

Commissioners

SHEILA LUMPE Chair

HAROLD CRUMPTON

CONNIE MURRAY

ROBERT G. SCHEMENAUER

M. DIANNE DRAINER Vice Chair

# Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.ecodev.state.mo.us/psc/

March 6, 2000

BRIAN D. KINKADE Executive Director

GORDON L. PERSINGER Director, Research and Public Affairs

> WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. KOLILIS Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

MAR 0 6 2000

Missouri Punda Service Commission

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

RE: Case No. TO-2000-454

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned case are an original and fourteen (14) conformed copies of a STAFF RECOMMENDATION.

This filing has been mailed or hand-delivered this date to all counsel of record.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely yours,

William K. Haas

Deputy General Counsel

Work Hasa

(573) 751-7510

(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

WKH/lb Enclosure

cc: Counsel of Record

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI



In the Matter of the Application of Adelphia Business Solutions Operations,	}	Missouri Public Service Commission
Inc. for Approval of an Interconnection Agreement with Southwestern Bell	) )	Case No. TO-2000-454
Telephone Company Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996.	)	

### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) and for its recommendation states:

- 1. In the attached Memorandum, which is labeled Appendix A, the Staff recommends that the Missouri Public Service Commission approve the Interconnection Agreement between Southwestern Bell Telephone Company and Adelphia Business Solutions Operations, Inc.
- 2. The Commission's authority to approve or reject this interconnection agreement is pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
- 3. The Staff concludes that the Interconnection Agreement does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers not a party to the agreement and the Agreement does not appear to be against the public interest, convenience or necessity.

WHEREFORE, the Staff recommends approval of the Interconnection Agreement.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

William K. Haas
Deputy General Counsel
Missouri Bar No. 28701

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-7510 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

## **Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed or hand-delivered to all counsel of record as shown on the attached service list this 6th day of March 2000.

Wax K Haas

## MEMORANDUM

To:	Case No	Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File Case No. TO-2000-454				
	Parties:			elephone Company and plutions Operations, Inc.		
From:		A. P. Kuss, Telecommunications Department April				
	Utility	Operations I	3/6/60 Division/Date	General Counsel Office/Date		
Subject:	Staff Re	ecommenda	tion for Approv	val of Interconnection Agreement		
Date:	March (	03, 2000		PECEIVED  MAR 0 6 2000  NU 2.30		
Date Filed:	January	20, 2000		MAR 0 6 2000		
Staff Dead	line: March?	31, 2000		USIMIVOSSION COUNSEL		
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION The Telecommunications Department Staff (Staff) recommends the Parties be granted approval of the submitted (may check more than one)						
X	Resale A	greement				
X	X Facilities-based Interconnection Agreement					
	Wireless Interconnection Agreement					
The Parties submitted the proposed Agreement to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the limited requirements of the Act. Specifically, the Agreement: 1) does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and 2) does not appear to be against the public interest, convenience or necessity.						
Is there an attachment to this recommendation indicating any recommendations or special considerations? X Yes No See Notes below.						
From A. P. Kuss	<u> </u>	Initials APK	<b>Date</b> 03/03/00	Revised		
Wm. Voig	_	BV	03-01,-8	8		
Mr. VanEs	_					
Mr. Hende	erson .		<del></del>			
Legal	-	WXH	3/6/00			

Case No. TO-2000-454 Page 2 of 2

#### **Interconnection Agreement Review Items**

$\mathbf{X}$	No applications to intervene filed (Intervention Deadline 02-14-2000).
--------------	--

Terms and rates in Agreement similar to those contained in approved Interconnection Agreement, i.e., TO-2000-143 Nextlink Missouri, Inc. and Southwestern Bell Telephone Company

Agreement signed by both Parties

Staff recommends that the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval.

#### **Attachment Note:**

- 1. Attachment UNE-MO Page 52 of 67 is missing from the submitted copies. The page numbering in the lower right-hand corners is continuous, omitting this page.
- 2. Attachment 20: Clearinghouse (CH) appears in the copy twice, as numbered beginning on page 436 and again on page 598.

Service List for Case No. TO-2000-322 March 1, 2000

Office of the Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Paul G. Lane/Katherine Swaller Leo J. Bub/Anthony Conroy Southwestern Bell Telephone Company One Bell Center, Room 3520 St. Louis, MO 63101 Charles Brent Stewart Stewart & Keevil Law Offices 1001 East Cherry Street Suite 302 Columbia, MO 63201-793