1	THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				
2	STATE OF MISSOURI				
3					
4					
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS				
6					
7	Prehearing Conference July 19, 2017				
8	Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 3				
9					
10					
11	In the Matter of the) Application of Elm Hills)				
12	Utility Operating Company,) Inc., and Missouri Utilities)				
13	Company for Elm Hills to) Acquire Certain Water and) Sewer Assets of Missouri) File No. SM-2017-0150,				
14	Sewer Assets of Missouri) File No. SM-2017-0150, Utilities Company, For a) et al. Certificate of Convenience)				
15	And Necessity, and, in) Connection Therewith, to)				
16	Issue Indebtedness and) Encumber Assets.)				
17	Effection /				
18	KIM C DUDTON Drogiding				
19	KIM S. BURTON, Presiding SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE				
20					
21	REPORTED BY: Angie D. Threlkeld, CCR				
22	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC				
23					
24					
25					

1	APPEARANCES
2	DEAN I GOODED THE WAY AND THE
3	DEAN L. COOPER, Attorney at Law Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C. PO Box 456
4	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456
5	FOR: Elm Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc.
6	DVAN GMITTI Good on Dublin Good of
7	RYAN SMITH, Senior Public Counsel Governor Office Building 200 Madison Street
8	Suite 650 PO Box 2230
9	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.5562
10	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
11	
12	JACOB T. WESTEN, Deputy Counsel Governor Office Building
13	200 Madison Street Suite 800
14	PO Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
15	573.751.5472
16	FOR: Public Service Commission
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	JUDGE BURTON: Let's go ahead and go on the			
2	record In the Matter of the Application of Elm Hills			
3	Utility Operating Company, Inc., and Missouri Utilities			
4	Company for Elm Hills To Acquire Certain Water and Sewer			
5	Assets of Missouri Utilities Company for Certificate of			
6	Convenience and Necessity and in Connection With			
7	Indebtedness and Encumber Assets, File Number			
8	SM-2017-0150, et al.			
9	Today is July 19th, 2017, and the time			
10	currently is 11:10 a.m. The Commission has set this time			
11	or this time thereabouts for a prehearing conference			
12	based on the Office of Public Counsel's request.			
13	At this time I'll ask the parties to enter			
14	their appearance for the record, and we will begin with			
15	Elm Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc.			
16	MR. COOPER: Thank you, Judge. Dean Cooper			
17	on behalf of Elm Hills. And the court reporter has the			
18	address.			
19	JUDGE BURTON: Thank you.			
20	And on behalf of Missouri Utilities Company?			
21	Okay. Let the record reflect that there's been no entry			
22	of appearance.			
23	On behalf of the staff of Missouri Public			
24	Service Commission.			
25	MR. WESTEN: Thank you, Judge. My name is			

1	Jacob Westen, and I represent the staff of the Public
2	Service Commission. And my contact info has been
3	provided to the court reporter.
4	JUDGE BURTON: Thank you, Mr. Westen.
5	On behalf of the Office of the Public
6	Counsel.
7	MR. SMITH: Ryan Smith for the Office of the
8	Public Counsel. My information has already been provided
9	to the court reporter.
10	JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Thank you. Now, it's
11	my understanding that we still need to resolve some
12	issues or identify what issues are contested. I know
13	that there has been some a response filed to staff's
14	recommendation by both Elm Hills Utility as well as OPC.
15	Have the parties had an opportunity to meet
16	or discuss what issues are still in dispute that need to
17	be resolved by the Commission?
18	MR. COOPER: I'd say we're still in the
19	process of doing that, Judge. I mean, we have had some
20	communications, but I can't say that those have worked
21	their way through yet.
22	JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Do we have an idea of
23	the time frame we are looking at for a hearing, an
24	evidentiary hearing?
25	MR. WESTEN: Judge, do vou mean like in how

