John R. Ashcroft

Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division

RULE TRANSMITTAL

Administrative Rules Stamp

RECEIVED

APR 1 2 2018

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Rule Number 4 CSR 240-28.080



Use a "SEPARATE" rule transmittal sheet for EACH individual rulemaking.
Name of person to call with questions about this rule:
Content_Morris Woodruff
Email address Morris.woodruff@psc.mo.gov
Data Entry Chris Koenigsfeld Phone 573-751-4256 FAX 573-526-6010
Email address Christine.koenigsfeld@psc.mo.gov
Interagency mailing address Public Service Commission, 9th Fl., Gov. Ofc. Bldg., JC, MO
TYPE OF RULEMAKING ACTION TO BETAKEN
□Emergency rulemaking, include effective date
⊠Proposed Rulemaking
□Withdrawal □Rule Action Notice □In Addition □Rule Under Consideration
□ Request for Non-Substantive Change
☐ Statement of Actual Cost Order of Rulemaking
Effective Date for the Order
☐Statutory 30 days OR Specific date
Does the Order of Rulemaking contain changes to the rule text? ☐NO
☐YES—LIST THE SECTIONS WITH CHANGES, including any deleted rule text:

Small Business Regulatory
Fairness Board (DED) Stamp

SMALL BUSINESS
REGULATORY FAIRNESS BOARD

APR 1 2 2018

RECEIVED





Commissioners

DANIEL Y. HALL Chairman

WILLIAM P. KENNEY

SCOTT T. RUPP

MAIDA J. COLEMAN

RYAN A. SILVEY

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://psc.mo.gov SHELLEY BRUEGGEMANN General Counsel

MORRIS WOODRUFF Secretary

LOYD WILSON
Director of Administration

NATELLE DIETRICH Staff Director

April 12, 2018

John Ashcroft Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: 4 CSR 240-28.080 Interconnection Agreements

Dear Secretary Ashcroft,

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed rescission lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby certifies that this proposed rescission will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The Public Service Commission further certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017, RSMo, that the proposed rescission does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law, and that the proposed rescission conforms to the requirements of 1.310, RSMo, regarding user fees.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby also certifies that this proposed rescission complies with the small business requirements of 1.310, RSMo, in that it does not have an adverse impact on small businesses consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees or it is necessary to protect the life, health, or safety of the public, or that this rulemaking complies with 1.310, RSMo, by exempting any small business consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees from its coverage, by implementing a federal mandate, or by implementing a federal program administered by the state or an act of the general assembly.

Statutory Authority: sections 386.040, 386.250 and 386.310, RSMo 2000, section 392.450, RSMo Supp. 2013, and section 392.461, RSMo Supp. 2014.

If there are any questions regarding the content of this proposed rescission, please contact:

Morris Woodruff, Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-2849 Morris. Woodruff@psc.mo.gov

Mornis E. Wooduff

Morris L. Woodruff

Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Enclosures



ERIC R. GREITENS GOVERNOR

GOVERNOR OF MISSOURI JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 720 (573) 751-3222

February 9, 2018

Daniel Hall
Public Service Commission
200 Madison Street
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Dear Chairman Hall:

This office has received your proposed rulemaking relating to general provisions (rescission), 4 CSR 240-28.020; certification or registration requirements (rescission), 4 CSR 240-28.030; reporting requirements (rescission), 4 CSR 240-28.040; assessment requirements (rescission), 4 CSR 240-28.050; service requirements (rescission), 4 CSR 240-28.060; tariffs (rescission), 4 CSR 240-28.070; interconnection agreements (rescission), 4 CSR 240-28.080; 211 service (rescission), 4 CSR 240-28.090; definitions (proposed), 4 CSR 240-28.010; certificate of service authority and/or registration (proposed), 4 CSR 240-28.011; annual reports, statements of revenue and assessments (proposed), 4 CSR 240-28.012; tariff filings and interconnection agreements (proposed), 4 CSR 240-28.013; network configuration (proposed), 4 CSR 240-28.014; 211 service (proposed), 4 CSR 240-28.015; telephone numbering (proposed), 4 CSR 240-28.016; general provisions (rescission), 4 CSR 240-37.010; definitions (rescission), 4 CSR 240-37.020; thousands-block number pooling (rescission), 4 CSR 240-37.030; requests for review of the decisions of the North American numbering plan administrator or the thousands-block pooling administrator (rescission), 4 CSR 240-37.040; reclamation (rescission), 4 CSR 240-37.050; and reporting requirements (rescission), 4 CSR 240-37.060.

