Robin Carnahan

Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division

RULE TRANSMITTAL

Administrative Rules Stamp August 2, 2013

RECEIVED

Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission

AUG 0 1 2013

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

COPY

Rule Number 4 CSR 240-13.070

					•		
Use a "SEPARA	TE" rule transm	ittal sheet	for EACH individ	lual rulema	iking.		
Name of person 1	to call with ques	tions abou	it this rule:				
Content Morris	s Woodruff	Phone	573-751-2849	FAX	573-526-6010		
Email address	morris.woodruff	@psc.mo	gov				
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-	573-751-4256	FAX	573-526-6010		
Email address 🔟	christine.koenigs	sfeld@psc	.mo.gov				
Interagency mail	ing address Pu	ıblic Serv	ice Commission, 9	oth Fl, Gov.	Ofc Bldg, JC, MO		
TYPE OF RULE	MAKING ACTION	ON TO BE	ETAKEN				
Emergency rulemaking, include effective date							
∑ Proposed Rulemaking							
☐ Withdrawal ☐ Rule Action Notice ☐ In Addition ☐ Rule Under Consideration							
Order of Rulemaking							
Effective Date for the Order							
Statutory 30 o	days OR Specific	c date					
Does the Order of Rulemaking contain changes to the rule text? NO							
YES—LIST THE SECTIONS WITH CHANGES, including any deleted rule text:							
		. , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board (DED) Stamp

SMALL DUFFNESS
REGULATORY FAIRNUSS BOARD

A. 2 & 2

RECEIVED

JCAR Stamp

AUG 1 2013



Commissioners

ROBERT S. KENNEY
Chairman

TERRY M. JARRETT

STEPHEN M. STOLL

WILLIAM P. KENNEY

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.goy JOSHUA HARDEN General Counsel

MORRIS WOODRUFF
Secretary

WESS A. HENDERSON
Director of Administration
and Regulatory Policy

CHERLYN D. VOSS
Director of Regulatory Review

KEVIN A. THOMPSON Chief Staff Counsel

August 1, 2013

Jason Kander Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: 4 CSR 240-13.070 Commission Complaint Procedures

Dear Secretary Kander,

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed rulemaking lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby certifies that this proposed rulemaking will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The Public Service Commission further certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017, RSMo 2000, that the proposed rulemaking does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law, and that the proposed rulemaking conforms to the requirements of 1.310, RSMo Supp. 2012, regarding user fees.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby also certifies that this proposed rulemaking complies with the small business requirements of 1.310, RSMo Supp. 2012, in that it does not have an adverse impact on small businesses consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees or it is necessary to protect the life, health, or safety of the public, or that this rulemaking complies with 1.310, RSMo Supp 2012, by exempting any small business consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees from its coverage, by implementing a federal mandate, or by implementing a federal program administered by the state or an act of the general assembly.

Statutory Authority: sections 386.250 and 393.140 RSMo 2000

Woodruff August 1, 2013 Certification of Administrative Rule

If there are any questions regarding the content of this proposed rulemaking, please contact:

Morris L. Woodruff, Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-2849 morris.woodruff@psc.mo.gov

Morris L. Woodruff

Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Enclosures

AFFIDAVIT

PUBLIC COST

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
COUNTY OF COLE)	
	he Department of Economic Development, first
being duly sworn, on my oath, state that	it is my opinion that the cost of proposed rule, 4
CSR 240-13.070, is less than five hund	red dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any
other agency of state government or any	
	Mike Downing
	1 / 4 /
	Acting Director
	Department of Economic Development
	<i>U</i> *

Notary Public

ANNETTE KEHNER
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Cole County
My Commission Expires: July 17, 2015
Commission Number: 11492656

Title 4--DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Division 240--Public Service Commission

RECEIVED

Chapter 13--Service and Billing Practices for Residential NISTRATION Customers of Electric, Gas, Sewer and Water Utilities

Proposed Amendment



4 CSR 240-13.070 Commission Complaint Procedures The Commission is amending the title of the chapter, sections (3), (4), (4)(A), (4)(B), (6), (7), and the Authority section.

PURPOSE: This rule is amended to update the rule to incorporate current technology and to clarify and eliminate inconsistencies in order to improve the operation of the rule.

PURPOSE: This rule sets forth the procedures to be followed prior to and in filing formal or informal complaints with the commission regarding matters covered in this chapter.

