BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of Missouri-American Water Company's)	
tariffs to increase rates for water service in the)	<u>CASE_NO. WR-91-211</u>
Joplin and St. Joseph, Missouri, areas of the)	
Company.)	

The Staff of the Missouri Public S	ervice Commission,)
	Complainant,)
V8.	~) <u>CASE NO. WC-91-375</u>
Missouri-American Water Company,)
	Respondent.)

- APPEARANCES: <u>Errol D. Taylor</u>, Attorney at Law, Brown, Douglas and Brown, 510 Francis Street, St. Joseph, Missouri 64501, for the Missouri-American Water Company, Inc.
 - <u>Willard C. Reine</u>, Attorney at Law, 314 East High Street, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101, for Public Water Supply Districts No. 1 and No. 2 of Buchanan County, Public Water Supply District No. 1 of DeKalb County, and Public Water Supply District No. 2 of Andrew County.
 - <u>Garv W. Duffy</u>, Attorney at Law, Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C. P. O. Box 456, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, for St. Joseph Light & Power Company.
 - James M. Fischer, Attorney at Law, Akre, Wendt & Fischer, 102 East High Street, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101, for Ag Processing, Inc.
 - John B. Coffman, and Douglas E. Micheel, Assistants Public Counsel, P. O. Box 7800, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, for the Office of the Public Counsel and the Public.
 - <u>Penny G. Baker</u>, Deputy General Counsel, <u>Michaelene A. Knudsen</u>, and <u>Thomas H. Luckenbill</u>, Assistants General Counsel, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.

Hearing Examiner:

Â

C. Gene Fee

REPORT AND ORDER

Procedural History

This matter commenced on November 20, 1990, when Missouri-American Water Company, Inc. (Company) filed proposed tariffs to increase rates for water service on an annual basis by approximately \$1.9 million or 17.2 percent. The Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission filed a complaint seeking to reduce the Company's rates. The two cases were consolidated for all purposes.

During the course of the hearing which commenced July 17, 1991, the parties asked for a continuance for the purpose of drafting a Stipulation and Agreement reflecting a proposed settlement which had been reached in principle. On July 26, 1991, at a hearing convened for that purpose, the parties submitted to the Commission a written Stipulation and Agreement. The Company also furnished for review proposed tariffs to increase gross annual revenues by \$452,369 as provided for in the Stipulation.

Findings Of Fact

The Missouri Public Service Commission has arrived at the following findings of fact:

Company is a public utility which provides water services in an area in the State of Missouri including the Cities of Joplin and St. Joseph. In this case the Company sought Commission approval of an annual increase in water revenues in the approximate amount of \$1.9 million. The Commission Staff countered with a complaint seeking a reduction in rates on an annual basis in the range of from \$347,213 to \$448,075. As a result of the negotiations between the various parties, it is recommended that the Commission approve an annual increase in Missouri jurisdictional gross revenues for water service of \$452,369.

The tariffs proposed by the parties in this matter also include a number of rate design and service charge changes intended to bring the rates charged by the

2

Company closer to the costs of rendering service. Because the two portions of the Company's service area are unconnected, the proposed increases are in varying amounts that are based on the actual cost of rendering service pursuant to the class cost of service study performed by the Company.

The Commission has reviewed the rates stipulated by the parties and finds that they are reasonable and the Stipulation and Agreement should be adopted in disposition of all the matters in this case. The Stipulation, which adequately describes the numerous proposed tariff changes is attached hereto and is incorporated herein by reference.

Conclusions of Law

The Missouri Public Service Commission has arrived at the following conclusions of law:

The Company is a public utility subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission pursuant to Chapters 386 and 393, RSMo Supp. 1990. The tariffs which are the subject matter of this proceeding were suspended pursuant to the authority vested in this Commission in Section 393.150, RSMo. The burden of proof to show that the proposed increased rates are just and reasonable shall be upon the Company. The Commission, after a notice and hearing, may order a change in any rate, charge or practice, including rate design, and it may determine and prescribe the lawful rate, charge, or practice thereafter to be observed.

The Commission may consider all facts which, in its judgment, have any bearing upon the proper determination of the price to be charged, with due regard, among other things, to a reasonable average return upon the value of the property actually used in public service, and to the necessity of making reservations out of income for surplus and contingencies. In so doing, the Commission shall consider the fair value of the property in its proper relationship to all other facts that have a material bearing on the establishment of fair and just rates.

3

For ratemaking and rate design purposes, the Commission may accept a stipulation of settlement on any contested matters submitted by the parties. The Commission is of the opinion that when the matters of agreement between the parties appear to be reasonable and proper they should be accepted.

The Commission concludes that the proposed rate increase provided for in the Stipulation and Agreement attached hereto, is just and reasonable and should be adopted. The Commission also concludes that the tariffs submitted, which bear an issue date of July 26, 1991, and a proposed effective date of August 1, 1991, should be approved to be effective for service rendered on and after August 1, 1991.

The Commission is also of the opinion that, as provided for in the Stipulation and Agreement, as corrected by interlineation at the time of the hearing, the prefiled testimony and exhibits of all parties should be received in evidence as hereinafter ordered.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That the Missouri Public Service Commission adopts the Stipulation and Agreement filed herein on July 25, 1991, and offered at the hearing held on July 26, 1991.

2. That the revised tariffs filed on November 20, 1990, and suspended herein, be, and they are, hereby disallowed and the revised tariffs listed as follows bearing an issue of July 26, 1991, and an effective date of August 1, 1991, be approved for service rendered on and after August 1, 1991.

ST. JOSEPH DISTRICT:

P.S.C. MO. No. 1

Fourth Revised Sheet No. 1, Canceling Third Revised Sheet No. 1 Third Revised Sheet No. 2, Canceling Second Revised Sheet No. 2

JOPLIN DISTRICT:

Υ.

