Exhibit No.: Issue(s): Market Prices Witness: Charles T. Poston, PE Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony Case Nos.: ER-2022-0129 and ER-2022-0130 Date Testimony Prepared: July 13, 2022

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

INDUSTRY ANALYSIS DIVISION

ENGINEERING ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

CHARLES T. POSTON, PE

Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro Case No. ER-2022-0129

Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West Case No. ER-2022-0130

> Jefferson City, Missouri July 2022

** Denotes Confidential Information **

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	OF
3	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
4	CHARLES T. POSTON, PE
5 6	Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro Case No. ER-2022-0129
7 8	Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West Case No. ER-2022-0130
9	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY1
10	MARKET PRICES

	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
	OF
	CHARLES T. POSTON, PE
	Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro Case No. ER-2022-0129
	Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West Case No. ER-2022-0130
Q.	Please state your name and business address.
А.	My name is Charles T. Poston and my business address is 200 Madison Street,
Jefferson City	y, MO, 65102.
Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
А.	I am a Senior Professional Engineer employed by the Missouri Public Service
Commission	("Commission") in the Engineering Analysis Department, a part of the
Commission	Staff ("Staff").
Q.	Are you the same Charles T. Poston who filed direct testimony in these cases on
June 8, 2022?	
А.	Yes, I am.
EXECUTIV	F SUMMADV
Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
А.	I will provide rebuttal testimony concerning the market prices used in the
production co	ost models described by Evergy witness Eric T. Peterson.
Q.	Please summarize Staff's findings related to the market prices used in the
production co	ost models used by Evergy Metro and Evergy West.
	A. Jefferson City Q. A. Commission Q. June 8, 20227 A. EXECUTIV Q. A. production co Q.

A. Staff's review found that the market prices used by Evergy Metro and
 Evergy West in their production cost models appear to be too low given current market
 conditions. As a result, the results of the production cost models used by Evergy Metro and
 Evergy West may not properly account for fuel and purchased power expenses.

5 MARKET PRICES

Q.

Q.

6

What are market prices?

A. In the context of this testimony, market prices represent the cost to buy and sell
energy in an integrated marketplace such as the Southwest Power Pool ("SPP").

9

What is a production cost model?

A. A production cost model is a computer simulation of a utility's energy
generation, energy sales, and energy purchases. The results of production cost modeling are
used to calculate variable fuel and purchased power expense.

13

Q. What purpose do market prices have in production cost modeling?

A. Within a production cost model, market prices affect the dispatch of coal and
natural gas-fired power plants, determine the revenue earned for the sale of energy generated at
all types of power plants, and govern the cost of the energy purchased by a utility to meet the
obligation to serve its customers' load.

Q. Do Staff, Evergy Metro, and Evergy West use the same methods to generate
market price input files for use in their production cost models?

20 A. No.

Q. What method did Staff use to generate the market price input files for itsproduction cost models?

1	A.	Staff witness Saeid R. Dinarloo stated in his direct testimony that, "Staff
2	used the SP	P's [day-ahead locational marginal prices] for the three-year period ending
3	December 3	1, 2021, and calculated hourly averages for every settlement node where Evergy
4	buys or sells	electricity through SPP's [integrated marketplace]."1
5	Q.	What method did Evergy Metro and Evergy West use to generate the market
6	price input fi	iles for their production cost models?
7	А.	Evergy witness Eric T. Peterson states in his direct testimony that Evergy Metro
8	and Evergy	West used a software model called PROMOD that, "performs a security
9	constrained	unit commitment and co-optimized economic dispatch to generate Locational
10	Marginal Pri	ces ("LMP") at the nodal level, similar to how ISOs and RTOs set schedules and
11	determine pr	ices." ²
12	Q.	Within SPP, what is a node?
13	А.	SPP defines a node as a, "specific electrical bus location in the SPP [Energy
14	Management	t System] transmission model for which a settlement price is calculated." ³
15	Q.	What types of nodes are used in Staff's production cost models?
16	А.	Staff's production cost models include generating nodes and load nodes.
17	Energy gene	rated at power plants is sold at the market prices associated with each power
18	plant's gener	rating node while the energy needed to satisfy customer demand is purchased at the
19	market price	associated with the load node.
20	Q.	How do the average load node market prices used by Evergy Metro, Evergy
21	West, and St	aff compare in their respective direct testimonies?

