MP MP

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of an Investigation into Various)
Issues Related to the Missouri Universal Service	ce) Case No. TO-98-329
Fund.)
)

ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO COMPEL

On November 16, 1998, GTE Midwest Incorporated filed a motion to compel AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc. to respond to certain data requests (DRs). Additional information is required to allow the Commission to properly analyze that motion. For each DR to which GTE seeks to compel a response, GTE shall provide, on no more than two pages, the following information:

- A. The text of the DR, or if lengthy, a simple explanation of the information sought.
- B. Why is the information sought relevant or calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant information?
- C. Did AT&T object? If so:
 - 1. What is the basis of the objection?
 - 2. Why is the objection invalid?
- D. Did AT&T provide any response (other than an objection)? If so:
 - 1. Provide the response, or if lengthy, a simple explanation of the information provided.
 - 2. Why is the response insufficient?
- E. Did GTE propound any follow-up DR(s)? If so:
 - 1. Provide the text of the follow-up, or if lengthy, a simple explanation. If the follow-up DR is not one to which GTE sought to compel a response:
 - a. Did AT&T object? If so:
 - 1) What is the basis of the objection?
 - 2) Why is the objection invalid?
 - b. Did AT&T answer the follow-up DR? If so:

- 1) Provide the response, or if lengthy, a simple explanation.
 - 2) Why is the response insufficient?
- F. Provide the following dates (if applicable): DR submitted; objection made; answer provided; last communication from GTE to AT&T attempting to resolve the issue, and the nature of that communication (i.e., letter, e-mail, phone conversation); follow-up DR(s) submitted; objection made to follow-up DR(s); answer provided to follow-up DR(s).
- G. If AT&T has provided responsive information in another jurisdiction, list:
 - 1. The name of the regulatory body;
 - 2. The case or docket number;
 - 3. The designation of the request submitted (e.g., DR number, interrogatory number);
 - 4. The date (or approximate date) the responsive information was provided;
 - 5. Whether the responsive information was designated non-public; and
 - 6. Whether GTE has a copy (or has access to a copy) of the responsive information.
- H. Whether the information sought could be obtained through a visit to PNR's facilities.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- 1. That GTE Midwest Incorporated shall file the information described herein no later than December 3, 1998.
- 2. That any responses to the December 3, 1998, filing by GTE Midwest Incorporated shall be filed no later than December 7, 1998.

3. That this order shall become effective on December 3, 1998.

BY THE COMMISSION

Ask Honey Roberts

Dale Hardy Roberts

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

(SEAL)

Mills, Deputy Chief Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.120(1) (November 30, 1995) and Section 386.240, RSMo 1994.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 1st day of December, 1998.