FILED November 20, 2013 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

Exhibit No.:

Issue:

Case Nos.:

Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit:

MoPSC Staff
Direct Testimony
SR-2013-0321 and
WR-2013-0322

Lisa K. Hanneken

Accounting Schedules

Date Testimony Prepared:

September 4, 2013

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION REGULATORY REVIEW DIVISION UTILITY SERVICES - AUDITING

OF

LISA K. HANNEKEN

Stall 1

LINCOLN COUNTY SEWER & WATER, LLC
CASE NOS. SR-2013-0321 and WR-2013-0322

Jefferson City, Missouri September 2013

Date 1-05-13 Reporter XF
File No S2 - 2013 - 0322

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY		
2	OF		
3	LISA K. HANNEKEN		
4		LINCOLN COUNTY SEWER & WATER, LLC	
5	MC and and an article and article article and article and article article and article article article article and article	CASE NOS. SR-2013-0321 and WR-2013-0322	
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
7	Α.	Lisa K. Hanneken, 111 N. 7th Street, Suite 105, St. Louis, MO 63101.	
8	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?	
9	A.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") as	
10	a Utility Regulatory Auditor V in the Auditing Unit of the Utility Services Departmen		
11	Regulatory I	Review Division.	
12	BACKGRO	OUND OF WITNESS	
13	Q.	Please describe your educational background, work experience and any cases in	
14	which you have previously filed testimony before this Commission.		
15	Α.	My credentials and listing of cases in which I have filed testimony previously	
16	before this Commission are attached to this direct testimony as Schedule LKH-1.		
17	EXECUTIV	/E SUMMARY	
18	Q.	What is the purpose of your direct testimony in this proceeding?	
19	Α.	My direct testimony will address the background of Lincoln County Sewer &	
20	Water, LLC (LCSW or Company) and its current rate case.		
21	In addition, I am sponsoring Staff's accounting schedules which will be file		
22	concurrently with this direct filing.		

COMPANY AND SYSTEM BACKGROUND

Q. Please describe LCSW's background.

A. LCSW filed documents with the Secretary of State on May 9, 2011, and is owned by Dennis Kallash. Among other business interests, Mr. Kallash is involved with subdivision development including the two subdivisions within the service areas, Bennington and Rockport. LCSW owns and operates the Bennington and Rockport water and sewer systems. In the past, these systems have been owned and operated by other entities in which Mr. Kallash is involved. Prior to Commission approval of LCSW's application in its certification cases, Nos. WA-2012-0018 and SA-2012-0019, certain operational aspects of the water and sewer systems were governed by subdivision covenants and by-laws. Once the Commission approved the Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement in LCSW's certificate cases, LCSW became the entity responsible for the utility services previously operated as part of the Bennington and Rockport developments. LCSW then filed tariffs, which were approved for its operations, and the covenants and by-laws of Bennington and Rockport ceased to apply to those operations.

Bennington is located about a mile west of Troy, Missouri. This subdivision currently has fifty (50) water customers and fifty-one (51) sewer customers. Rockport is located several miles south of Troy, Missouri. At present, this subdivision has seventy-two (72) water and sewer customers.

- Q. Does Mr. Kallash own any other entities that conduct business with LCSW?
- A. Yes, Mr. Kallash has stated he owns DK Deer Farm, which is paid by LCSW to haul sludge for ultimate land application on DK Deer Farm's property. However, Staff has been unable to verify the ownership of DK Deer Farm through the Missouri Secretary of State website as there is no business with this name currently listed.

Additionally, Mr. Kallash has stated he owns Fitch and Associates, a surveying firm in Troy, MO. Again, the Missouri Secretary of State website does not list an active business by this name, therefore Staff is unable to verify the ownership. Mr. Kallash frequently utilizes Fitch and Associates vehicles to conduct utility business. Staff has addressed the reimbursement of Mr. Kallash for transportation required to conduct utility business in its inclusion of vehicle expense in its cost of service calculations.