1	many weeks or months should we have the hearing?
2	JUDGE BURTON: Yes.
3	MR. WESTEN: Okay. Just checking.
4	JUDGE BURTON: But let's try to narrow the
5	field down to a certain month to start out with.
6	MR. WESTEN: Right.
7	MR. COOPER: I was going to say we would
8	probably push for a relatively quick hearing. So
9	JUDGE BURTON: I'll be honest, I'm not
10	surprised.
11	MR. COOPER: Yeah.
12	MR. WESTEN: Are you thinking July or August?
13	JUDGE BURTON: It is I'll just throw July
14	out.
15	MR. COOPER: I was not thinking July. I was
16	thinking probably we would produce some testimony maybe
17	by really early August, 2nd or 3rd type time frame; and
18	then, gosh, that probably leads to a hearing in mid to
19	late September or early October.
20	Now, if somebody thinks we can move more
21	quickly than that, we'd be happy to do that as well.
22	JUDGE BURTON: Let me pause real quick and
23	ask is there a potential to resolve any issues through a
24	stipulation?
25	MR. WESTEN: Staff is certainly open to the

1	possibility of a stipulation still. I know that there
2	are there's a couple of issues that might need to be
3	resolved or might not be able to be resolved by
4	stipulation. But to the extent that we can stipulate to
5	those prior to the hearing, should we need a hearing,
6	staff's certainly open to that.
7	MR. COOPER: Yes, Judge. That's, I guess,
8	part of what I was referring to earlier, is that I think
9	there's still communication ongoing.
10	JUDGE BURTON: I want to ensure we have
11	enough time for the parties to all participate and see
12	what issues can be resolved and to be able to identify
13	what the specific issues are that need to be resolved by
14	the Commission.
15	So let me just ask this: Looking at the
16	schedule, what is the thought as far as how many rounds
17	of testimony would be needed by staff and OPC? Because
18	I'm assuming that the Company is going to be requesting a
19	chance to submit rebuttal.
20	MR. COOPER: Yes, Judge. So I guess I was
21	envisioning Company direct, some rebuttal from the other
22	parties and some surrebuttal, followed by a hearing.
23	MR. WESTEN: That's staff's anticipation.
24	MR. SMITH: That's agreeable with OPC. I'd

also comment that I believe in the response of each of

25

1	the parties, I think some of the issues have been
2	removed. Some of the issues have some of the parties
3	have stated their agreement. And we would be happy to
4	put something on file, you know, in the future that could
5	more squarely frame each of the issues the Commission
6	would need to decide.
7	JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Then pulling up the
8	calendar, there is the Missouri-American Water Company
9	hearing I believe that's the AAO case in
10	WU-2017-0296, which I would assume would involve at least
11	some of the counsel that's sitting here today. So I
12	don't want to conflict with that schedule.
13	And it also appears that we have some
14	hearings September 19th and the 20th or the 21st, which
15	are going to be local public hearings involving the
16	commissioners themselves, which might make it difficult
17	to have a hearing in this building at the same time.
18	Let's take a look at well, they also have
19	those issues for the first week of October.
20	MR. COOPER: I don't think we want to fall
21	too far back, because
22	JUDGE BURTON: Right.
23	MR. COOPER: these are all systems with
24	immediate problems and immediate issues that need to be
25	addressed. So

JUDGE BURTON: The options I would consider, 1 2 assuming that we would be able to handle this in one day, would be September 20th or, if it's not too difficult for 3 Mr. Smith and Mr. Westen at least -- and I believe you're 4 involved as well Mr. Cooper --5 6 MR. COOPER: I am. 7 JUDGE BURTON: -- we could do September 26th, 8 which is the day before that AAO case, which I would 9 assume all parties here would prefer to avoid. MR. SMITH: I have a conflict on the 20th 10 11 right now. 12 JUDGE BURTON: Would it be feasible and 13 realistic -- because one of the requirements I'm going to 14 insist upon is enough time for the commissioners to see 15 the position statements and list of issues from the 16 parties a week before the hearing. What about -- let me 17 take a look at this. We can go as early as 18 September 14th -- that would be a Thursday -- or the 19 There's only a discovery conference scheduled on 20 that date. 21 Because if you look at the following week, 22 September 18th through the 22nd, the Commission is going 23 to be -- at least some members of the Commission down at 24 Missouri Southern University and other parts of the 25 state, which I think would be too difficult to have them