Executive Order 17-03 requires this office's approval before state agencies release proposed regulations for notice and comment, amend existing regulations, rescind regulations, or adopt new regulations. After our review, we approve the submission of these rule rescissions and proposed rules to JCAR and the Secretary of State.

Sincerely,

Justin D. Smith Deputy Counsel

AFFIDAVIT PUBLIC COST

STATE OF MISSOURI)
)
COUNTY OF COLE)

I, Rob Dixon, Director of the Department of Economic Development, first being duly sworn, on my oath, state that it is my opinion that the cost of proposed rescission, 4 CSR 240-28.080, is less than five hundred dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any other agency of state government or any political subdivision thereof.

Rob Dixon

Director

Department of Economic Development



DAWN ELLEN OVERBEY
My Commission Expires
Dacember 13, 2019
Moniteau County
Commission #15456865

Notary Public

Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Division 240—Public Service Commission Chapter 28—Telecommunications, IVoIP, Video Services

RECEIVED

APR 1 2 2018

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES



PROPOSED RESCISSION

4 CSR 240-28.080 Interconnection Agreements.

PURPOSE: This rule is being rescinded in its entirety. Requirements previously contained in this rule will be streamlined into a new rule at 4 CSR 240-28.013.

AUTHORITY: sections 386.040, 386.250, and 386.310, RSMo 2000, section 392.450, RSMo Supp. 2013, and section 392.461, RSMo Supp. 2014.(Original authority: 386.040, RSMo 1939; 386.250, RSMo 1939, amended 1963, 1967, 1977, 1980, 1987, 1988, 1991, 1993, 1995, 1996; 386.310, RSMo 1939, amended 1979, 1989, 1996; 392.450, RSMo 1987, amended 1996, 2008; and 392.461, RSMo 2011, amended 2013, 2014.) Original rule filed April 1, 2015, effective Dec. 30, 2015.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed rescission will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed rescission will not cost private entities more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to the proposed rescission with the Missouri Public Service Commission, 200 Madison Street. P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City MO 65102-0360. To be considered, comments must be received at the commission's offices on or before July 2, 2018, and should include a reference to Commission Case No. TX-2018-0120. Comments may also be submitted via a filing using the commission's electronic filing and information system at http://www.psc.mo.gov/efis.asp. A public hearing regarding this proposed rule is scheduled for July 9, 2018, at 10:00 a.m., in Room 310 of the Governor Office Building, 200 Madison St., Jefferson City, Missouri. Interested persons may appear at this hearing to submit additional comments and/or testimony in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule, and may be asked to respond to commission questions. Any persons with special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one (1) of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211 or TDD Hotline 1-800-829-7541.

JOINT COMMITTEE ON

APR 1 2 2018

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Small Business Regulator Fairness Board Small Business Impact Statement

Date: November 17, 2017

Rule Number: 4 CSR 240-28,080

Name of Agency Preparing Statement: Missouri Public Service

Commission

Name of Person Preparing Statement: Kari Salsman

Phone Number: 573-526-5630 Email: kari.salsman@psc.mo.gov

Name of Person Approving Statement: Natelle Dietrich

Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses (examples: consolidation, simplification, differing compliance, differing reporting requirements, less stringent deadlines, performance rather than design standards, exemption, or any other mitigating technique).

Rules are being rescinded, which will reduce any impact on small businesses.

Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.

Feedback was solicited in Case No. TW-2018-0098.

Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.

None

Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected.

N/A

Please list direct and indirect costs (in dollars amounts) associated with compliance.

Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

N/A – Proposed rescission of rule.

Does the proposed rule include provisions that are more stringent than those mandated by comparable or related federal, state, or county standards?

Yes___ No_X__

If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.

For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.