RATE CASE BACKGROUND AND RESULTS

- Q. When did the current rate case (Case Nos. SR-2013-0321 and WR-2013-0322) begin?
- A. LCSW filed its application for a rate increase on December 4, 2012, in which it requested an increase of \$13,382 in its annual sewer system operating revenues and \$7,569 in its water system operating revenues.
 - Q. What test year was chosen for the audit?
- A. The Auditing Staff initially recommended a test year in this case of the twelve-months ending September 30, 2012; however, later it became apparent that adequate data could not be obtained from LCSW for that time period. Therefore, in relation to a 60-day extension for filing of Staff's recommendation approved by the Commission on March 4, 2013, the Auditing Staff revised the test year to the twelve-months ending December 31, 2012, updated through March 31, 2013. However, while more of the information that Staff would rely upon in a rate case audit was available from LCSW for the revised period than in the prior test year, there was still considerably less data available from the Company related to the revised test year than would normally be provided by a water or sewer utility in a rate audit.

Q. What difficulties did Staff encounter in gathering the basic data required for its audit?

A. The Auditing Staff discovered during the course of its audit that the Company had disposed of much of its historical records related to the utility operations and rate base. In addition, in certain instances, LCSW declined to provide requested historical documentation based on its determination that the documentation was irrelevant since it pertained to the time period prior to LCSW becoming a regulated utility (i.e., July 2012). Staff explained that documentation pertaining to the test year in a rate case is relevant and should be available to Staff for its audit, particularly given that the Company agreed to maintain such documentation in the Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement approved by the Commission in LCSW's previous certification cases, Nos. WA-2012-0018 and SA-2012-0019. It should be noted that LCSW has also been either unable or unwilling to provide certain information requested by Staff related to the time period subsequent to becoming certificated in July 2012. The result of LCSW's actions in this regard was that Staff did not have access to much of the normal audit data applicable to both the original test year and the revised test year established for the current cases.

LCSW's failure to provide audit information in this case is not consistent with the Company's practice in its previous certification cases, Nos. WA-2012-0018 and SA-2012-0019. During those cases, Staff was provided with historical data which allowed it to perform its audit and develop water and sewer revenue requirements using a full twelve months of historical test year data. Given the current lack of data, Staff was unable to verify a significant portion of the expenses and revenues applicable to either the Company's initial or revised test year periods set in this proceeding. Therefore, Staff's accounting schedules show no costs or revenues for the test

year as a starting place for its adjustments in the income statements; instead, Staff has simply calculated its adjustment amounts as the entire allowance for each revenue and expense item.

Notwithstanding the Auditing Staff's lack of access to certain data that would normally be provided by utilities as part of the rate case audit process, the Auditing Staff believes it was generally able to make a reasonable and conservative determination of the appropriate levels of revenues, expenses and rate base that should be included in the Company's cost of service.

In the Company's certification cases, Staff discussed with the Company the need to keep adequate documentation and the need to be able to segregate the costs of one subdivision from another, as well as to be able to segregate financial results between water and sewer systems. In LCSW's prior certificate cases, Nos. WA-2012-0018 and SA-2012-0019, LCSW agreed to certain record keeping requirements as part of its stipulation and agreement approved by the Commission. These requirements included proper record retention and reporting of revenues, expenses, and rate base items per Uniform System of Accounts (USOA) guidelines. In addition, LCSW agreed to keep adequate timesheets and mileage logs which would allow Staff to make a determination of the related expenses in future rate cases. The Auditing Staff's experience in this rate proceeding indicates that LCSW has failed to abide by the recordkeeping requirements contained within the certificate case stipulation and agreement.

- Q. Did LCSW ultimately provide the Staff with adequate documentation needed to perform its audit in all instances?
- A. No. There are still items for which the Auditing Staff had to rely on estimated revenue and expense amounts, instead of historical accounting information, in order to provide a complete cost of service for LCSW. In addition, there are items LCSW has requested Staff

include in rates in these cases but for which the Company has not provided the necessary documentation to Staff in order for those items to be considered for inclusion in Staff's case.