P.S.C. MO. No. 2

Fourth Revised Sheet No. 3, Canceling Third Revised Sheet No. 3 Second Revised Sheet No. 5, Canceling First Revised Sheet No. 5

3. Pursuant to the Stipulation and Agreement the prefiled testimony, schedules, exhibits and minimum filing requirements submitted by Missouri-American Water Company, the Commission Staff, the Office of the Public Counsel, AG Processing, Inc., and the Public Water Supply Districts, shall be received into evidence as follows:

Exhibit 1	Hearing Memorandum
Exhibit 2	Stipulation and Agreement
Exhibit 3	Minimum Filing Requirements
Exhibit 4	Testimony of Company Witness Moon
Exhibit 5	Testimony of Company Witness Holsapple
Exhibit 6	Testimony of Company Witness Salser
Exhibit 7	Testimony of Company Witness McKitrick
Exhibit 8	Testimony of Company Witness Phillips
Exhibit 9	Rebuttal Testimony of Company Witness Phillips
Exhibit 10	Rebuttal Testimony of Company Witness Trim
Exhibit 11	Rebuttal Testimony of Company Witness Cole
Exhibit 12	Rebuttal Testimony of Company Witness Holsapple
Exhibit 13	Rebuttal Testimony of Company Witness Salser
Exhibit 14	Rebuttal Testimony of Company Witness McKitrick
Exhibit 15	Rebuttal Testimony of Company Witness Darby
Exhibit 16	Company update of Accounting Exhibits
Exhibit 17	Surrebuttal Testimony of Company Witness Salser
Exhibit 18	Direct Testimony of Staff Witness Pfleeger
Exhibit 19	Surrebuttal Testimony of Staff Witness Pfleeger
Exhibit 20	Direct Testimony of Staff Witness Ruppel
Exhibit 21	Surrebuttal Testimony of Staff Witness Ruppel
Exhibit 22	Direct Testimony of Staff Witness Merciel
Exhibit 23	Direct Testimony of Staff Witness Gray
Exhibit 24	Surrebuttal Testimony of Staff Witness Gray
Exhibit 25	Rebuttal Testimony of Staff Witness Henderson
Exhibit 26	Direct Testimony of Staff Witness Moore
Exhibit 27	Rebuttal Testimony of Staff Witness Moore
Exhibit 28	Surrebuttal Testimony of Staff Witness Moore
Exhibit 29	Surrebuttal Testimony of Staff Witness Oligschlaeger
Exhibit 30	Staff Accounting Schedules
Exhibit 31	Direct Testimony of Public Counsel Witness Ewers
Exhibit 32	Surrebuttal Testimony of Public Counsel Witness Ewers
Exhibit 33	Surrebuttal Testimony of Public Counsel Witness Trippensee
Exhibit 34	Direct Testimony of AG Processing Witness Harwig
Exhibit 35	Rebuttal testimony of Ag Processing Witness Harwig
Exhibit 36	Direct testimony of Public Water Supply Supply District
	Witness Figley

4. That this Report and Order shall become effective on August 1, 1191.

BY THE COMMISSION

Brent Stewart

Brent Stewart Executive Secretary

(SEAL)

. •

Rauch, McClure and Perkins, CC., Concur. Steinmeier, Chm., and Mueller, C., Absent.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 30th day of July, 1991.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Water Company's tariffs to increase rates for water service in the Joplin and St. Joseph, Missouri, areas of the Company.)))	Case	No.	WR-91-211
The Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission,))			
Complainant,)			
v.)	Case	No.	WC-91-375
The Missouri-American Water Company, a Missouri corporation,))			
Respondent.	;			

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

FILL 25 1991 JUL 25 1991 On November 20, 1990, Missouri-American Water Company, anc. filed with the Missouri Public Service Commission (Company) (Commission) proposed tariffs reflecting increased rates for water service provided to customers in the Joplin and St. Joseph, Missouri, service areas to become effective on December 20, 1990. On December 14, 1990, the Commission issued its Suspension Order and Notice of Proceedings which suspended Company's proposed tariffs for 120 days beyond December 20, 1990, to April 19, 1991, and further suspended those tariffs for a period of six months beyond April 19, 1991, to October 19, 1991.

On January 18, 1991, the Commission granted intervention to St. Joseph Light & Power Company, Ag Processing, Inc., the City of St. Joseph, Public Water Supply District No. 2 of Buchanan County, Public Water Supply District No. 1 of Buchanan County, Public Water Supply District No. 2 of Andrew County and Public Water Supply District No. 1 of DeKalb County.

On May 17, 1991, the parties filed a complaint, docketed as Case No. WC-91-375, alleging that Company's present rates were not just and reasonable and should be reduced. On May 22, 1991, the Commission ordered that Staff's complaint be consolidated with Company's pending rate case in Docket No. WR-91-211. All parties to the Case No. WR-91-211 were made parties to the consolidated proceeding.

On July 3, 1991, Staff filed a motion to strike Company's rebuttal testimony and exhibits as inconsistent with previous Commission rulings in this case. On July 12, 1991, the Commission granted this motion, however, on the first day of hearing, July 17, 1991, the Commission granted Company's motion to set aside the Commission order of July 12, 1991.

The parties engaged in a prehearing conference on July 1-3, 1991 in the Commission's hearing room on the 5th Floor of the Harry S Truman State Office Building. On July 17, 1991, the parties proceeded with the hearing of this matter before the Commission. All parties except the City of St. Joseph appeared and participated.

During the prehearing conference and on July 17 and July 18, 1991, the parties participated in settlement discussions and have reached an agreement. Subject to the Commission's approval the parties have agreed that this consolidated proceeding shall be resolved as follows:

1. That Company be authorized to file revised water tariffs effective August 1, 1991, designed to increase Company's Missouri

-2-

jurisdictional gross annual revenues by \$452,369, exclusive of applicable of franchise, gross receipts and other taxes.

2. That the Commission shall authorize Company to file tariffs effective August 1, 1991, designed in increase its jurisdictional gross annual revenues by district, exclusive of applicable franchise and gross receipt taxes, as follows:

Joplin	\$308,745
St. Joseph	\$143,624

3. That this Stipulation and Agreement represents а negotiated dollar settlement for the sole purpose of disposing of this case and none of the parties herein shall be prejudiced by or bound by the terms of this Stipulation and Agreement in any other proceeding. None of the parties shall be deemed to have approved or acquiesced in any particular treatment for any underlying or allegedly underlying principle of ratemaking regarding any issue in this consolidated proceeding. Furthermore, Public Counsel has not agreed to the inclusion of any imprudently built wells into rate base or to any expenses relating thereto.

