GREGORY D. WILLIAMS
ATTORNEY AT LAW
HIGHWAY 5 AT 5-33
P. O. BOX 431
SUNRISE BEACH, MO 65079

573 / 374-8761

FAX 573 / 374-4432

February 6, 2002

FILED²
FEB 1 1 2002

Service Commission

Hon. Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Mo 65102

Attn: Filing Desk

Re:

Environmental Utilities, LLC

WA-2002-65

Dear Judge Roberts:

Please find enclosed for filing in the above referenced matter the original and 9 copies of the following pleadings:

1. Response to Hancock Motions.

An additional copy is enclosed to be stamped "filed" and returned to me in the enclosed envelop.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely yours

Gregory Dl) Williams

cc:

Counsel of Record

FILED²
FEB 1 2002

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF TH	IE STATE OF MISSOURI' 4002
In the matter of the application of Environmental Utilities, LLC for permission, approval, and a certificate of convenience and	Service Commission
necessity authorizing it to construct, install, own, operate,) Case No. WA-2002-65
control, manage and maintain a water system for the)
public located in unincorporated portions of Camden County,)
Missouri (Golden Glade Subdivision).)

ENVIRONMENTAL UTILITIES RESPONSE TO HANCOCK'S MOTION TO RESCHEDULE AND MOTION TO ALLOW SUPPLEMENTAL SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

COMES NOW Environmental Utilities, LLC and for its Response to the Motion to Reschedule Second Day of Hearing and the Motion to Allow Supplemental Surrebuttal Testimony states:

- 1. Environmental Utilities is informed that Mr. Hancock is vacationing in Florida until March 18, 2002, and apparently does not believe that this proceeding is of sufficient importance for him to return to the State of Missouri to appear and testify on March 4, 2002. This does not appear to Environmental Utilities to be good cause for a continuance of the hearing previously scheduled by the Commission for that date, as Mr. Hancock may appear on that date should he choose to do so, and failed to provide conflict dates to the Commission within the time he was requested to do so. Environmental Utilities therefore opposes the Motion to Reschedule.
- 2. Environmental Utilities does not understand what additional issues or evidence would be presented if the Motion to Allow Supplemental Surrebuttal Testimony were allowed, or whether it would then be necessary to allow all parties an opportunity to respond thereto, to re-cross examine the witness who have already testified, to provide yet another day in which to undertake such additional re-cross examination, or what other or additional



effects the proposed Supplemental Surrebuttal Testimony might present, as the proposed Supplemental Surrebuttal Testimony was not attached to the Motion. Generally, Environmental Utilities is opposed to the relief requested on these grounds, and is generally opposed to further delaying this proceeding.

WHEREFORE, Environmental Utilities prays that the Commission deny the relief prayed for in said motions.

Gregory D. Williams #32272 Highway 5 at Lake Road 5-32

P.O. Box 431

Sunrise Beach, MO 65079

(573) 374-8761

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Gregory D. Williams, do hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing was on this 7th day of February 2002, mailed, postage prepaid, and transmitted by e-mail, to the following:

M. Ruth O'Neill Office of Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Thomas E. Loraine 4075 Highway 54, Suite 300 Osage Beach, MO 65065 Victoria Kizito
General Counsel, Missouri Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Gregory D. Williams