Q. What revenue requirement has resulted from Staff's calculations in this case?

A. Overall, Staff is recommending a reduction on a total company basis of \$8,598.

This is broken down by system as follows:

System Name	Staff Proposed Increase/(Decrease)
Bennington Sewer	\$(2,199)
Bennington Water	\$1,186
Rockport Sewer	\$(4,920)
Rockport Water	<u>\$(2,665)</u>
Total	\$(8,598)

7

5

6

8

9

10 11

12

131415

16 17

18

19

- Q. What does Staff recommend regarding the lack of books and records for LCSW?
- A. Staff would recommend that, as a result of this immediate case, the Commission order LCSW to:
 - Adhere to Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-61.010 for sewer and 4 CSR 240-50.020 for water regarding required records retention;
 - Adhere to Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-61-020 for sewer and 4 CSR 240-50.030 for water regarding maintaining its books and records in accordance with the appropriate Uniform System of Accounts;
 - Keep appropriate timesheets and vehicle logs as agreed to in the Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement in LCSW's prior certificate cases, Nos. WA-2012-0018 and SA-2012-0019, and recommended in this case by Staff's Engineering and Management Services Unit.

Direct Testimony of Lisa K. Hanneken

4

5

6

Q. What actions would Staff take should LCSW fail to comply with Commission Rules and/or any Commission Report and Orders?

A. Staff would have the option to file a complaint against LCSW to address any

continued violation of Commission Rules and/or Commission Report and Orders.

- Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Line Sewer and Water, LLC for Approval Of Increase) Case No. SR-2013-0321
In the Matter of the Application of Linc Sewer and Water, LLC for Approval C Increase)) Case No WR-2013-0322)
AFFIDAVI	T OF LISA K.	HANNEKEN
STATE OF MISSOURI)	SS.	
COUNTY OF COLE)		
	above case; thas knowledge	puestion and answer form, consisting of hat the answers in the foregoing Directle of the matters set forth in such answers her knowledge and belief. Lisa K. Hanneken
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	4th	day of September, 2013.
D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Miscouri Commission Expires: December 12, 2016 Commission Number: 12412070		Suzullankin) Notar Public

CASE PROCEEDING PARTICIPATION

LISA K. HANNEKEN

COMPANY Part of	CASE NO.	tssues :-
Emerald Pointe Utility Company, Inc.	SR-2013-0016	<u>Direct</u> – City of Hollister Fees; <u>Surrebuttal</u> – Rate Base Items
Laclede Gas Company	GO-2013-0352	ISRS filing – Supervision
Liberty Utilities	GO-2013-0048	ISRS filing - Supervision
Missouri American	WO-2012-0401	ISRS filing - Supervision
Laclede Gas Company	GO-2012-0356	ISRS filing – Supervision
Meramec Sewer Company	SR-2012-0309	Informal Rate Case – Lead Auditor
AmerenMissouri	ER-2012-0166	<u>Direct</u> – Fuel, Taum Sauk Failure, Callaway Refueling, Fuel Inventory, Coal Refinement, Off-System Sales, Capacity Sales, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator (MISO), Westinghouse Credits, Rate Case Expense, Outside Services, Costs associated with Owensville Acquisition, Project First; <u>Surrebuttal</u> – Rate Case Expense, Fuel,
		Intangible Assets, Changes to Staff's Cost of Service
Lincoln County Sewer & Water	WA-2012-0018	Informal Rate Case – Lead Auditor, Rate Base, Maintenance, Sludge, Vehicles, Payroll, Legal, Exhibit Modeling System (Accounting Schedules)

COMPANY	CASE NO.	THE RESULTS
House Springs Sewer Co.	SR-2011-0274	Informal Rate Case – Lead Auditor, Payroll, Rate Base, Accounting, Insurance, Utilities, Sludge, Vehicles, Property Taxes, Miscellaneous Expenses
Laclede Gas Company	GO-2011-0361	ISRS filing – Supervision
Missouri American	WO-2011-0106	ISRS filing - Supervision
Missouri American / Aqua Missouri	WO-2011-0168	Sale Case – Rate Base Determination
AmerenMissouri	ER-2011-0028	Direct – Fuel, Maintenance, AMS Allocations, Taum Sauk Failure, SO2 Tracker, Callaway Refueling, Fuel Inventory, Off-System Sales, Capacity Sales, Westinghouse Credits; Surrebuttal – Coal Power Plant Maintenance, Limestone Expense, Taum Sauk Failure, Sioux Plant Coal Costs
Atmos Energy Corporation	GO-2011-0149	ISRS filing
Aqua Missouri	SW-2011-0103	Single Tariff Pricing
Laclede Gas Company	GO-2011-0058	ISRS filing
AmerenUE	ER-2010-0028	<u>Direct</u> – Fuel, Maintenance, AMS Allocations, Taum Sauk Failure, SO2 Tracker, Callaway Refueling, Fuel Inventory, Off-System Sales, Capacity Sales, Westinghouse Credits

