



LAW OFFICES

BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 312 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE P.O. BOX 456 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-0456 TELEPHONE (573) 635-7166 FACSIMILE (573) 635-3847 E-MAIL: DEANBSE@SOCKET.NET

DEAN L. COOPER MARK G. ANDERSON TIMOTHY T. STEWART GREGORY C. MITCHELL RACHEL M. CRAIG BRIAN T. MCCARTNEY DALE T. SMITH

OF COUNSEL RICHARD T. CIOTTONE

March 7, 2000



Missouri Public Service Commission

GE-2000-543

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts Executive Secretary Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

RE: Applicant for Permanent Waiver Upon Compliance With Specified Conditions

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced proceeding please find an original and fourteen copies of an Application for Waiver of a Commission rule relating to natural gas safety.

If you have any questions about this, please give me a call.

Sincerely yours,

Gary W. Duffy

Enclosures cc w/encl:

Office of Public Counsel Office of the General Counsel Lisa Ulrich, UtiliCorp United Inc.

DAVID V.G. BRYDON JAMES C. SWEARENGEN WILLIAM R. ENGLAND, III JOHNNY K. RICHARDSON GARY W. DUFFY PAUL A. BOUDREAU SONDRA B. MORGAN CHARLES E. SMARR

FILED CTHE PUBLIC SERVICE COMME OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI Service Commission BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION .

)

)

)

In the matter of the Application of various members of the Missouri Association of Natural Gas Operators for a Permanent Waiver from Certain Provisions of 4 CSR 240-40.030

Case No. 60-2000- 543 GE-

APPLICATION FOR PERMANENT WAIVER **UPON COMPLIANCE WITH SPECIFIED CONDITIONS**

COME NOW the below-listed members of the Missouri Association of Natural Gas

Operators ("MANGO" or "the Applicants") in conjunction with the Plastic Joining Process

Committee of MANGO, pursuant to 4 CSR 240-40.030(16) and 49 USC §60118(d), and for their

Application for a Permanent Waiver Upon Compliance With Specified Conditions from certain

1

provisions of 4 CSR 240-40.030, respectfully states as follows.

1. The Applicants in this proceeding are as follows:

City of Bethany, Missouri City of Hamilton, Missouri City of Fulton, Missouri Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE City of Granby, Missouri City of Stanberry, Missouri City of Kennett, Missouri Board of Public Works UtiliCorp United Inc., d/b/a Missouri Public Service UtiliCorp Pipeline Systems Missouri Pipeline Company Missouri Gas Company **Omega** Pipeline Company St. Joseph Light & Power Company City of Macon, Missouri Missouri Gas Energy, a division of Southern Union Company United Cities Gas Company Laclede Gas Company City of Waynesville, Missouri City of Clarence, Missouri

City of Oronogo, Missouri City of Gallatin, Missouri Southern Missouri Gas Company, L.P. City of Shelbina, Missouri Greeley Gas Company City Utilities of Springfield, Missouri City of Perryville, Missouri Fidelity Natural Gas Bernie, Missouri Municipal Gas System City of Paris, Missouri City of Albany, Missouri Utility Consultants, Inc. (operator) on behalf of Green City, Missouri; City of Liberal, Missouri; City of Mercer, Missouri; City of Milan, Missouri; City of Princeton, Missouri; City of Unionville, Missouri; City of Berger, Missouri; City of Hermann, Missouri; City of New Haven, Missouri, and City of Middletown, Missouri City of St. James, Missouri

Each of the Applicants operates a natural gas distribution system in Missouri. Those Applicants who are corporations or other business entities request that they not be required to produce a certified copy of their Articles of Incorporation or certificates of authority to do business in Missouri, or registrations of fictitious names, as otherwise required by 4 CSR 240-2.060(11) for this application, because of the voluminous nature of the materials that would be required for this application, and because each of them is already well-known to the Commission, having obtained certificates of public convenience and necessity and having participated in numerous cases before the Commission. The other Applicants are political subdivisions of the State of Missouri. All of the Applicants, in some fashion, are already subject to the regulation of the Commission.