4. The Company and Public Service Commission Staff stipulate and agree that the acquisition adjustment in the amount of \$360,831 relating to plant purchased in the St. Joseph District prior to 1914 shall be amortized and written off as a below-the-line expense and shall not be included in the Company's cost of service. No other parties to this proceeding, and specifically St. Joseph Light & Power Company, shall be deemed to have approved or acquiesced in the agreement as between Company and Public Service Commission Staff

-3-

concerning this acquisition adjustment and such parties shall not be prejudiced by or bound by such agreement as between Company and Public Service Commission Staff in any other matters or proceedings that may involve acquisition adjustments.

5. The parties agree and stipulate to the rate design attached hereto as Appendix A. None of the parties herein shall be bound by the rate design method or cost of service determination or cost allocation or calculation used to generate the stipulated rate design herein in any future cases involving Missouri water utilities.

6. That this Stipulation and Agreement represents a negotiated dollar settlement for the sole purpose of disposing of this consolidated proceeding as captioned above and none of the signatories to this Stipulation and Agreement shall be prejudiced by or bound by the terms of this Stipulation and Agreement in any other proceeding, or in this proceeding, in the event the Commission does not approve this Stipulation and Agreement.

7. That the prefiled testimony, schedules and minimum filing requirements sponsored by Company witnesses Moon, Salser, Holsapple, Phillips, Trim, Cole, and Darby; Staff witnesses Pfleeger, Rupple, Merciel, Gray, Henderson, Moore, and Oligschlaeger; Public Counsel's witnesses Ewers and Trippensee; Ag Processing, Inc. witness Harwig; and witness for the intervening public water supply districts, Figley, shall be received into evidence without the necessity of those witnesses taking the witness stand.

8. That in the event that the Commission accepts the specific terms of this Stipulation and Agreement, the parties waive their

-4-

respective rights to cross examine the witnesses named in paragraph seven (7) with respect to their prefiled testimonies and schedules.

÷

9. That in the event that the Commission accepts the specific terms of this Stipulation and Agreement, the parties waive their respective rights pertaining to (1) the presentation of oral argument or filing of written briefs, pursuant to Section 536.080(1), RSMo. 1986, as amended; (2) the reading of the transcript by the Commission, pursuant to Section 536.080(2), RSMo. 1986, as amended; and (3) judicial review, pursuant to Section 386.510, RSMo. 1986, as amended.

10. That the agreements in this Stipulation and Agreement have resulted from extensive negotiations among the signatory parties and are interdependent. In the event the Commission does not approve or adopt this Stipulation and Agreement in total, or in the event the revised tariffs agreed to herein do not become effective in accordance with the provisions contained herein, this Stipulation and Agreement shall be void and no party shall be bound by any of the agreements or provisions hereof.

11. The Staff shall have the right to submit to the Commission, in memorandum form, an explanation of its rationale for entering into this Stipulation and Agreement, and to provide the Commission whatever further explanation the Commission requests. The Staff's memorandum shall not become part of the record of this proceeding and shall not bind or prejudice the Staff in any future proceeding or in this proceeding in the event the Commission does not approve the Stipulation and Agreement. Any rationales advanced by

-5-

Staff in such a memorandum are its own and not acquiesced in or otherwise adopted by the other stipulated parties.

WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully request from the Commission the following: (1) that the Commission approve this Stipulation and Agreement in its entirety; (2) that the Commission have its Report and Order reflect that the Company shall recognize and write off below-the-line, the utility plant acquisition adjustment of \$360,831 previously referred to in paragraph four (4) of this Stipulation and Agreement; and (3) that the Commission approve the reflected rates in Appendix A hereof.

Respectfully submitted,

Penny G. Baker Deputy General Counsel

Michaelene A. Knudsen Assistant General Counsel

Thomas H. Luckenbill Assistant General Counsel

Attorneys for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service CommissionP. O. Box 360Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 (314) 751-6651

John B. Coffman Assistant Public Counsel Office of the Public Counsel Harry S Truman Building Ste. 350, P. O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 (314) 751-5565)

by MAK

Willard C. Reine Attorney for Public Water Supply Districts 314 East High Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 (314) 634-3355

la by mit

Errol D. Taylor Attorney for Missouri-American Water Company 510 Francis Street, Suite 202 Pioneer Building St. Joseph, Missouri 64501 (816) 232-7748

James M. Frischer by PC

James M. Fischer Attorney for Ag Processing, Inc. 102 East High Street, Suite 200 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 (314) 635-2573

SUMAA Gary W. Duffy

Attorney for St. Joseph Light & Power Company Brydon, Swearengen & England 312 East Capitol P. O. Box 456 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 (314) 635-7166

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed or hand-delivered to all parties of record on this 25th day of July, 1991.