- (3) If a utility and a customer **and/or applicant** fail to resolve a matter in dispute, the utility shall advise the customer **and/or applicant** of his/her right to file an informal complaint with the commission under 4 CSR 240-2.070.
- (4) If the staff is unable to resolve the complaint to the satisfaction of the parties, the staff shall call the complainant and utility and note such conversation into the commission's electronic file and information system and send a dated letter or email to that effect to the complainant and to the utility. Staff shall also advise the customer of his/her right to file a formal complaint with the commission under 4 CSR 240-2.070.
- (A) [The letter]Upon request, the Staff shall [advise]send to the complainant [that, if s/he desires, s/he may file] a copy of the appropriate rules and the formal complaint [in accordance with 4 CSR 240-2.070]form.
- (B) If the complaint concerns a bill, the nonpayment of which could subject the complainant to discontinuance of service under the provisions of 4 CSR 240-13.050, the staff[] s letter shall advise the complainant that if a formal complaint is not filed within thirty (30) days of the date of the letter, the complainant may become subject to discontinuance of service.
- (6) A utility shall not discontinue residential service relative to the *[matter]* amount in dispute during the pendency of an informal complaint and until at least thirty-one (31) days after the date of the letter issued pursuant to section (4), and shall in no case discontinue this service without leaving a notice of discontinuance after the date of the letter issued pursuant to section (4).
- (7) Failure of the customer to pay the amount of a bill which is not in dispute, as determined pursuant to sections 4 CSR 240-13.045(5) or (6) of these rules, shall be grounds for **discontinuance of service and** dismissal of an informal or formal complaint.

AUTHORITY: sections 386.250(6), [RSMo Supp. 1991]and 393.140(11), RSMo [1986]2000, as currently supplemented.* Original rule filed Dec. 19, 1975, effective Dec. 30, 1975. Amended: Filed Oct. 14, 1977, effective Jan. 13, 1978. Amended: Filed Jan. 14, 1981, effective July 15, 1981. Rescinded and readopted: Filed Sept. 22, 1993, effective July 10, 1994.

AUG 1 2013

*Original authority: 386.250(11), RSMo 1939, amended 1963, 1967, 1977, 1980, 1987, 1988, 1991 and 393.140(11), RSMo 1939, amended 1949, 1967.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed rule will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions in excess of \$500.00 in total.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed rule will not cost private entities in excess of \$500.00 in total.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS AND NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING: Anyone may file comments in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule with the Missouri Public Service Commission, Morris L. Woodruff, Secretary of the Commission, PO Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received at the Commission's offices on or before October 7, 2013, and should include a reference to Commission Case No. AX-2013-0091. If comments are submitted via a paper filing, an original and eight (8) copies of the comments are required. Comments may also be submitted via a filing using the Commission's electronic filing and information system at http://www.psc.mo.gov/efis.asp. A public hearing regarding this proposed rule is scheduled for October 10, 2013, at 10:00 a.m., in Room 310 of the Governor Office Building, 200 Madison St., Jefferson City, Missouri. Interested persons may appear at this hearing to submit additional comments and/or testimony in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule, and may be asked to respond to Commission questions. Any persons with special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one (1) of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211 or TDD Hotline 1-800-829-7541.

Small Business Regulator Fairness Board Small Business Impact Statement

Date: September 28, 2012

Rule Number: 4 CSR 240-13.070

Name of Agency Preparing Statement: Missouri Public Service

Commission

Name of Person Preparing Statement: Gay Fred

Phone Number: 573-751-3160 Email: gay.fred@psc.mo.gov

Name of Person Approving Statement: Gay Fred

Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses (examples: consolidation, simplification, differing compliance, differing reporting requirements, less stringent deadlines, performance rather than design standards, exemption, or any other mitigating technique).

During the MPSC's review and work on the proposed rule modifications, the MPSC solicited the involvement of small water and sewer utility businesses to participate in the working group to capture possible differences in compliance and standards. It appears there are no significant differences in compliance, reporting or any other mitigating techniques that would impact small businesses. In addition, all small regulated water and sewer companies today have filed and approved tariffs that have similar language to that of the proposed rule.

Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.

During the MPSC's review and work on the proposed rule modifications over the last seven years, the MPSC solicited the involvement of electric, gas, small water and sewer utility businesses, to participate in the working group meetings to develop the proposed rule.

Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.

The proposed rule will have no monetary impact on the MPSC or any other state agency.

Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected.

Small sewer utilities who currently do not fall under the proposed rule regarding residential billing and service standards of the MPSC will be required to comply with the proposed rule, however, currently these small sewer utilities have filed and approved tariffs that generally incorporate the same procedures and practices, it does not appear they will be adversely affected by this proposed rule.

Please list direct and indirect costs (in dollars amounts) associated with compliance.

There should be minimal if any direct and indirect costs associated with compliance. All small water and sewer utilities currently have filed and approved tariffs that have similar language to that found in the proposed rule.

Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

Regulated electric, gas, sewer and water utilities.

Does th	e propos	ed rule include provisions that are more stringent th	nan
those m	nandated	by comparable or related federal, state, or county	
standar	ds?		
Yes	No		

If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.

For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.