2. Communications in regard to this application should be addressed to the following:

Gary W. Duffy, Attorney at Law Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C. 312 East Capitol Avenue P.O. Box 456

Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456

3. The Applicants request that the Commission grant a permanent waiver, so long as certain specified alternative conditions are met, from a portion of 4 CSR 240-40.030 of the Commission's gas safety rules, which adopted the minimum Federal Safety Standards as set out in the *Code of Federal Regulations*, 49 CFR Part 192.

4. The granting of such a waiver is authorized under 4 CSR 240-40.030(16) and 49 USC §60118(d) and will not be inconsistent with gas pipeline safety. In particular, the Applicants seek a waiver applicable to the provisions of 4 CSR 240-40.030(6)(H)3., which corresponds to 49 CFR 192.285(c). This provides that a person must be re-qualified under an applicable plastic joining procedure if, during any twelve (12)-month period, that person does not make any joints under that procedure; or if the person does make such joints, there are either three (3) joints or three percent (3%) of the joints made, whichever is greater, under that procedure that are found unacceptable by testing under subsection (10)(G) of the same rule (49 CFR 192.513).

5. The existing text of the portion of the rule reads as follows:

3. A person must be re-qualified under an applicable procedure if during any twelve (12)-month period that person --

A. Does not make any joints under that procedure; or

B. Has three (3) joints or three percent (3%) of the joints made, whichever is greater, under that procedure that are found unacceptable by testing under subsection (10)(G) (192.513).

6. The permanent waiver requested here would allow an alternative means of ensuring qualification for people making such joints. The alternative would be: "A person must be re-qualified under applicable procedures at least once each calendar year, but at intervals not

exceeding 15 months. Following the initial qualification for electrofusion and mechanical joining procedures, for re-qualification a person must participate in a review on the proper joining procedures during such time period."

7. The first aspect of the proposed alternative involves allowing "re-qualification," if it is elected to be performed annually, to occur within each calendar year, *but at intervals not exceeding 15 months.* The existing provision establishes a strict 12-month "clock" for tracking a person performing plastic joints. This 12-month time period is used in determining whether a person has made a particular joint, or has had the number or percentage of failures specified by the rule occur during this time period. Various Applicants have found that verifying this information is extremely difficult, especially for large natural gas utility operators with numerous (literally several hundred) persons who are qualified to perform plastic joints. Because of the practical difficulties involved with attempting to track the joints made by each person on an individual basis, almost all operators have opted to simply re-qualify their personnel under 3.A. within the 12 month requirement.

8. The current 12-month re-qualification language, however, really requires the Applicants to establish training schedules on an 11-month basis to account for scheduling conflicts. For efficiency reasons, operators generally attempt to schedule the re-qualification during periods of the year when there is inclement weather so that they can maximize total annual construction days. The ability to efficiently schedule what can be literally hundreds of employees in the case of some operators, so as to take advantage of these weather-related lulls in construction activity, has been severely hampered by having to re-qualify every employee on an 11-month basis. The Applicants always strive to achieve the 12-month re-qualification period. There is a more reasonable way, however, to achieve the same goal of annual re-qualification

based upon an approach that is already used elsewhere in the gas safety rules. This approach generally requires that an action be taken each year or within a set period. The Applicants propose to utilize that common phrasing in this proposal: "A person must be re-qualified ... at least once each calendar year, but at intervals not exceeding 15 months." This alternative approach appropriately balances the safety requirement of re-qualification once each calendar year with improvement in efficiency by giving the operator some flexibility in scheduling the employee for this re-qualification. This approach would not jeopardize safety as the employees will still be required to re-qualify on an annual (calendar year) basis, but it will allow some flexibility so that the scheduling of these activities can occur when weather or other conditions do not permit normal working activities.

9. The second aspect of the alternative proposed by the Applicants pertains to the requalification of persons making mechanical and/or electrofusion joints. The Applicants believe that each person, during his or her initial qualification regarding a plastic joint, should make a complete assembly of each type of mechanical and electrofusion joint. All applicable inspections and specimen joint testing will be required during these initial qualifications, as it always has been. Thereafter, each qualified person will be required to participate in an annual joining process review for each assembly procedure. The review will cover the appropriate knowledge needed for each specimen joint assembly and procedure and involve all of the steps leading up to the actual production of a joint.