COMPANY	CASE NO.	ISSUES T
Mill Creek Sewer Company	SO-2010-0237	Receivership – Testified regarding company status
Atmos Energy Corporation	GO-2010-0168	ISRS filing
Laclede Gas Company	GR-2010-0171	Direct - Lead Auditor, EMS, Allocations, Uncollectibles, Revenues, Franchise Tax, GRT Tax, Gov. Affairs, Postage Exp., Legal Exp., Gasoline Exp., Cust. Dep, Cust. Advances, Special Deposits, Prepayments, CWC, Rate Case Exp.
		Surrebuttal – Uncollectibles
Aqua Missouri	SC-2010-0150 SC-2010-0152 WC-2010-0151 WC-2010-0153	Compliant Cases
Aqua Missouri	SR-2010-0026 SR-2010-0023 WR-2010-0025 WR-2010-0027	Informal Rate Case – Lead Auditor, EMS, Rate Base, Revenue, Corporate Allocations, Income taxes
Mill Creek Sewer Company	SR-2005-0116	Informal Rate Case – Quarterly Reviews
AmerenUE Gas Company	GT-2009-0413	ISRS filing
Atmos Energy Corporation	GO-2009-0046	ISRS filing
Peaceful Valley Service Company	SR-2009-0146 WR-2009-0145	Informal Rate Case - Lead Auditor
Cannon Home Association	SR-2009-0144	Informal Rate Case – Lead Auditor

COMPANY	CASE NO.	TOTAL ISSUES FOR ALL OF
AmerenUE Gas Company	GT-2009-0038	ISRS filing
Laclede Gas Company	GO-2009-0029	Abandonment Case – Recommendation Submission
Aqua Missouri	SR-2008-0267 SR-2008-0368 WR-2008-0266 WR-2008-0269	Informal Rate Case – Lead Auditor for part of time, EMS, Rate Base, Payroll, Corporate Allocations, Misc. Expenses
Laclede Gas Company	GO-2008-0067	Abandonment Case – Recommendation Submission
Missouri American Water Company	WR-2007-0216	Direct – Lead Auditor, Allocations, Payroll and Payroll Taxes, Employee Benefits, Incentive Compensation
AmerenUE Electric Company	ER-2007-0002 and GR-2007-0003	<u>Direct</u> – Corporate Allocations and Expenses, Payroll and Payroll Taxes, Employee Benefits, Incentive Compensation, Miscellaneous Expenses
Atmos Energy Corporation	GR-2006-0387	<u>Direct</u> – Lead Auditor, Corporate Allocations and Expenses, Payroll and Payroll Taxes, PSC Assessment, Taxes Other, Legal Fees
Central Jefferson County Utilities	QS-2006-0003	Small Co Rate Request – Lead Auditor, Rate base, payroll, affiliated transactions
Evergreen Lakes Water Company	QW-2005-0007	Informal Rate Case - All issues
Central Jefferson County Utilities	SF-2004-0587	Finance Case – All issues except revenues
TBJ Sewer System, Inc.	SR-2003-0244	Informal Rate Case – All issues

COMPANY	CASE NO.	ISSUES
Central Rivers Wastewater, Inc.	N/A	Rate Review – All issues related to review
Fidelity Telephone Company	IR-2004-0272	<u>Direct</u> - Rate Base and Related Expenses; Insurance Other Than Group; Miscellaneous Other Taxes
Missouri American Water Company	WR-2003-0500	Direct – Rate Base and Related Expenses, AFUDC, Dues and Donations, Waste Disposal Expense, Storage Tank Lease Expense, Deferred Maintenance Expense, Transportation Expense, Insurance Other Than Group, Cost of Depreciation Study Expense Surrebuttal – AFUDC, Dues and Donations, St. Joseph Waste Disposal Expense, Transportation Expense, Property Taxes
Laclede Gas Company	GR-2002-356	<u>Direct</u> - Cash Working Capital, Rate Case Exp./PSC Assessment, Advertising, Misc. Expense