Michaelere D. Linde

								APPENDIX
ISSOURI AMERICAN WA	ITER						25-Ju1-91	
WR-91-211							10:58 AM	
ST. JOSEPH DISTRICT								
ATE DETERMINATION								
ustoner Billing:	\$892, 145	divided by	114,544	bills	=	7.7887	Customer Bi	illing
ustomer Service:	\$531,372	divided by	31,331	N.E.Q.	divided by	12	1.4133 H	Base Neter Charge
MONTHLY MINIMUM			MONTHLY					
Neter	Flow	Base Meter		CUSTONER	CUSTOMER			
Size	Factor	Charge	CHARGE	BILLING	CHARGE			
5/8"	1.0008	Literye 1.4133	1.4133	7.7887				
3/4"	1.5000	1.4133	2.1208	7.7887	9.9887			
1*	2,5000	1.4133	3.5333	7.7887				
1 1/2	5.0000	1.4133	7.0666	7.7887				
2"	8,0998	1.4133	11.3966	7.7887				
3*	15.0000	1.4133	21.1999	7.7887				
4*	25.0000	1.4133	35, 3332	7,7887				
6"	58 . 88 88	1.4133	7 0. 6664	7.7887				
8*	88.000	1.4133	113.0663	7.7887	120.8550			
QUARTERLY MINIMUN			QUARTERLY					
Neter	Flow	Base Neter	- METER	CUSTOMER	CUSTOMER			
Size	Factor	Charge	CHARGE	BILLING	CHARGE			
5/8*	1.0008	1.4133	4.2400	7.7687				
3/4*	1.5000	1.4133		7.7887				
1*	2.5888	1.4133		7.7887				
1 1/2*	5.0000	1.4133		7.7887				
2*	8.9999	1.4133		7.7887				
3•	15.0000	1.4133		7.7887				
3 4"								
	25.0000	1.4133		7.7887				
6" 8"	50.0800 88 .0000	1.4133		7.7887 7.7887	219.7880 346.9875			
Cosmodity Rates								
Component 1#1	\$3, 435, 966	divided by	6, 394, 635		\$0.5 373			
Component'#2	\$1,953,948	divided by	3,932,781		\$8. 4 968			
	COMP 8-1			COMP 8-2	Total			
2ND STEP RATE	\$0.5373	-		<u> </u>	- \$0.5373			
IST. STEP RATE	\$0.53 73			\$0.4968	\$1.0342			
USAGE PER CCF		_						
FIRST 1000 CCF	\$1.0342	- Per 100 CCF						

•

. •

.

.

•

MISSOURI AMERICAN WATER.

•••

: 1

.

-- ----

.

.

T. JOSEPH DISTRICT EVENUE ANALYSIS		Mont	thly
Keter	Annual	Proposed	 Proposed
Size	Customers	Rate	Revenue
5/8*	1,188	\$9.2888	\$10,938
3/4"	216	\$9.9188	\$2,141
i*	864	\$11.3200	\$9,788
1 1/2*	768	\$14.8600	\$11,412
2"	2,684	\$19.1000	\$49,736
3"	48	\$28,9998	\$1,392
4*	276	\$43, 1208	\$11,901
6*	36	\$78.4600	12,825
8*	12	\$129.8698	\$1,450
	6,012		\$101,567
	- <u></u> ,	QUAR	TERLY
Keter	Annual	Proposed	Proposed
Size	Customers	Rate	Revenue
5/8"	106,516	\$12.0300	\$1,281,387
3/4*	716	\$14.1500	\$10,131
1*	924	\$18.3908	\$16,992
1 1/2*	164	\$28, 9900	\$4,754
2"	212	\$41.7100	\$8,843
3*	6	\$71.3909	\$8
4"	8	\$113.7968	66
6"		\$219.7908	\$8
	198, 532		\$1, 322, 188
Commadity Revenue			
itep'#1	\$1.0342	3, 932, 701	\$4, 0 67, 199
Step1#2	10. 5373	2,461,934	\$1, 322, 797
Private Fire			\$144,598
Other Revenue			\$25,286
Actual Revenue			\$6,983,544
Proposed Revenue		DIE	16,983,290

DIFF.

\$248

,

MISSOURI AMERICAN WATER.

and the second second

RESIDENTIAL

Monthly

ST. JOSEPH DISTRICT REVENUE ANALYSIS

· .

WR-91-211

Meter Size	Customer Billing
5/8"	132
3/4"	8
1*	12
1 1/2"	9
2"	8
3"	8
- 4*	8
6*	0
	144

-	•
	8
	8
	8
	0

Meter Size	Customer Billing
5/8"	96,352
3/4"	216
1 -	116
1 1/2"	4
2"	28
3"	0
4*	0
6*	0
	96,788

96,768

Commodity Revenue

Monthly	100 ccf	Rate	
1st Block	1,882	\$1.0342	\$1,119
2nd Block		10. 5373	\$0
Quarterly			
ist Block	2, 182, 718	\$1.0342	\$2,257,367
2nd Block		\$9. 5373	\$8
Reconciling Item	8		\$0
Total	2, 183, 888		\$3, 425, 891

25-Jul-91 10:54 AM

.

Proposed Rate	Proposed Revenue
\$9.20	\$1,214
\$9.91	18
\$11.32	\$136
\$14.85	\$8
\$19.10	10
\$28.99	50
\$43.12	10
\$78.46	\$8
\$128.86	·
	\$1,359

QUARTERLY

• - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Proposed Rate	Proposed Revenue
	\$12.03	\$1,159,115
	\$14, 15	13, 856
	\$18.39	\$2,133
	\$28.99	\$116
	\$41.71	\$834
	\$71.39	18
	\$113.79	\$8
·	\$219.79	10

\$1,165,254

;

MISSOURI AMERICAN WATER. WR-91-211		25-Jul-91 10:44 AM	
ST. JOSEPH DISTRICT	CONVERCIAL		
REVENUE ANALYSIS	Monthly		
			

.....

Meter Size	Customer Billing	Proposed Rate	Proposed Revenue
5/8*	780	\$9.20	\$7,176
3/4"	144	\$9.91	\$1,427
1*	492	\$11.32	\$5,569
1 1/2"	588	\$14.85	18,738
5.	1,832	\$19,18	\$19,711
3"	24	\$28.99	\$695
4"	0	\$43.12	\$0
6"	0	\$78,46	\$9
		\$129.86	

\$43, 317

Proposed

Revenue

\$120,589

16,452

\$13, 314

13, 595 \$3,837

\$8

\$8

10

1147,788

- --- ---

Proposed

Rate

\$12.03 \$14.15

\$18.39

\$28.99

\$41.71 \$71.39

\$113.79

\$219.79

3,060

QUARTERLY

Keter	Eustomer
Size	Billing
5/8"	10,024
3/4"	456
1"	724
1 1/2"	124
2"	92
3"	8
4*	8
6"	8

11,428

Cosmodity Revenue

.

