 138kv	0	\$1.17	\$0
		-	\$93,754
Winter			
Customer Charge	5,078	\$259.27	\$1,317
Customer Charge TOD	73	\$276.11	\$20
Winter Energy Mwh			
0-150 hours	685,722	\$0.0541	\$37,098
151-350 hours	838,156	\$0.0402	\$33,694
Over 350 hours	608,910	\$0.0315	\$19,181
Seasonal	151,973	\$0.0315	\$4,787
TOD On Peak	14,828	\$0.0029	\$43
TOD Off Peak	23,357	-\$0.0015	(\$35)
Demand	5,062,979	\$1.25	\$6,329
Billing Kvars	979,125	\$0.30	\$294
Rider B 34kv	553,934	\$0.99	(\$548)
Rider B 138kv	0	\$1.17	\$0
			\$102,178
	3,555,336		\$195,932

Large Primary Service Rate Comparison AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending March 2010 Growth to February 2011

Billing Components		Present	
Summer (June - Septeml	ber)		
Customer Charge	Per Month	\$308.77	
Customer Charge TOD	Per Month	\$325.61	
Demand Charge	Per KW of Billing Demand	\$17.29	
Energy Charge:	ç		
All Kwh	Cents per Kwh	2.90 ¢	
TOD On Peak Ad	djust. per Kwh	0.56 ¢	
TOD Off Peak Ad	djust. per Kwh	-0.31 ¢	
Reactive Charge	Cents per kVar	30 ¢	
Rider B 34kv	Per KW	99 ¢	
Rider B 138kv	Per KW	117 ¢	
Winter (October - May)		· · · · <i>F</i>	
Customer Charge	Per Month	\$308 77	
Customer Charge TOD	Per Month	\$325.61	
Demand Charge	Per KW of Billing Demand	\$7.85	
Energy Charge:	5	•	
All Kwh	Cents per Kwh	2.56 ¢	
TOD On Peak Ad	diust. per Kwh	0.26 ¢	
TOD Off Peak Ad	djust. per Kwh	-0.13 ¢	
Reactive Charge	Cents per kVar	30 ¢	
Rider B 34kv	Per KW	99 ¢	
Rider B 138kv	Per KW	117 ¢	

Proof of Revenue			
	Units	Rate	1000's
Summer			
Customer Charge	292	\$308.77	\$90
Customer Charge TOD	12	\$16.84	\$0
Summer Mwh	1,381,210	\$0.0290	\$40,055
TOD On Peak	27,258	\$0.0056	\$153
TOD Off Peak	52,744	-\$0.0031	-\$164
Demand	2,603,538	\$17.29	\$45,015
Billing Kvars	318,385	\$0.30	\$96
Rider B 34kv	706,026	\$0.99	(\$699)
Rider B 138kv	172,041	\$1.17	(\$201)
			\$84,345
Winter			
Customer Charge	584	\$308.77	\$180
Customer Charge TOD	24	\$16.84	\$0
Winter Mwh	2,423,706	\$0.0256	\$62,047
TOD On Peak	44,054	\$0.0026	\$115
TOD Off Peak	89,680	-\$0.0013	-\$117
Demand	4,574,755	\$7.85	\$35,912
Billing Kvars	498,488	\$0.30	\$150
Rider B 34kv	1,236,653	\$0.99	(\$1,224)
Rider B 138kv	332,278	\$1.17	(\$389)
			\$96,674
	3,804,916		\$181,019

Large Transmission Service Rate AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending March 2010 Growth to February 2011

Billing Components		Present	
Summer (June - Septeml	<u>ber)</u>		
Customer Charge	Per Month	\$1,758.77	
Demand Charge Energy Charge:	Per KW of Billing Demand	\$12.760	
All Kwh	Cents per Kwh	2.421 ¢	
Line Loss Kwh	Cents per Kwh	3.27 ¢	
Reactive Charge	Cents per kVar	30 ¢	
Winter (October - May)			
<u>Winter (October - May)</u> Customer Charge	Per Month	\$1,758.77	
<u>Winter (October - May)</u> Customer Charge Demand Charge Energy Charge:	Per Month Per KW of Billing Demand	\$1,758.77 \$4.870	
Winter (October - May) Customer Charge Demand Charge Energy Charge: All Kwh	Per Month Per KW of Billing Demand Cents per Kwh	\$1,758.77 \$4.870 2.132 ¢	
Winter (October - May) Customer Charge Demand Charge Energy Charge: All Kwh Line Loss Kwh	Per Month Per KW of Billing Demand Cents per Kwh Cents per Kwh	\$1,758.77 \$4.870 2.132 ¢ 3.27 ¢	

	Units	Rate	1000's
Summer			
Customer Charge	4	\$1,758.77	\$7
Summer Mwh	1,373,281	\$0.02421	\$33,247
Line Loss Mwh	48,065	\$0.03270	\$1,572
Demand	1,902,596	\$12.760	\$24,277
Billing Kvars	0	0.3	\$0
-			\$59,103
Winter			
Customer Charge	8	\$1,758.77	\$14
Winter Mwh	2,745,737	\$0.02132	\$58,539
Line Loss Mwh	96,101	\$0.03270	\$3,143
Demand	3,814,346	\$4.87	\$18,576
Billing Kvars	0	\$0.30	\$0
			\$80,272
	4,119,018		\$139,375
			\$139,375

AmerenUE - Missouri Weather Normalized-12 months ending March 2010 Growth to February 2011

-	Normal Bill Unit MWH	Billing Unit Revenue
Residential	13,927,650	\$1,094,131,327
Small General Service	3,590,171	\$280,072,907
Large General Service	8,221,760	\$515,986,493
Small Primary Service	3,555,336	\$195,931,760
Large Primary Service	3,804,916	\$181,018,908
Large Transmission Service	4,119,018	\$134,660,338
Lighting	231,461	\$31,160,072
MSD		\$63,940
Total	37,450,312	\$2,433,025,745
Large Transmission Service Line Lo	sses	\$4,714,216
		\$2,437,739,961