10. Qualifying a person on mechanical plastic joining generally requires the person to assemble the mechanical joint to where it has the same appearance as an identical mechanical joint pictured in the manufacturer's publication. It is imperative that each qualified person has the proper knowledge of the mechanical joint assemblies, and the proposed alternative will not

compromise that. While initial qualifications and annual re-qualifications adequately convey this, most mechanical joints are packaged with the instructions readily available to the employee making the installation, and each set of plastic joining procedures is kept in record format or within an operator's operations and maintenance manual.

.

يو در مرکز درو

11. The Applicants believe that safety will be enhanced, as described below, and not compromised, by granting this waiver with this alternative means of re-qualification. Efficiency and cost benefits will accrue as a result of minimizing the number of non-reusable plastic mechanical joints which are destroyed in the training process and the training time saved. These joints cost approximately \$45 each, and with several hundred being used each year, can present a substantial cost for large operators. The Applicants believe the cost and time savings can be better directed to increase employees' training experience in other facets of pipeline safety. The Applicants believe this parallels pipeline operators' efforts to comply with U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) promulgation of operator qualification requirements that recently became effective.

12. Cost and time savings will also be realized, without sacrificing safety, by granting the waiver for electrofusion re-qualification. Electrofusion is a technological enhancement to the pipeline industry because of its facilitation of repairs. A computer processor determines the heat fusion of the plastic pipe during this joining process. All steps leading up to the point of "pushing the button" on a control box during electrofusion are important and should be reviewed during re-qualification. An annual review will also include the proper methods of surface preparation, alignment of fitting and pipe, connections of electrical leads, and all required steps. Completing the fusion process by activating the control box serves only to test the operation of the system and does not give any indication of the employee's ability that could not be discerned

from a review process.

13. If the electrofusion system has been proven to perform in an acceptable manner, then the mechanics of the assembly process are similar to mechanical joining and the testing of the actual fusion is not necessary. Safety would not be compromised, as the employee would still be required to review his or her knowledge of the appropriate steps to ensure an acceptable plastic joint.

14. Technological developments in plastic joining have complemented pipeline operators' goals to minimize excavation costs and facilitate the restoration of the customer's service during repairs. However, the technologically improved fittings have increased in cost. Expending costly plastic fittings during re-qualification of employees creates an unnecessary cost that does not provide any additional safety benefits. The application of DOT pipeline safety regulation 49 CFR 192.805 will require all operators in the pipeline industry to incur additional training costs. The Applicants believe that the unnecessary costs associated with electrofusion and mechanical plastic joining re-qualifications could be used more effectively in meeting the changes in the pipeline safety requirements. While pipeline operators continually adapt to the changes of the utility industry, the Applicants believe that safety regulations should also adapt to complement pipeline industry developments.

15. Granting this permanent wavier creates cost-effectiveness and promotes efficient training which provides increased safety and economical service to customers. The Applicants strive to provide more than adequate training to their employees to improve service to their customers. The safety policies and procedures of the Applicants will ensure that the waiver will not compromise the safety of the natural gas pipeline system.

WHEREFORE, the Applicants request that the Commission issue an Order granting each

Applicant a permanent waiver from the provisions of 49 CFR 192.285(c), as adopted by the

Commission in 4 CFR 240.030(6)(H)3. A. and B., to re-qualify their respective employees for

plastic joining if, instead, they meet the following criteria:

"A person must be re-qualified under applicable procedures at least once each calendar year, but at intervals not exceeding 15 months. Following the initial qualification for electrofusion and mechanical joining procedures, for requalification a person must participate in a review on the proper joining procedures during such time period."

Respectfully submitted,

Gary W. Duffy MoBE(#24905) Brydon, Swearengen & England P.C. 312 East Capitol Avenue P.O. Box 456 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456 Telephone: 573 635-7166 Facsimile: 573 635-3847 Attorney for Applicants

VERIFICATION

STATE OF MISSOURI	
COUNTY OF COLE	

The undersigned, being first duly sworn, states that he has read the foregoing Application for Waiver and that the facts contained therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief, and the undersigned is authorized to act as legal counsel for the Applicants.

Subscribed and PENLE to define this 7th day of March, 2000. A Notary Public of Miller County, Missouri My Commission Expires 12/28/2001 Certificate of Service

)SS.

Alben of

The undersigned certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was hand-delivered this 7th day of March, 2000 to counsel for the Office of the Public Counsel and counsel for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.

MANGOwaivr3/gdmydocs/wp8/3/6/2000