1

Monthly	100 ccf	Rate	
1st Black	481,546	\$1.0342	\$498,015
2nd Block	121,215	\$0.5373	165, 129
Quarterly			
1st Black	551,131	\$1.8342	1569,988
2nd Block	8, 393	\$9.5373	\$4,510
Reconciling Item	0		\$0
Total	1, 162, 285		\$1,328,738

NISSOURI ANERI WR-91-211 JOSEPH DIS REVENUE ANALYS	TRICT	INDUSTRIAL Monthly	25-Jul-91 18:49 AM		
Meter Size	Customer Billing			Proposed Rate	Proposed Revenue
5/8*	108			\$9.58	\$1,826
3/4*	24			\$9.91	\$238
1*	284			\$11.32	\$2,389
1 1/2"	84			\$14.86	\$1,248
2*	972			\$19.18	\$18,565
3"	24			128.99	\$696
4*	188			\$43.12	\$7,762
6*	12			\$78.46	\$942
				\$120.86	کال ہے جسے مسلک کی جو پردیاں
	1,608				\$32,785

QUARTERLY

Heter Size	Customer Billing	Proposed Rate	Proposed Revenue
5/8*	48	\$12.83	\$481
3/4"	20	\$14,15	\$283
1*	32	\$18.39	\$588
1 1/2*	4	\$28.99	\$115
2*	4	\$41.71	\$167
3*	8	\$71.39	\$8
4*	8	\$113.79	\$8
6"	8	\$219.79	\$0

108

\$1,635

Cosmodity Revenue

ł

4

Ţ

. .

Monthly	100 ccf	Rate	
ist Block	373, 135	\$1.8342	\$385,896
2nd Block	1, 359, 156		\$730, 275
Quarterly			
lst Block	28,136	\$1.0342	\$29, 098
2nd Block	1,081	\$8.5373	\$581
Reconciling Item			
Total	1, 761, 508		\$1, 180, 271

HISSOURI AMERI WR-91-211 ST. JOSEPH DIS ENLE ANALYS	TRICT	DPA Monthly	25-Jul-91 10:51 AM		
Meter Size	Custome r Billing			Proposed Rate	Proposed Revenue
5/8•	168			\$9.28	\$1,546
3/4"	48			\$9.91	\$476
1*	156			\$11.32	\$1,766
1 1/2"	96			\$14.86	\$1,427
2"	324			\$19.10	\$6,188
3"				\$28.99	\$8
4*	60			\$43.12	12,587
6*				\$78.46	50
				\$129.86	
	852				\$13,989

QUARTERLY

	Neter Size	Custome r Billing	Proposed Rate	Proposed Revenue
	5/8"	108	\$12.03	\$1,283
	3/4*	24	\$14.15	\$348
	1*	52	\$18.39	\$956
	1 1/2*	35	\$28.99	\$928
_	2*	96	\$41.71	\$4, 884
	3"	0	\$71.39	\$0
V	4*	0	\$113.79	18
	6"	0	\$219.79	18
				<u></u>

384

\$7,431

,

Commodity Revenue

(

Monthly	108 ccf	Rate	
ist Block	185,229	\$1.0342	\$198,828
2nd Block	77, 143	10. 5373	\$41,449
Quarterly		•	
ist Block	36, 317	\$1.0342	137,559
2nd Block		\$9, 5 373	\$8
econciling Item			\$8
Total	218,689	-	\$289,256

.

ş

ł

UISSOURI AMERICAN HATEL	2.	25-Jul-91 10:57 AM	
ST. JOSEPH DISTRICT REVENUE ANALYSIS	SALE FOR RESALE Nonthly		
Meter Custo Sizo Billi		Proposed	Proposed

Size	Billing	Rate	Revenue
5/8"		\$9.20	\$B
3/4"	0	\$9.91	\$0
1*	8	\$11.32	\$8
1 1/2"	8	\$14.86	58
2"	276	\$19.10	\$5,272
3"	0	\$28.99	\$0
4"	36	\$43.12	\$1,552
6"	24	\$78.46	\$1,883
8*	12	\$129.86	\$1,450
	348		\$10, 157

\$10, 157

\$8

DUARTERLY

Neter	Customer	Proposed	Proposed
Size	Billing	Rate	Revenue
5/8"	8		
3/4"	0	\$12.83 \$14.15	58 58
1*	8	\$18.39	50
1 1/2"	0	\$28.99	\$8
5.	8	\$41.71	18
3*	8	\$71.39	18
4 ^µ	9	\$113.79	\$0
6"	8	\$219.79	\$0

Cosmodity Revenue

8

۰.

۰.

 $(x_{i},y_{i})\in \mathcal{A}_{i}$

.

Monthly	100 ccf	Rate	
st Block	148, 477	\$1.0342	\$153,555
2nd Block	909, 054	\$8.5373	\$488, 435
Warterly			
st Block		\$1.0342	\$8
and Block		\$0.537 3	\$8

Acconciling Item

1

1 J 4

Total	1 , 6 57, 531	\$652, 147
	,	

23-Jul-91 02:46 PM .

MISSOURI AMREICAN WATER CO. JOPLIN DIST. WR-91-211 RESIDENTIAL BILL COMPARISION ~5/8. IN. METER USAGE IN 100 QUARTERLY RATE

 Usage	Current Rate	Rate	Difference	Percentage
 0.00	11.11	16.55	5.44	48.9649%
6.00	17.33	22.62	5.29	30.5455%
9.00	20.44	25.66	5.22	25.5397%
12.00	23.55	28.70	5.15	21.8561%
15.00	26.66	31.73	5.07	19.0319%
18.00	29.77	34.77	5.00	16.7978%
21.00	32.88	37.81	4.93	14.9863%
24.00	35.99	40.85	4.85	13.4879%
27.00	39.10	43.88	4.78	12.2279%
30.00	42.21	46.92	4.71	11.1535%
33.00	45.32	49.96	4.63	10.2266%
36.00	48.43	52.99	4.56	9.4187%
39.00	51.54	56.03	4.49	8.7084%
42.00	54.65	59.07	4.42	8.0788%
45.00	57.76	62.10	4.34	7.5171%

.

