



AT&T Missouri One AT&T Center Room 3518 St. Louis, Missouri 63101

T: 314.235.2508 F: 314.247.0014 leo.bub@att.com

January 18, 2007

The Honorable Colleen M. Dale Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street, Suite 100 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: Case No. TO-2007-0053

Dear Judge Dale:

Attached for filing with the Missouri Public Service Commission in the above-referenced case is the Highly Confidential ("HC") version and the redacted ("NP") version of Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a AT&T Missouri's Rebuttal Testimony of Craig A. Unruh.

AT&T Missouri classified Schedules 2(HC), 3(HC) 4(HC) and 5(HC) from Mr. Unruh's Testimony because they contain private business information that cannot be found in any format in any public document and their public disclosure would harm AT&T Missouri and other companies' respective business interests. For example, these exhibits identify the specific CLECs using AT&T Missouri's facilities under a commercial agreement to provide business or residential services (or both) in each of the requested exchanges. They also contain information from AT&T Missouri business records reflecting other carriers' means of providing service. As such, the information qualifies for Highly Confidential treatment as it constitutes "information relating directly to specific customers," here AT&T Missouri wholesale customers.

In addition, these Schedules qualify for Highly Confidential treatment on the basis that they contain "market-specific information relating to services offered in competition with others." On the wholesale side, there are now carriers providing wholesale services (such as switching or other facilities) to other carriers for use in the provision of retail services. Schedules 2(HC), 3(HC) 4(HC) and 5(HC)'s identification of AT&T Missouri's specific wholesale customers in each exchange for residence and/or business services would be valuable to other wholesale service providers in the marketing of their wholesale services. On the retail side, Schedules 2(HC), 3(HC) 4(HC) and 5(HC) may give other retail telecommunications carriers insight into the exchanges being targeted by AT&T

² Id.



¹ <u>See</u>, Protective Order, issued August 14, 2006, Attachment A at p. 1.

The Honorable Colleen M. Dale January 18, 2007 Page 2

Missouri's wholesale customers that would assist these other carriers in the marketing of their own retail services.

Thank you for bringing this matter to the attention of the Commission.

Very truly yours,

Leo I Bub

Attachments

cc: Attorneys of Record