1	come back, other than September 20th. So that's just not
2	feasible.
3	MR. COOPER: Well, that certainly gives us
4	some things to talk about, I guess.
5	MR. SMITH: Right.
6	JUDGE BURTON: I don't expect all the parties
7	to tell me the scheduling right now, because I understand
8	there are some limitations here. But I do want to give
9	you options and give you an idea of where the Commission
10	is going to be coming from as far as conflicts.
11	MR. WESTEN: Thank you, Judge. I think that
12	the parties well, not that I want to speak for the
13	parties. But I think we can take these dates and try to
14	come up with something and get a proposed procedural
15	schedule to you very quickly based on this.
16	JUDGE BURTON: The only other option, if you
17	are willing to move back, would be October 4th, which is
18	in the middle of five other local public hearings.
19	MR. COOPER: The 20th of September is
20	probably an open day because that's the FRI conference in
21	Columbia.
22	JUDGE BURTON: That is probably right. So
23	let's mark that one off the table. The Commission
24	normally prefers to avoid hearings on Mondays, I think,
25	unless we're starting something, especially whenever we

1	have those. But I will throw those dates in as well, for				
2	September 18th and the 25th. But, again, that 25th date				
3	is probably in the ramp-up time for the AAO case.				
4	Because, unfortunately, it looks like, again, October 1st				
5	is a Sunday, and then the 2nd, the 3rd, and the 5th there				
6	are local public hearings.				
7	So the available dates are October 4th or we				
8	push it back, October 9th or 10th.				
9	MR. SMITH: The 9th is Columbus Day.				
10	MR. COOPER: That's a holiday.				
11	MR. SMITH: That's a holiday.				
12	JUDGE BURTON: Everyone would earn extra				
13	money for working that day; right? October 18th I				
14	mean, excuse me, September 18th, September 14th, which				
15	really does put the pressure on the filing of testimony				
16	schedule, I'm sure, October 9th and 10th and October 4th.				
17	Are there any other dates that the parties				
18	would like us to consider?				
19	MR. COOPER: Let's work with those to start				
20	with.				
21	JUDGE BURTON: All right.				
22	MR. WESTEN: Yes, thank you, Judge.				
23	MR. SMITH: Thank you.				
24	JUDGE BURTON: How much time do the parties				
25	need to submit a proposed schedule?				

1	MR. WESTEN: I think we can probably get one				
2	by next Monday.				
3	JUDGE BURTON: Next Monday.				
4	MR. WESTEN: Yeah, not very long.				
5	JUDGE BURTON: Okay. And, Mr. Westen, will				
6	you be the responsible party? Not saying everyone's not				
7	responsible, but we'll put the onus on you.				
8	MR. WESTEN: I can coordinate, Judge, yes.				
9	JUDGE BURTON: Okay. And, as always, if				
10	there are any issues where you need me to verify some				
11	potential dates, feel free to email me. I just ask that				
12	you email all Counsel of record.				
13	MR. WESTEN: Absolutely.				
14	JUDGE BURTON: Is there anything else that we				
15	need to address while we're on the record?				
16	MR. COOPER: Not to my knowledge.				
17	JUDGE BURTON: All right. Well, then I hope				
18	everyone has a good day, and I look forward to seeing the				
19	filing next Monday.				
20	We are off the record.				
21	(Off the record.)				
22					
23					
24					
25					

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Angie D. Threlkeld, a Certified Court Reporter, CCR No. 1382, the officer before whom the foregoing hearing was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing hearing was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken, and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