 ¹ Case Nos. ER-2022-0129/0130, Direct Testimony of Saeid R. Dindarloo, Ph.D., P.E., page 4, lines 11-13.
 ² Case Nos. ER-2022-0129/0130, Direct Testimony of Eric T. Peterson, page 3, lines 17-20.
 ³ <u>https://www.spp.org/glossary/</u>, Retrieved 07/08/22.

1	Q. Evergy Metro and Evergy West had lower average load node market prices
2	than Staff. In Case No. ER-2022-0129, the Evergy Metro average load node market price was
3	** vs. ** vs. ** ** for Staff. In Case No. ER-2022-0130, the
4	Evergy West average load node market price was ** • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
5	for Staff.
6	Q. What were the actual average market prices for SPP in 2019, 2020, and 2021?
7	A. The average day-ahead market price for all of SPP averaged \$22.04/MWh
8	in 2019.4 That value dropped to \$17.69/MWh in 20205 and then increased to \$27/MWh
9	in 2021. ⁶
10	Q. Do the average annual market prices discussed by the SPP Market Monitor in
11	its reports match the market prices used by Staff, Evergy Metro, or Evergy West in these cases?
12	A. No, and I would not expect them to. Evergy Metro and Evergy West
13	are SPP market participants, but do not represent the entirety of the market. Differences in
14	price at the many nodes defined within SPP can be attributed to regional variations,
15	transmission constraints, and a whole host of other factors. Within this testimony, I use the
16	SPP Market Monitor's average prices for illustrative purposes to demonstrate large scale trends
17	within the SPP market.
18	Q. What were the SPP Market Monitor's explanations for the decrease in average
19	market price between 2019 and 2020 and then the significant increase between 2020 and 2021?

⁴ "State of the Market 2019," SPP Market Monitoring Unit, page 131.
⁵ "State of the Market 2020," SPP Market Monitoring Unit, page 122.
⁶ "State of the Market 2021," SPP Market Monitoring Unit, page 143. Note: this value removes the effects of the high prices experienced during February 2021 because of Winter Storm Uri. Including the abnormally high market prices for February 2021 would increase the average 2021 day-ahead market price to be \$63/MWh.

1 A. The SPP Market Monitor stated that lower natural gas prices, lower demand, and 2 increasing wind penetration were large contributors to the average market price decrease 3 between 2019 and 2020.⁷ The increase in average market price between 2020 and 2021 was 4 attributed to the February winter weather event and to an increase in the prices for natural gas and coal.⁸ 5

6

Q. Do trends in natural gas price generally correspond with the trends in market 7 prices within SPP?

8 A. The SPP Market Monitor recently stated that, "Historically, gas and Yes. 9 electricity prices have been highly correlated in the SPP market. Workably competitive 10 electricity markets are expected to see highly correlated gas costs and electricity prices in general."9 However, Staff does recognize that natural-gas fired generation is not always the 11 price-setting marginal unit within SPP. 12

13

Q. How did the average price for natural gas change between 2019 and 2021?

14 A. The average Henry Hub natural gas spot price was \$2.56/mmBTU in 2019, \$2.03/mmBTU in 2020, and \$3.89/mmBTU in 2021¹⁰. 15

Q. 16 Do Evergy Metro and Evergy West buy their natural gas at the Henry Hub natural gas spot price? 17

18

19

A. No, they do not. For the purpose of this testimony, I am using the Henry Hub natural gas spot price to show general trends within the natural gas market for North America.

⁷ "State of the Market 2020," SPP Market Monitoring Unit, page 122.

⁸ "State of the Market 2021," SPP Market Monitoring Unit, page 144.

⁹ "State of the Market, Winter 2022," SPP Market Monitoring Unit, page 28

¹⁰ The annual Henry Hub natural gas spot price for 2021 reported here includes the effects of the high prices experienced in February 2021. Removing February 2021 from the calculation would result in an average price of approximately \$3.75/mmBTU.

