MEEIA 2 Collaborative Initial Results

September 7, 2016





Agenda

- Project Activities
- Summary of Proposals
- Individual Ideas Research Results
- Matrix Criteria and Supporting Documentation
- Next Steps





Project Activities

- July 15th Kick-off, Matrix Review, Proposals
 - Joint Ameren Missouri/KCP&L
- Remainder of July Refine/clarify proposals
- August Research proposals
- September 7th Share research findings
- September 21st Stakeholder feedback on research findings
- Week of October 3rd Submit utility reports to Commission





Organization of Collaborative Proposals

- Category #1 Significant Overlap with Approved Programs
 - C&I Concierge
 - Advanced Lighting Controls
 - Education Program for Teachers
 - Multifamily One Stop Shop
- Category #2 Marketing/Delivery Opportunities for Approved Programs
 - Up-Stream Residential Products
 - C&I Mid-Stream Lighting

Category #3 – New Programs/Budget Requiring Commission Approval

- Residential Bundling
- Low Income Single Family
- Bulb Buyback
- LED Street Lighting
- Water Heater Direct Load Control
- Circuit Rider
- Financing
- Exterior Lighting
- Competitions (Gamification)



Summary of Collaborative Proposals

	Incremental for 2017/2018			
	Energy (MWh)	Demand (MW)	Budget (MM\$)	Cost Effective?
Significant Overlap with Approved Prog	ams			
C&I Concierge	18,692	2.2	\$8.0	×
Advanced Lighting Controls	0	0	\$0.0	V
Education Program for Teachers	0	0	\$0.0	V
Multifamily One-Stop-Shop	8,380	1.9	\$7.0	×
Marketing/Delivery Opportunities for A	pproved Prog	rams		
Up-Stream Residential Products	25,382	8.5	\$10.9	×
C&I Mid-Stream Lighting	9,870	1.9	\$4.3	V
New Programs/Budget Requiring Comm	ission Approv	val		
Residential Bundling	292	0.1	\$0.9	×
Low Income Single Family	6,035	1.4	\$4.7	V
Bulb Buyback	4,463	0.3	\$0.5	V
LED Street Lighting	34,442	0	\$14.0	V
Water Heater Direct Load Control	0	4	\$4.5	×
Circuit Rider	4,394	1.7	\$0.3	×
Financing	1,721	1.1	\$1.2	V
Exterior Lighting	43,969	0.1	\$6.5	V
Competitions (Gamification)	0.2	0.1	\$0.1	×
Total	157,640	23.3	\$62.9	





Category #1 – Significant Overlap with Approved Programs

- C&I Concierge
- Advanced Lighting Controls
- Education Program for Teachers
- Multifamily One-Stop-Shop





C&I Concierge

Incremental Plan Increases (2017 & 2018)			
	Energy (MWh)Demand (MW)Budget (\$MM)		
Incr. Change	18,692	2.2	\$8.0
Pct. Change	3.3%	1.3%	5.1%

Cost Effectiveness
TRC – 0.71
UCT – 1.26
PCT – 1.45
RIM – 0.54

- Customer contacts are managed by Key Account Managers and a dedicated team of energy efficiency program representatives
- 2. Program continuity would support longer-term agreements typically associated with customer savings plans
- Estimated that existing programs are accomplishing 80% of C&I Concierge savings; the remaining 20% would have higher costs per kWh





Incremental Plan Increases (2017 & 2018)			
	Energy (MWh)Demand (MW)Budget (\$MM)		
Incr. Change	0	0	\$0
Pct. Change	0%	0%	0%

Cost Effectiveness
TRC – 1.63
UCT – 3.32
PCT – 3.24
RIM – 0.59

- 1. Advanced Lighting Controls are already eligible for incentives in the Custom program
- 2. Ameren Missouri has incentivized Advanced Lighting Controls projects
- 3. The Advanced Lighting Controls technology is advancing and prices dropping rapidly