Angie D. Threlkeld, CCR

angie O. Shrelkeld

		76:1,7,12 77:6,16,22	coordinate 79:8
1	A	78:12,21,24 79:3,5,9, 14,17	counsel 72:6,8 75:11
10th 78:8,16	a.m. 71:10		79:12 80:8,11
11:10 71:10	AAO 75:9 76:8 78:3	С	Counsel's 71:12
1382 80:4	ability 80:6	calendar 75:8	couple 74:2
13th 76:19	Absolutely 79:13	case 75:9 76:8 78:3	court 71:17 72:3,9 80:3
14th 76:18 78:14	Acquire 71:4	CCR 80:4,19	
18th 76:22 78:2,13,14	action 80:9,13	Certificate 71:5 80:1	D
19th 71:9 75:14	address 71:18 79:15	Certified 80:3	date 76:20 78:2
1st 78:4	addressed 75:25	certify 80:5	dates 77:13 78:1,7,17
	agreeable 74:24	chance 74:19	79:11
2	agreement 75:3	checking 73:3	day 76:2,8 77:20 78:9,
2017 71:9	ahead 71:1	Columbia 77:21	13 79:18
20th 75:14 76:3,10	Angie 80:3,19	Columbus 78:9	Dean 71:16
77:1,19	anticipation 74:23	comment 74:25	decide 75:6
21st 75:14	appearance 71:14,22	Commission 71:10,	difficult 75:16 76:3, 25
22nd 76:22	appears 75:13	24 72:2,17 74:14 75:5	direct 74:21
25th 78:2	Application 71:2	76:22,23 77:9,23	direction 80:7
26th 76:7	Assets 71:5,7	commissioners 75:16 76:14	discovery 76:19
2nd 73:17 78:5	assume 75:10 76:9	communication	discuss 72:16
3	assuming 74:18 76:2	74:9	dispute 72:16
	attorney 80:11	communications	
3rd 73:17 78:5	August 73:12,17	Company 71:3,4,5,	E
4	avoid 76:9 77:24	15,20 74:18,21 75:8	earlier 74:8
	В	conference 71:11	early 73:17,19 76:17
4th 77:17 78:7,16		76:19 77:20	earn 78:12
5	back 75:21 77:1,17 78:8	conflict 75:12 76:10	Elm 71:2,4,15,17
	based 71:12 77:15	conflicts 77:10 Connection 71:6	72:14
5th 78:5	begin 71:14	contact 72:2	email 79:11,12
9	behalf 71:17,20,23	contact 72:2	employed 80:8,11
	72:5	Convenience 71:6	employee 80:11
9th 78:8,9,16	building 75:17	Cooper 71:16 72:18	Encumber 71:7
	BURTON 71:1,19	73:7,11,15 74:7,20	ensure 74:10
	72:4,10,22 73:2,4,9, 13,22 74:10 75:7,22	75:20,23 76:5,6 77:3, 19 78:10,19 79:16	enter 71:13
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	13 70.10,13 73.10	

entry 71:21	happy 73:21 75:3		needed 74:17
envisioning 74:21	hearing 72:23,24	K	Number 71:7
et al 71:8	73:1,8,18 74:5,22 75:9,17 76:16 80:5,6,9	knowledge 79:16	
everyone's 79:6			O
evidentiary 72:24	hearings 75:14,15 77:18,24 78:6	L	October 73:19 75:19
excuse 78:14	Hills 71:2,4,15,17	late 73:19	77:17 78:4,7,8,13,16
expect 77:6	72:14	leads 73:18	Office 71:12 72:5,7
extent 74:4	holiday 78:10,11	limitations 77:8	officer 80:4
extra 78:12	honest 73:9	list 76:15	ongoing 74:9
	hope 79:17	local 75:15 77:18 78:6	onus 79:7
F	I	long 79:4	OPC 72:14 74:17,24
fall 75:20			open 73:25 74:6 77:20
feasible 76:12 77:2	idea 72:22 77:9	M	Operating 71:3,15
feel 79:11	identify 72:12 74:12	make 75:16	opportunity 72:15
field 73:5	Indebtedness 71:7	mark 77:23	option 77:16
file 71:7 75:4	info 72:2	Matter 71:2	options 76:1 77:9
filed 72:13	information 72:8	meet 72:15	outcome 80:13
filing 78:15 79:19	insist 76:14	members 76:23	
financially 80:12	interested 80:12	mid 73:18	Р
foregoing 80:4,5	involve 75:10	middle 77:18	part 74:8
forward 79:18	involved 76:5	Missouri 71:3,5,20,	participate 74:11
frame 72:23 73:17	involving 75:15	23 76:24	parties 71:13 72:15
75:5	issues 72:12,16 73:23 74:2,12,13 75:1,	Missouri-american 75:8	74:11,22 75:1,2 76:9,
free 79:11	2,5,19,24 76:15 79:10	Monday 79:2,3,19	16 77:6,12,13 78:17, 24 80:9,12
FRI 77:20		Mondays 77:24	parts 76:24
future 75:4		money 78:13	party 79:6
G	Jacob 72:1	month 73:5	pause 73:22
	Judge 71:1,16,19,25	months 73:1	position 76:15
give 77:8,9	72:4,10,19,22,25 73:2, 4,9,13,22 74:7,10,20	move 73:20 77:17	possibility 74:1
good 79:18	75:7,22 76:1,7,12		potential 73:23 79:11
gosh 73:18	77:6,11,16,22 78:12, 21,22,24 79:3,5,8,9,	N	prefer 76:9
guess 74:7,20 77:4	14,17	narrow 73:4	prefers 77:24
Н	July 71:9 73:12,13,15	Necessity 71:6	prehearing 71:11
		14000331ty 71.0	pressure 78:15
handle 76:2			