:

• • •

-*.* · ·

23-Jul-91 02:49 PM

MISSOURI AMERICAN WATER CO WR-91-211 Joplin Dist. Large Users Usage In CCF Monthly Bill

2" METER

1. J. J.

1

1

- .

Ę

	Usage	Current Rate	Proposed Rate	Difference	Percentage
==	; <u>225226</u> 422255;	estattessa:			
	1000.00	858.43	1,043.38	184.95	21.5451%
	2000.00	1,389.43	1,569.28	179.85	12.9442%
	3000.00	1,920.43	2,095.18	174.75	9.0995%
	5000.00	2, 982. 43	3,146.98	164.55	5.5173%
	8000.00	4, 575. 43	4,724.68	149.25	3.2620×
	10000.00	5,637.43	5,776.48	139.05	2.4665%
	20000.00	10,947.43	11,035.48	88.05	0.8043%
	40000.00	21,567.43	21,553.48	(13.95)	-0.0647×
	45000.00	24, 222. 43	24, 182. 98	(39. 45)	-0.1629%
	50000.00	26,877.43	26,812.48	(64.95)	-0.2417%
	55000.00	29,532.43	29,441.98	(90.45)	-0.3063%
	60000.00	32, 187. 43	32,071.48	(115.95)	-0,3602%
	65000.00	34, 842. 43	34,700.98	(141,45)	-0.4060%
	70000.00	37,497.43	37, 330. 48	(166.95)	- 0. 4452%
	75000.00	40,152.43	39,959.98	(192.45)	-0.4793%

MISSOURI AMERICAN WATER COMPANY WR-91-211 St. Joseph District Private Fire Charges

and the second second

PRESENT CHARGE	PROPOSED CHARGES	DOLLAR INCREASE	<pre>% INCREASE</pre>
2" \$ 42.96	\$ 46.51	\$ 3.55	8.26%
3" \$ 96.60	\$ 104.50	\$ 11.45	8.33%
4" \$ 171.60	\$ 186.03	\$ 25.88	8.41%
6" \$ 386.16	\$ 418.57	\$ 32.41	8.39%
8" \$ 686.52	\$ 744.12	\$ 57.60	8.39%
10" \$1,072.68	\$1,162.69	\$ 90.01	8.39%
12" \$1,544.76	\$1,674.27	\$129.51	8.39%

PRIVATE FIRE HYDRANTS

•

`,

\$386.16

:

3

\$418.57

8.39%

-								
MISSOURI AMERICAN W						2	25-Jul-91	
WR-91-211	HIER						11:81 AM	
							11:01 Her	
JOPLIN DISTRICT								
RATE DETERMINATION								
Customer Billing:	\$587,676	divided by	75 , 6 80	bills	=	7.8273 (lustomer Bil	lling
Custozer Service:	\$758, 402	divided by	21,740	M. E. D.	divided by	12	2.9071 B	ase Neter Charg
			•					
KONTHLY MINIMUM	F 1	n	MONTHLY	~~~~~	~			
Keter	Flow	Base Meter	. –		CUSTOMER			
Size 5/8"	Factor	Charge	CHARGE	BILLING	CHARGE			
	1,0000	2.9071	2.9871	7.8273				
3/4*	1,5000	2.9071	4.3686	7.8273				
1.	2,5000	2.9871	7.2677	7.8273				
1 1/2"	5.0000	2.9871		7.8273				
2"	8.0000	2.9871	23, 2567	7.8273				
3"	15.0000		43.6964	7.8273				
4* 	25,0000	2.9071	72.6773	7.8273				
6.	50.0000		145.3546		153.1819			
8*	88.0000	2.9871	232.5673	7.8273	248.3946			
QUARTERLY MINIMUM			QUARTERLY					
Neter	Flow	Base Meter		CUSTONER	CUSTOMER			
Size	Factor	Charge	CHARGE	BILLING	CHARGE			
5/8"	1.0000	2.9071		7.8273				
3/4"	1.5000	2.9071		7.8273				
1*	2,5000			7.8273				
1 1/2	5.8888			7.8273				
2*	8.0000	2,9071		7.8273				
3•	15,0008		138, 8191		138,6464			
4*	25.0000		218.0319		225,8592			
6*	58.9829				443.8910			
8"	88. 8868	2. 9071		7.8273				
Commodity Rates			<u></u>					
Component 1#1	\$1,945,814	divided by	3,699,707		10.5259			
Component'#2	11, 334, 672	divided by	2,744,443		\$0. 4863			
	COMP #-1	_		COMP 8-2	Total			
	\$8.5259	_			se. 5259			
2ND STEP RATE								
2ND STEP RATE 1ST. STEP RATE	10.5259			10.48 63	\$1.0123			
		-		10. 4863	\$1.0123			
IST. STEP RATE	10. 5259	- Per 100 CCF		10. 48 63	\$1.0123			

and the second second

•

.

i

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

,

MISSOURI AMERICAN WATER. WR-91-211 JOPLIN DISTRICT

.