Incremental Plan Increases (2017 & 2018)			
	Energy (MWh)Demand (MW)Budget (\$MM)		
Incr. Change	0	0	\$0
Pct. Change	0%	0%	0%

Cost Effectiveness
TRC – N/A
UCT – N/A
PCT – N/A
RIM – N/A

- 1. Part of existing School Kit Program Educating 16,000 Students/yr
- 2. No savings directly attributed to education portion of the program although spillover may be quantified in evaluation report





Incremental Plan Increases (2017 & 2018)				
	Energy (MWh)Demand (MW)Budget (\$MM)			
Incr. Change	8,380	1.9	\$7.0	
Pct. Change	2.9%	2.3%	4.4%	

Cost Effectiveness
TRC – 0.71
UCT – 0.71
PCT – N/A
RIM – 0.26

- 1. Significant overlap with Multifamily Kits and Small Business Direct Install ("SBDI")
- 2. Above results do not reflect reductions to the Kits and SBDI program
- 3. Similar structure as Multifamily Low Income program, but likely to have higher free ridership





Category #2 – Marketing/Delivery Opportunities for Approved Programs

- Up-Stream Residential Products
- C&I Mid-Stream Lighting





Incremental Plan Increases (2017 & 2018)			
	Energy (MWh)Demand (MW)Budget (\$MM)		
Incr. Change	25,382	8.5	\$10.9
Pct. Change	4.5%	5.1%	6.9%

Cost Effectiveness
TRC – 0.28
UCT – 0.85
PCT – 1.01
RIM – 0.28

- 1. EPA launching Retail Products Platform Pilot Increasing number of utilities participating, 5 to 7 measures included
- 2. Incentive structure for ENERGY STAR[®] Retail Products Platform introduces challenges as some measures may not be cost effective but must be included
- 3. Overlap with two measures in the Efficient Products program







C&I Mid-Stream Lighting

Incremental Plan Increases (2017 & 2018)			
	Energy (MWh)Demand (MW)Budget (\$MM)		
Incr. Change	9,870	1.9	\$4.3
Pct. Change	3.5%	2.2%	2.7%

Cost Effectiveness
TRC – 1.48
UCT – 1.57
PCT – 8.14
RIM – 0.57

- 1. Target customers are expected to be served by Small Business Direct Install program
- 2. Ameren Illinois is currently running a mid-stream program and has found challenges with distributors requiring significant training and needing changes to the distributor's accounting system to handle incentives





Category #3 – New Programs/Budget Requiring Commission Approval

- Residential Bundling
- Low Income Single Family
- Bulb Buyback
- LED Street Lighting
- Water Heater Direct Load Control
- Circuit Rider
- Financing
- Exterior Lighting
- Competitions (Gamification)



Incremental Plan Increases (2017 & 2018)			
	Energy (MWh)	Demand (MW)	Budget (\$MM)
Incr. Change	292	0.1	\$0.9
Pct. Change	0.1%	0.1%	0.5%

Cost Effectiveness
TRC – 0.36
UCT – 0.42
PCT – 1.85
RIM – 0.31

- 1. Requires multiple visits to customers' home, increasing program costs
- 2. Similar measures already offered in existing energy efficiency programs
- 3. Lighting measures account for significant savings in successful programs





Low Income Single Family

Incremental Plan Increases (2017 & 2018)			
	Energy (MWh)	Demand (MW)	Budget (\$MM)
Incr. Change	6,035	1.4	\$4.7
Pct. Change	1.1%	0.8%	3.0%

Cost Effectiveness
TRC – 1.02
UCT –1.02
PCT – 4.40
RIM – 0.40

- 1. Opportunity to pair with Weatherization funds
- 2. Opportunity for neighborhood blitz implementation
- 3. Lighting measures account for majority of savings in successful programs





Bulb Buyback

Incremental Plan Increases (2017 & 2018)			
	Energy (MWh)	Demand (MW)	Budget (\$MM)
Incr. Change	4,463	0.7	\$0.5
Pct. Change	0.8%	0.4%	0.3%