prior 74:5	request 71:12	state 76:25	understanding
problems 75:24	requesting 74:18	stated 75:3	72:11
procedural 77:14			University 76:24
l ·	requirements 76:13 resolve 72:11 73:23	statements 76:15	Utilities 71:3,5,20
process 72:19		stipulate 74:4	Utility 71:3,15 72:14
produce 73:16	resolved 72:17 74:3, 12,13	stipulation 73:24 74:1,4	
proposed 77:14 78:25	response 72:13	submit 74:19 78:25	v
provided 72:3,8	74:25	Sunday 78:5	verify 79:10
public 71:12,23 72:1,	responsible 79:6,7	surprised 73:10	Verify 79.10
5,8 75:15 77:18 78:6	rounds 74:16	surrebuttal 74:22	W
pulling 75:7	Ryan 72:7	systems 75:23	
push 73:8 78:8			Water 71:4 75:8
put 75:4 78:15 79:7	S	Т	week 75:19 76:16,21
	schedule 74:16 75:12	(-1.1- == 00	weeks 73:1
Q	77:15 78:16,25	table 77:23	Westen 71:25 72:1,4, 25 73:3,6,12,25 74:23
quick 73:8,22	scheduled 76:19	talk 77:4	76:4 77:11 78:22 79:1,
quickly 73:21 77:15	scheduling 77:7	testimony 73:16 74:17 78:15	4,5,8,13
	September 73:19	thereabouts 71:11	work 78:19
R	75:14 76:3,7,18,22 77:1,19 78:2,14	thereto 80:12	worked 72:20
romp up 70,2	Service 71:24 72:2	things 77:4	working 78:13
ramp-up 78:3 real 73:22	set 71:10	thinking 73:12,15,16	WU-2017-0296 75:10
	Sewer 71:4	thinks 73:20	
realistic 76:13	sitting 75:11	thought 74:16	
rebuttal 74:19,21	SM-2017-0150 71:8	Threlkeld 80:3,19	
recommendation 72:14	Smith 72:7 74:24	throw 73:13 78:1	
record 71:2,14,21	76:4,10 77:5 78:9,11,		
79:12,15,20,21	23	Thursday 76:18	
reduced 80:7	Southern 76:24	time 71:9,10,11,13 72:23 73:17 74:11	
referring 74:8	speak 77:12	75:17 76:14 78:3,24	
reflect 71:21	specific 74:13	today 71:9 75:11	
related 80:8	squarely 75:5	type 73:17	
relative 80:10	staff 71:23 72:1 73:25	typewriting 80:7	
removed 75:2	74:17		
reporter 71:17 72:3,9	staff's 72:13 74:6,23	U	
80:1,3	start 73:5 78:19	understand 77:7	
represent 72:1	starting 77:25		