BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company)	
d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File)	
Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric)	Case No. ER-2007-0002
Service Provided to Customers in the)	
Company's Missouri Service Area.)	
In the Matter of Union Electric Company)	
d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File)	
Tariffs Increasing Rates for Natural Gas)	Case No. GR-2007-0003
Service Provided to Customers in the)	
Company's Missouri Service Area.)	

AMERENUE'S RESPONSE TO PUBLIC COUNSEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NOTICE AND PUBLIC HEARINGS

COMES NOW Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE (AmerenUE or Company),

pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.080(15), and hereby files this Response to Public Counsel's

Recommendations for Notice and Public Hearings. For its Response, AmerenUE states as

follows:

Local Public Hearings

1. Public Counsel has recommended to the Commission that it should conduct 12 separate Local Public Hearings. While the Company has absolutely no objection to holding a sufficient number of public hearings to give ratepayers a fair opportunity to provide the Commission information about AmerenUE's requested rate increase, the recommended number of Local Public Hearings in these cases is substantial.

2. While there is no particular "rule of thumb" one can derive from other rate cases before the Commission, it is noteworthy that far fewer Local Public Hearings were more than adequate in several recent large company rate cases, including in Kansas City Power & Light Company's current rate case (only two hearings) Missouri Gas Energy's 2004 rate case (four hearings), and Aquila's 2005 combined electric and steam rate cases for both its MPS and SJLP divisions (two hearings).

3. AmerenUE has a large service territory, and the matters before the Commission involve both electric and gas rates. Consequently, more Local Public Hearings may be warranted. However, 12 separate hearings may be unwarranted.

4. One of the purposes of holding Local Public Hearings is to ensure that the general public is adequately represented before the Commission in rate cases. In AmerenUE's electric rate case alone, there exist 13 separate intervenors, plus Staff and Public Counsel. Of those 15 parties, at least four represent the interests of the general ratepaying public or substantial subgroups of the general ratepaying public. There is little doubt that the public will be heard, and fully represented, in these rate cases, without the necessity of holding 12 Local Public Hearings.

5. Twelve separate Local Public Hearings will also create a substantial and perhaps unwarranted burden on the Commission, its Staff and other parties, particularly given the parties' and the Commission's schedule over the next several months. As recommended by the parties in the Jointly Proposed Procedural Schedules filed in each of these cases, Local Public Hearings should be held after direct testimony is filed so that the key positions of the parties are known at the time of the Local Public Hearings. This means that Local Public Hearings almost certainly need to be held in January during one of the busiest periods of the rate cases. During that period, all parties will be meeting for technical and settlement conferences designed to correct data errors and to narrow and perhaps resolve issues. Rebuttal testimony must be prepared, and substantial discovery will be underway. Based upon the foregoing, AmerenUE suggests the Commission consider whether indeed 12 Local Public Hearings are warranted.

2

Customer Notice

7. AmerenUE has no objection to the customer notice Public Counsel recommends in its August 25 Recommendation. However, there exists an important omission in that notice; that is, AmerenUE's request to implement a fuel adjustment clause (FAC) in its electric rate case. AmerenUE believes that customers should be notified of its request for an FAC. In fact, if the proposed FAC rules currently being considered in Docket No. EX-2006-0472 were in effect, notification to customers that an FAC is being requested would be required in the customer notice given in all rate cases (*see* proposed rule 4 CSR 240-20.090(2)(D)).

8. Consequently, AmerenUE requests that the notice recommended by Public

Counsel be approved, with the following addition to be inserted as the second full paragraph of

the notice (after the paragraph ending "... \$6.00 per month for natural gas"):

AmerenUE's <u>electric</u> rate filing includes a request to implement a fuel adjustment clause. A fuel adjustment clause, if approved by the Commission, would allow increases or decreases in fuel and purchased power costs occurring after base electric rates are set by the pending <u>electric</u> rate case to be passed through to customers as a separate line on customer's bills. Increases in fuel and purchased power costs above base electric rates would be applied to customer bills via a separate and additional charge and decreases would be applied to customer bills via a credit.

WHEREFORE, AmerenUE respectfully suggests that the Commission consider

whether 12 separate Local Public Hearings are warranted in these combined cases, and

prays that the Commission approve the customer notice recommended by Public Counsel,

with the additional paragraph set forth in numbered paragraph 8 of this Response.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 29, 2006

Steven R. Sullivan, #33102

Sr. Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary **Thomas M. Byrne, # 33340** Managing Assoc. General Counsel Ameren Services Company P.O. Box 66149 St. Louis, MO 63166-6149 (314) 554-2098 (314) 554-2098 (314) 554-2514 (phone) (314) 554-4014 (fax) <u>ssullivan@ameren.com</u> tbyrne@ameren.com

SMITH LEWIS, LLP

/s/James B. Lowery James B. Lowery, #40503 Suite 200, City Centre Building 111 South Ninth Street P.O. Box 918 Columbia, MO 65205-0918 Phone (573) 443-3141 Facsimile (573) 442-6686 lowery@smithlewis.com

Attorneys for Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was served via e-mail, to the following parties on the 30th day of August, 2006.

Office of the General Counsel Missouri Public Service Commission Governor Office Building 200 Madison Street, Suite 100 Jefferson City, MO 65101 gencounsel@psc.mo.gov

Office of the Public Counsel Governor Office Building 200 Madison Street, Suite 650 Jefferson City, MO 65101 opcservice@ded.mo.gov

Joseph P. Bindbeutel Todd Iveson Missouri Department of Natural Resources 8th Floor, Broadway Building P.O. Box 899 Jefferson City, MO 65102 joe.bindbeutel@ago.mo.gov todd.iveson@ago.mo.gov

Lisa C. Langeneckert Missouri Energy Group 911 Washington Ave., 7th Floor St. Louis, MO 63101 <u>llangeneckert@stolarlaw.com</u>

Stuart Conrad Noranda Aluminum, Inc. 3100 Broadway, Suite 1209 Kansas City, MO 64111 <u>stucon@fcplaw.com</u>

Douglas Micheel State of Missouri P.O. Box 899 Jefferson City, MO 65102 douglas.micheel@ago.mo.gov Paul A. Boudreau Russell Mitten Aquila Networks 312 East Capitol Ave. P.O. Box 456 Jefferson City, MO 65102 <u>PaulB@brydonlaw.com</u> Rmitten@brydonlaw.com

John B. Coffman Consumers Council of Missouri AARP 871 Tuxedo Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63119 john@johncoffman.net

Michael C. Pendergast Laclede Gas Company 720 Olive Street, Suite 1520 St. Louis, MO 63101 mpendergast@lacledegas.com

Gaylin Rich Carver Missouri Association for Social Welfare 3225-A Emerald Lane P.O. Box 6670 Jefferson City, MO 65102-6670 carver@gptlaw.net

Diana M. Vuylsteke Missouri Industrial Consumers 211 N. Broadway, Suite 3600 St. Louis, MO 65102 <u>dmvuylsteke@bryancave.com</u>

H. Lyle Champagne MOKAN, CCAC 906 Olive, Suite 1110 St. Louis, MO 63101 lyell@champagneLaw.com Koriambanya S. Carew 2400 Pershing Road, Suite 500 Crown Center Kansas City, MO 64108 carew@bscr-law.com

Rick D. Chamberlain 6 NE 63rd Street, Ste. 400 Oklahoma City, OK 73105 <u>Rdc law@swbell.net</u>

> /s/James B. Lowery James B. Lowery