1	This testimo	ny contains no recommendations concerning the price paid for natural gas by	
2	Evergy Metro	o or Evergy West.	
3	Q.	What have natural gas prices looked like so far in 2022?	
4	А.	The average Henry Hub natural gas spot prices in 2022 have remained high and	
5	have even br	iefly exceeded \$9.00/mmBTU. From January 1, 2022 through June 14, 2022, the	
6	average price has been close to \$6.00/mmBTU.		
7	Q.	Based on the natural gas prices seen so far in 2022, what would you expect the	
8	effects on average SPP market prices to be?		
9	А.	If natural gas prices remain at or above what was experienced in 2021, I expect	
10	the average S	PP market price to be greater than or equal to what was calculated in 2021.	
11	Q.	Do the market prices calculated by Evergy Metro and Evergy West appear to be	
12	in line with the	he current market conditions as they relate to natural gas prices?	
13	А.	No. The market prices used by Evergy Metro and Evergy West in the production	
14	cost models t	hat they filed in their direct testimony appear to be too low given the current state	
15	of the market and the price of natural gas.		
16	Q.	What are the potential results of using low market prices in a production	
17	cost model?		
18	А.	In general, low market prices will make higher cost generation resources less	
19	likely to dispatch in a production cost model. The resources that are dispatched will receive		
20	less revenue for the energy that is sold into the market. Low market prices will also decrease		
21	the average cost of the energy that is purchased to satisfy customer load. The combination of		
22	these effects can lead to a lower total variable fuel and purchased power expense and a lower		
23	total revenue	requirement.	

1	Q.	How did Staff's variable fuel and purchased power expenses differ from those	
2	calculated by Evergy Metro and Evergy West?		
3	А.	In its direct filings, Staff's variable fuel and purchased power expenses ^{11,12} were	
4	higher than th	ose calculated by Evergy Metro and Evergy West. ¹³	
5	Q.	Does it make sense that Staff's variable fuel and purchased power expenses	
6	would be higher than those calculated by Evergy Metro and Evergy West?		
7	А.	Yes. Staff filed its direct testimony five months after Evergy Metro and	
8	Evergy West filed their direct testimony. Staff was able to update some of its assumptions with		
9	known and measurable data that Evergy Metro and Evergy West would not have had access to		
10	when they file	ed.	
11	Q.	Why could filing five months after Evergy Metro and Evergy West cause	
12	Staff's variable fuel and purchased power expense to be higher?		
13	А.	The market is currently experiencing a period of elevated prices, both for energy	
14	and for fuel.	More recent data will capture more of those higher energy and fuel prices.	
15	Incorporating that data with the higher energy and fuel prices into the assumptions used for		
	Incorporating	that data with the higher energy and fuel prices into the assumptions used for	
16		that data with the higher energy and fuel prices into the assumptions used for ction cost models will tend to increase the calculated variable fuel and purchased	
16 17		ction cost models will tend to increase the calculated variable fuel and purchased	
	Staff's produc	ction cost models will tend to increase the calculated variable fuel and purchased	
17	Staff's produc power expens Q.	ction cost models will tend to increase the calculated variable fuel and purchased es.	
17 18	Staff's produc power expens Q.	ction cost models will tend to increase the calculated variable fuel and purchased les. What are your concerns about Evergy Metro and Evergy West using low market	
17 18 19	Staff's produc power expens Q. prices in their A.	etion cost models will tend to increase the calculated variable fuel and purchased es. What are your concerns about Evergy Metro and Evergy West using low market production cost modeling?	

 ¹¹ Case Nos. ER-2022-0129/0130, Direct Testimony of Shawn E. Lange, P.E.
 ¹² Case Nos. ER-2022-0129/0130, Direct Testimony of Charles T. Poston, P.E.
 ¹³ Case Nos. ER-2022-0129/0130, Direct Testimony of Eric T. Peterson.

1	fuel and purc	chased power expenses and total revenue requirement. It is Staff's intention to	
2	calculate as a	accurately as possible a result from its production cost model in order to most	
3	properly reco	mmend its revenue requirement and to calculate a reasonable base factor for the	
4	fuel adjustment clause ("FAC").		
5	Q.	Does Staff have any plans to revisit the market price inputs used in its production	
6	cost models?		
7	А.	Yes, it does. Market prices are among the inputs that Staff will review as a part	
8	of the true-up	testimony that it intends to file in these cases.	
9	Q.	Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?	
10	А.	Yes, it does.	

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy	())	C N ED 2022 6120
Missouri Metro's Request for Authority to)	Case No. ER-2022-0129
Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric)	
Service)	
In the Matter of Evergy Missouri West, Inc.)	
d/b/a Evergy Missouri West's Request for)	Case No. ER-2022-0130
Authority to Implement a General Rate)	
Increase for Electric Service)	

AFFIDAVIT OF CHARLES T. POSTON, PE

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	SS.
COUNTY OF COLE)	

COMES NOW CHARLES T. POSTON, PE and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony of Charles T. Poston, PE; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

CHARLES T. POSTON, PE

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this ______ day of July, 2022.

D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: April 04, 2025 Commission Number: 12412070

Usullankin Nothry Public