John R. Ashcroft Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division RULE TRANSMITTAL	Administrative Rules Stamp RECEIVED APR 1 2 2018 SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Rule Number 4 CSR 240-29.090	COP
Use a "SEPARATE" rule transmittal sheet for	or EACH individual rulemaking.
Name of person to call with questions about to Content_Morris WoodruffPhone 57Email addressmorris.woodruff@psc.mo.govData Entry Chris KoenigsfeldPhone 57Email addressChristine.koenigsfeld@psc.m	73-751-2849 FAX <u>573-526-6010</u> v 3-751-4256 FAX <u>573-526-6010</u>
Interagency mailing address Public Service C	NV
TYPE OF RULEMAKING ACTION TO BE □Emergency rulemaking, include effective d ⊠Proposed Rulemaking	
	n Addition 🗆 Rule Under Consideration
□Statement of Actual Cost Order of Rulemal Effective Date for the Order	king
Statutory 30 days OR Specific date	
Does the Order of Rulemaking contain change □YES—LIST THE SECTIONS WITH CHA	
LIES-LIST THE SECTIONS WITH CHA	avoes, including any deleted rule text:
Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board (DED) Stamp	JCAR Stamp

SMALL BUSINESS REGULATORY FAIRNESS BOARD APR 1 2 2018 RECEIVED

з;

Y

JCAR Stamp	
JOINT COMMITTEE ON	
APR 1 2 2018	
ADMINISTRATIVE RULES	

÷



Commissioners DANIEL Y. HALL Chairman WILLIAM P. KENNEY

SCOTT T. RUPP MAIDA J. COLEMAN

RYAN A. SILVEY

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://psc.mo.gov SHELLEY BRUEGGEMANN General Counsel

> MORRIS WOODRUFF Secretary

LOYD WILSON Director of Administration

NATELLE DIETRICH Staff Director

April 12, 2018

John Ashcroft Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: 4 CSR 240-29.090 Time Frame for the Exchange of Records, Invoices, and Payments for LEC-to-LEC Network Traffic

Dear Secretary Ashcroft,

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed rescission lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby certifies that this proposed rescission will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The Public Service Commission further certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017, RSMo, that the proposed rescission does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law, and that the proposed rescission conforms to the requirements of 1.310, RSMo, regarding user fees.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby also certifies that this proposed rescission complies with the small business requirements of 1.310, RSMo, in that it does not have an adverse impact on small businesses consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees or it is necessary to protect the life, health, or safety of the public, or that this rulemaking complies with 1.310, RSMo, by exempting any small business consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees from its coverage, by implementing a federal mandate, or by implementing a federal program administered by the state or an act of the general assembly.

Statutory Authority: sections 386.040 and 386.250, RSMo 2000.

If there are any questions regarding the content of this proposed rescission, please contact:

Morris Woodruff, Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-2849 Morris.Woodruff@psc.mo.gov

Morris & Wooduff

Morris L. Woodruff Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Enclosures



GOVERNOR OF MISSOURI JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 720 (573) 751-3222

February 7, 2018

Daniel Hall Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Dear Chairman Hall:

ERIC R. GREITENS

GOVERNOR

This office has received your rulemaking relating to enhanced record exchange rules (rescission), 4 CSR 240-29; emergency telephone service standards rules (rescission), 4 CSR 240-34; alternative dispute resolution procedural rules governing filings made pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (rescission), 4 CSR 240-36; manufacturers and dealers reports (rescission) 4 CSR 240-120.070; commission reports (rescission), 4-CSR 240-120.080; pre-owned manufactured homes (rescission), 4 CSR 240-121; and anchoring standards (rescission), 4 CSR 240-124.045.

Executive Order 17-03 requires this office's approval before state agencies release proposed regulations for notice and comment, amend existing regulations, rescind regulations, or adopt new regulations. After our review, we approve the submission of these rule rescissions to JCAR and the Secretary of State.

Sincerely,

Justin D. Smith Deputy Counsel

www.governor.mo.gov

AFFIDAVIT

PUBLIC COST

STATE OF MISSOURI) **COUNTY OF COLE**)

I, Rob Dixon, Director of the Department of Economic Development, first being duly sworn, on my oath, state that it is my opinion that the cost of proposed rescission of rule, 4 CSR 240-29.090, is less than five hundred dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any other agency of state government or any political subdivision thereof.

×12 Rob Dixon

Director Department of Economic Development

Subscribed and sworn to before me this Handay of 1 20181 am commissioned as a notary public within the County of 101 **L**. State of Missouri, and my commission expires on Nec. 13,



DAWN ELLEN OVERBEY My Commission Explices December 13, 2019 Moniteau County Commission #15456865

0()0 Notary Public

Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Division 240—Public Service Commission Chapter 29—Enhanced Record Exchange Rules

PROPOSED RESCISSION

4 CSR 240-29.090 Time Frame for the Exchange of Records, Invoices, and Payments for LEC-to-LEC Network Traffic.

PURPOSE: This rule is being rescinded in its entirety because it is no longer necessary.

AUTHORITY: sections 386.040 and 386.250, RSMo 2000. (Original authority: 386.040, RSMo 1939 and 386.250, RSMo 1939, amended 1963, 1967, 1977, 1980, 1987, 1988, 1991, 1993, 1995, 1996.) Original rule filed Nov. 30, 2004, effective July 30, 2005.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed rescission will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed rescission will not cost private entities more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to the proposed amendments with the Missouri Public Service Commission, 200 Madison Street. P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City MO 65102-0360. To be considered, comments must be received at the commission's offices on or before July 2, 2018, and should include a reference to Commission Case No. TX-2018-0188. Comments may also be submitted via a filing using the commission's electronic filing and information system at <u>http://www.psc.mo.gov/efis.asp</u>. A public hearing is scheduled for July 10, 2018 at 10:00 a.m., in Room 310 of the Governor Office Building, 200 Madison St., Jefferson City, Missouri. Interested persons may appear at this hearing to submit additional comments and/or testimony in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule, and may be asked to respond to commission questions. Any persons with special needs, as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act, should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one (1) of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211 or TDD Hotline 1-800-829-7541.



RECEIVED

APR 1 2 2018

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Small Business Regulator Fairness Board Small Business Impact Statement

Date: December 15, 2017

Rule Number: 4 CSR 240-29.090

Name of Agency Preparing Statement: Missouri Public Service Commission

Name of Person Preparing Statement: Kari Salsman

Phone Number: 573-526-5630 Email: kari.salsman@psc.mo.gov

Name of Person Approving Statement: Natelle Dietrich

Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses (examples: consolidation, simplification, differing compliance, differing reporting requirements, less stringent deadlines, performance rather than design standards, exemption, or any other mitigating technique).

Propose to rescind this rule.

Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.

Rescinding this rule should not negatively impact any small business.

Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.

None

Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected.

N/A

Please list direct and indirect costs (in dollars amounts) associated with compliance.

N/A

Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

N/A – Proposed rescission of rule.

Does the proposed rule include provisions that are more stringent than those mandated by comparable or related federal, state, or county standards?

Yes___ No_X__

If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.

For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.

ļ