

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

1. DID THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ABUSE ITS DISCRETION IN DENYING APPELLANT INTEVENTION.
2. DOES DUE PROCESS REQUIRE INTERVENTION AFTER A FINDING APPELLANT'S INTERSTS ARE DIFFERENT THAN THE GENERAL PUBLIC.
3. WAS APPELLANT DENIED DUE PROCESS FOR FAILURE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION TO MAKE A DETERMINATION AND DEFINE "GENERAL PUBLIC INTEREST".
4. DID THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ABUSE ITS DISCRETION FOR FAILING TO CONSIDER THE TERMS OF THE ASSET PURCHASE AGREEMENT IN THE INSTANT CASE.
5. DID ALLOWING APPELLANT TO FILE AN AMICIS CURIAE BRIEF AFFORD DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION OF APPELLANT'S INTERESTS WHICH WERE DETERMINED TO BE DIFFERENT THAN THOSE OF THE GENERAL PUBLIC.