1

ļ

25-Jul-91 11:04 AM

-

evenue analys	12	Mon	thly
Keter Size	Annual Customers	Proposed Rate	Proposed Revenue
5/8"	2,120	\$18.7388	\$22,748
3/4*	258	\$12,1988	\$3,145
1*	1,554	\$15,1000	\$23, 465
1 1/2"	559	\$22.3699	\$12, 499
2"	2,177	\$31.0800	\$67,661
3*		\$51.4300	50
4*	158	\$88.5008	\$13,524
6"	48	\$153.1680	\$7,353
8"	24	\$248.3900	\$5,769
	6,988		\$156,164
		التحري القري السوطن عرب	
		QUAR	TERLY
Neter	Annual	OUAF Proposed	Proposed
Meter Size	Annual Customers		
	-	Proposed	Proposed Revenue
Size	Customers	Proposed Rate	Proposed Revenue \$1,860,392
Size 5/8"	Custoners 64,872	Proposed Rate \$16, 5508	Proposed Revenue \$1,860,392 \$6,691
Size 5/8" 3/4"	Customers 64, 872 328	Proposed Rate \$16.3500 \$20,9100	 Proposed
Size 5/8" 3/4" 1"	Customers 64,072 320 3,523	Proposed Rate \$16.5500 \$20.9100 \$29.6308	Proposed Revenue \$1,860,392 \$6,691 \$184,388 \$2,829
5/8" 3/4" 1" 1 1/2"	Customers 64, 872 320 3, 523 55	Proposed Rate \$16.5500 \$20.9100 \$29.6300 \$51.4300	Proposed Revenue \$1,060,392 \$6,691 \$104,388 \$2,829 \$15,675
Size 5/8" 3/4" 1" 1 1/2" 2"	Customers 64, 872 328 3, 523 55 282	Proposed Rate \$16.5508 \$20.9109 \$29.6308 \$51.4398 \$77.6809	Proposed Revenue \$1,060,392 \$6,691 \$104,386

Cosmodity Revenue

₽

Step'#1	\$1.0123	2,744,443	\$2,778,288
Step'#2	10.5259	955, 264	\$582, 373

\$1,189,973

68, 172

Private Fire		\$76,142
Other Revenue		\$10,291
Actual Revenue		\$4, 713, 144
Proposed Revenue	DIFF.	\$4,712,999 \$145

MISSOURI AMERICAN	HATER.
WR-91-211	
JOPLIN DISTRICT	

. . .

25-Jul-91 11:09 AM

RESIDENTIAL Monthly

JO REVENUE ANALYSIS

Ē

Meter Size	Customer Billing
5/8"	8
3/4"	8
1*	8
1 1/2"	8
2"	9
3*	8
4*	8
6"	8
	0

Proposed Rate	Proposed Revenue
\$10.73	
\$12.19	\$[
\$15, 10	\$€
\$22.36	\$ 1
\$31.88	\$6
\$51.43	\$(
\$88.50	\$(
\$153.18	\$(

1,520,410

.

QUARTERLY

Neter	Customer	Proposed	Proposed
Size	Billing	Rate	Revenue
5/8"	57,563	•16.55	1952,661
3/4*	147	\$20.91	13,07/
1•	2,666	\$29.63	\$78,994
1 1/2"	· •	\$51.43	\$286
2"	43	\$77.69	\$3,333
3"	8	\$138.64	
4"	8	\$225.86	\$6
6"	8	\$443.89	54
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

60, 423

\$1,038,271

\$2,577,3E

\$(

Commodity Revenue

?

11 I I

Monthly	188 ccf Rate	
ist Block	\$1.0123	\$€
2nd Block	18. 5259	\$[
Quarterly		
ist Block	1,528,410 \$1.8123	\$1,539,11
2nd Block	\$8. 5259	se
Reconciling Item	8	. 60

Total			

MISSOURI AMERI WR-91-211	ican Hater.		25-Jul-91 11:03 AM		
JOPLIN DISTRI REVENUE ANALYS		COMMERCIAL Nonthly			
Meter Size	Customer Billing			Proposed Rate	Proposed Revenue

Size	Billing	Rate	Revenue
5/8"	1,916	\$10.73	\$28,559
3/4*	246	\$12.19	\$2,999
1"	1,266	\$15.10	\$19,117
1 1/2"	483	\$22.36	\$9,011
2*	1,277	\$31.08	139,689
3"	8	\$51.43	10
4"	0	\$89.58	18
6"	0	\$153, 18	68
8	0		

\$91,374

\$143,838

QUARTERLY

_ - - - -

		·····		
Meter	Eustomer		Proposed	Proposed
Size	Billing		Rate	Revenue
5/8"	6,369		\$16.55	\$185, 487
3/4"	125		\$20, 91	\$2,614
1*	833		\$29.63	\$24,682
1 1/2"	43		\$51.43	\$2,211
5.	115		\$77.68	\$8,924
3*	0		\$138.65	\$8
4"	0		\$225.86	\$8
6"	0		\$443.89	50

7,485

5, 188

.

Cossodity Revenue

1

;

	Rate	100 ccf	Monthly
\$562,771	\$1.0123	555, 933	ist Block
\$98,863	\$0, 5259	187, 989	2nd Block
			Quarterly
\$387, 294	\$1,8123	383, 560	1st Block
\$8	\$8,5259		2nd Block
\$8		8	econciling Item
\$1,284,148	•	1,047,482	Total

		25-Jul-91 11:06 AM	INDUSTRIAL Monthly	ст	USE SOURI AMERII WR-91-211 JOPLIN DISTRIC REVENUE ANALYS
Proposed Revenue	Proposed Rate			Customer Billing	Meter Size
\$901	\$18.73			 84 /	5/8*
\$0	\$12, 19			8	3/4*
\$1,993	\$15.18			132	1"
\$1,073	\$22.36			48	1 1/2"
\$11, 189	\$31.98			368	2"
\$9	\$51.43			8	3*
\$19,626	\$80.50			132	4 "
\$7,353	\$153.18			48	6*
\$33,135				884	
			QUARTERLY		
Proposed	Proposed Rate			Customer Billing	Meter Size

.

.

÷.

\$16.55

\$20.91

\$29.63

\$51.43

\$77.60

\$138.65

1225.86

\$443.89

1199

\$167

\$8

\$8

10

18

\$8

18

\$366

Cossodity Revenue

i

2

2

5/8"

3/4*

1=

4 6 12

8

0

8

0

0

8

0

28

1

Monthly	100 ccf	Rate	
lst Block	214, 840	\$1.0123	\$216,673
2nd Block	572, 803	18.5259	\$329, 816
Quarterly			
1st Block	457	\$1.0123	\$463
2nd Block		\$0.5259	\$0
Reconciling Item	8		\$8
Total	786,500	•	\$551,453

MISSOURI AMERICAN WATER.		25
WR-91-211		11
JOPLIN DISTRICT	0PA	
REVENUE ANALYSIS	Monthly	
	•	

- 25	-J	ul-	-91
1	1:	07	ρH.