 $\frac{\text{Cost Effectiveness}}{\text{TRC} - 4.38}$ UCT - 4.38PCT - N/ARIM - 0.48

- 1. Risk of poor evaluation results associated with incandescent bulbs
- 2. Potential to strengthen Community relations
- 3. Modeled on the Connecticut program





LED Street Lighting

Incremental Plan Increases (2017 & 2018)			
	Energy (MWh)	Demand (MW)	Budget (\$MM)
Incr. Change	34,442	0	\$14.0
Pct. Change	6%	0%	8.9%

Cost Effectiveness
TRC – 1.11
UCT – 1.46
PCT – N/A
RIM – N/A

- 1. Ameren Missouri is currently converting 66% of Company-Owned street lights outside of MEEIA
- 2. 82% of Customer-Owned street lighting energy is associated with a single customer
- 3. Decorative LED street lights are not yet cost effective





Incremental Plan Increases (2017 & 2018)			
	Energy (MWh)	Demand (MW)	Budget (\$MM)
Incr. Change	0	4	\$4.5
Pct. Change	0%	2.4%	2.8%

Cost Effectiveness
TRC – 0.74
UCT – 0.74
PCT – 0.74
RIM – N/A

- 1. Significant range of kW savings per water heater
- 2. Mature technology, opportunity to leverage smart meters
- 3. Movement to heat pump water heaters would significantly reduce demand savings





Circuit Rider

Incremental Plan Increases (2017 & 2018)			
	Energy (MWh)	Demand (MW)	Budget (\$MM)
Incr. Change	4,394	1.7	\$0.2
Pct. Change	0.8%	1.0%	0.1%

Cost Effectiveness
TRC – 0.81
UCT – 11.59
PCT – 1.0
RIM – 0.81

- 1. Relatively New Approach, evaluations are limited, Primarily in Uniform Code States
- 2. Modeled after Arizona Public Service delivery (Arizona is Home Rule State like Missouri)
- 3. Potential for significant incremental costs to customers





Financing

Incremental Plan Increases (2017 & 2018)					
	Energy (MWh)	Demand (MW)	Budget (\$MM)		
Incr. Change	1,721	1.1	\$1.2		
Pct. Change	0.3%	0.7%	0.8%		

<u>Cost Effectiveness</u> TRC – 2.05 UCT – 2.73 PCT – 5.12 RIM – 0.76

- 1. Evaluations do not typically attribute savings directly to Financing
- 2. Program continuity would support longer-term agreements typically associated with multi-year financing
- 3. Can be resource intensive to administer
- 4. Modeled after Ameren Illinois On-Bill Financing Program







Exterior Lighting

Incremental Plan Increases (2017 & 2018)					
	Energy (MWh)	Demand (MW)	Budget (\$MM)		
Incr. Change	43,969	0.1	\$6.5		
Pct. Change	7.7%	0.1%	3.8%		

Cost Effectiveness				
TRC – 1.32				
UCT – 2.52				
PCT – 3.49				
RIM – 0.47				

- 1. Modeling based on Lockheed Martin inputs
- 2. Demand savings are limited compared to other measures







Incremental Plan Increases (2017 & 2018)			Cost Effectiveness	
	Energy (MWh)	Demand (MW)	Budget (\$MM)	TRC – 0.31 UCT – 0.31 PCT – N/A RIM – 0.23
Incr. Change	0.2	0.09	\$0.11	
Pct. Change	0.03%	0.05%	0.07%	

- 1. Existing programs in body of research limited to Residential participants
- 2. Modeled as a Behavior Modification Program with Limited Persistence (2 yrs)
- 3. Potential to offer more options with smart meters





Project Activities

- July 15th Kick-off, Matrix Review, Proposals
 - Joint Ameren Missouri/KCP&L
- Remainder of July Refine/clarify proposals
- August Research proposals
- September 7th Share research findings
- September 21st Stakeholder feedback on research findings
- Week of October 3rd Submit utility reports to Commission







FOCUSED ENERGY. For life.

Schedule 5