Meter Size	Customer Billing	Proposed Rate	Proposed Revenue
5/8*	120	\$19.73	11,288
3/4"	12	\$12.19	\$146
i=	156	\$15.10	\$2,356
1 1/2*	108	\$22.36	\$2, 415
2"	384	\$31.98	\$11,935
3*	0	\$51.43	10
4*	36	\$88.50	\$2,898
6*	0	\$153.18	\$8
8*	24	\$248.39	\$5,769
	840		126,886

QUARTERLY

Heter Siz e	Customer Billing	Proposed Rate	Proposed Revenue
5/8,"	128	\$16.55	\$2,118
3/4"	40	\$20.91	1836
1-	24	\$29.63	\$711
1 1/2"	8	\$51.43	\$411
2"	44	\$77.60	\$3,414
3*	8	\$138.65	58
4"	8	\$225.86	50
6*	0	\$ 443. 89	\$8
	244		\$7,492

Cosmodity Revenue

.

11

ĵ

Konthly	108 ccf	Rate	
1st Block	98, 833	\$1.0123	\$100,049
2nd Block	11,533	10.5259	\$6,865
Quarterly			
1st Block	4, 743	\$1.0123	\$4,801
2nd Block		10.5259	10
conciling Item	8		\$8
Total	115, 109	•	\$145,213

	•	AN .	11:11 A			WR-91-211
				SALE FOR RESALE		JOFLIN DISTRICT
				Monthly	15	REVENUE ANALYSI
Propose	Proposed				Customer	Meter
Revenu	Rate				Billing	Size
و، وداند ککر سب	\$10.73				0	5/8*
	\$12.19				ě	3/4*
	\$15.10				8	1*
	122.36				8	1 1/2"
54,1	\$31.88				156	2*
•	\$51.43				8	3"
	189.58				8	4=
	\$153.18				0	6*
	\$248.39					8*
54,1					156	
				QUARTERLY		
Proposed	Proposed				Customer	Meter
Revenue	Rate				Billing	Size
	\$16.55				8	5/8*
	\$20.91				8	3/4*
	\$29.63				0	1*
	\$51.43				8	1 1/2"
	\$77.60				9	2"
	\$138.65				9	3"
	\$225.86 \$443.89		•		8	4" 6"
······································						·
						Cossodity Reven
	Rate	189 ccf				
\$48,7	\$1.0123	48, 195				1st Block
\$94,7	10.5259	188, 197				2nd Block
						Quarterly
	\$1.0123					1st Block
	10.52 59					2nd Block
		8			9	Reconciling Iter
\$148,3		228, 382				Total
414012						

.

5

23-Jul-91 04:10 PM ----

MISSOURI AMREICAN WATER CO. ST. JOSEPH DIST. WR-91-211 RESIDENTIAL BILL COMPARISION ^5/8. IN. METER USAGE IN 100 CUBIC FEET QUARTERLY RATE

 Usage	Current Rate	Rate	Difference	Percentage
 0.00	10.07	12.03	1.96	19.4638%
6.00	16.35	18.24	1.89	11.5289*
9.00	19.49	21.34	1.85	9.4791*
12.00	22.63	24.44	1.81	7.9981*
15.00	25.77	27.54	1.77	6.8780%
18.00	28.91	30.65	1.74	6.0013×
21.00	32.05	33.75	1.70	5.2963%
24.00	35.19	36.85	1.66	4.7171*
27.00	38.33	39.95	1.62	4.2329%
30.00	41.47	43.06	1.59	3.8219%
33.00	44.61	46.16	1.55	' 3. 4689%
36.00	47.75	49.26	1.51	3.1622%
39.00	50.89	52.36	1.47	2.8934%
42.00	54.03	55.47	1.44	2.6559%
45.00	57.17	58.57	1.40	2.4444%

ž

ţ

. .

23-Jul-91 04:12 PM

MISSOURI AMERICAN WATER CO WR-91-211 ST. Joseph Dist. Large Users Usage In CCF Monthly Bill

Usage Current Proposed Difference Percentage Rate Rate _______________ 1000.00 1,066.41 1,053.30 (13.11)-1.2294% 1,614.41 1,590.60 (23.81) -1.4748% 2000.00 3000.00 2,162.41 2,127.90 (34.51) -1.5959% 5000.00 3,258.41 3,202.50 (55.91) -1.7159% 8000.00 4,902.41 4,814.40 (88.01) -1.7952% 10000.00 5, 998. 41 5,889.00 (109.,41) -1.8240% 20000.00 11,478.41 11,262.00 (216,41) -1.8854% 40000.00 22,438.41 22,008.00 (430.41) -1.9182* 45000.00 25, 178.41 24,694.50 (483,91) -1.9219% 50000.00 27,918.41 (537,41) -1.9249% 27,381.00 55000.00 30,658.41 30,067.50 (590,91) -1.9274% 60000.00 33, 398. 41 32,754.00 -1.9295% (644.41) 36,138.41 35,440.50 65000.00 (697,91) -1,9312% 70000.00 38,878.41 38,127.00 -1.9327% (751,41) 40,813.50 75000.00 41,618.41 (804.91)-1.9340%

2" METER

ĩ

MISSOURI AMERICAN WATER COMPANY WR-91-211 Joplin District Private Fire Charges

.....

•

1

;;;

. *

PRESENT CHARGE	PROPOSED CHARGES	DOLLAR INCREASE	<pre>% INCREASE</pre>
2" \$ 26.64	\$ 36.51	\$ 9.87	37.06%
4" \$ 106.32	\$ 136.03	\$ 29.71	27.94%
6" \$ 239.28	\$ 305.78	\$ 66.50	27.79%
8" \$ 425.28	\$ 543.77	\$118.49	27.86%
10" \$ 664.56	\$ 851.98	\$187.42	28.20%
12" \$ 956.88	\$1,225.22	\$268.34	28.04%

PRIVATE FIRE HYDRANTS

\$ 305.78	\$ 386.16	\$ 80.38	20.81%
-----------	-----------	----------	--------

٠

.....